				2006-07				
CGJ Year Report Title		Response 200 Required	008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?		vironment Rec	plemented	Ongoing. Steps in this effort that have already been completed including holding several strategy sessions to coordinate City Departments (including the Department of the Environment, the Municipal Transportation Agency, and the Public Utilities Commission) and other relevant regional agencies (PG&E, Norcal, Air District, MTC, and others) to identify partners and resources for a regional greenhouse gas reduction campaign. The group has solicited concepts from ad agencies and a variety of avenues for spreading the campaign are being explored, including the use of bus kiosks and buses, bill inserts, social marketing, Internet, and other avenues.			**	
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	A1a. The Department of Environment should take the lead in identifying resources necessary to foster the culture change in the City needed to fully address the issue of climate change; it should increase outreach and education activities. Posters should be placed in all public locations, including schools and buses. The Department should encourage City utilities and phone companies to include environmental brochures in regular mailings to consumers.	Imp Wai		This does not fall within the purview of the Mayor's Office. However, the department supports the Department of Environment response.	**	***************************************	**	
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	A1a. The Department of Environment should take the lead in identifying resources necessary to foster the culture change in the City needed to fully address the issue of climate change; it should increase outreach and education activities. Posters should be placed in all public locations, including schools and buses. The Department should encourage City utilities and phone companies to include environmental brochures in regular mailings to consumers.	Imp Wai	Ill Not Be plemented: Not arranted or Not easonable	Refer to Dept. of Environment	**	9	**	
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	A1b. The Department of Environment should have booths at street fairs and other events in the City to educate attendees about climate change, using student interns or other volunteers. The Mayor should declare a "Global Warming Heads Up Day" featuring free showings of Al Gore's documentary, "An Inconvenient Truth," in City parks and theatres. The Department of Environment should partner with PG&E to give complementary fluorescent light bulbs to attendees. Copies of the film should also be provided to schools, churches and other organizations for fundraisers and other events.		plemented	Ongoing. City staff and volunteers currently staff booths at many events throughout the City. The most common focus of these efforts has been promotion of commuter benefits and waste reduction. As materials are developed for the climate outreach campaign, discussed in response to A1a, these efforts can and will be expanded to encourage additional actions to fight climate change. The City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) also recently received a donation of 200 copies of "An Inconvenient Truth" that are being distributed to San Francisco Unified School District schools and the 37 public libraries. As to the recommendation regarding partnering with PG&E to distribute compact fluorescent lamps (CFLs) at these events—there was a neighborhood based effort by PG&E in cooperation with CCSF to distribute CFLs, during Step it Up day. In addition, PG&E will be distributing 200,000 CFLs to San Franciscans in conjunction with the October 20th Lights Out event (www. lightsoutSF.com).	**		**	
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	A1b. The Department of Environment should have booths at street fairs and other events in the City to educate attendees about climate change, using student interns or other volunteers. The Mayor should declare a "Global Warming Heads Up Day" featuring free showings of Al Gore's documentary, "An Inconvenient Truth," in City parks and theatres. The Department of Environment should partner with PG&E to give complementary fluorescent light bulbs to attendees. Copies of the film should also be provided to schools, churches and other organizations for fundraisers and other events.	Imp Wai		This does not fall within the purview of the Mayor's Office. However, the department supports the Department of Environment response.	**		**	
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B1a. The MTA Board, while seeking short and long-term systemwide Muni improvements in speed and reliability, should establish periodic goals for increasing the percentage of ridership using public transit and detail steps to be taken to achieve these goals.	Imp Wai		4, as pertains to Environment. This measure is entirely within the purview of the MTA, not the Environment Department.	**	*	**	
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B1a. The MTA Board, while seeking short and long-term systemwide Muni improvements in speed and reliability, should establish periodic goals for increasing the percentage of ridership using public transit and detail steps to be taken to achieve these goals. Muni Tran Ager	nsportation Imp	plemented in the ture	This is a policy decision that needs to be made re: whether or not SFMTA wants to establish periodic goals for increasing the percentage of ridership using public transit and what steps are necessary to achieve these goals. Under Propositions E (1998) and A (2007), SFMTA has been charged with creating a multi-modal network consistent with the City's Transit First Policy, which encourages the use of sustainable modes of transportation, including public transit, pedestrians, bicycles, and automobiles. To fulfill that goal, the Agency has just concluded the assessment phase of the Transit Effectiveness Project, which has leveraged quantitative data analysis and technology to examine the ridership, structure, and configuration of the current system, including Automated Passenger Counters (APC) that have helped to more precisely measure system use and ridership. The TEP has formulated a series of recommendations to right-size the structure and level of service to various areas of the city, and ways in which it can better interact with other modes, which will be enacted in the coming years. Concurrent to these efforts, assessment and pilot testing of a variety of demand-based parking and congestion pricing initiatives are taking place to better position the Agency in meeting the needs and demand of these multiple modes. Ultimately an increase in ridership may be one component of the formula of solutions to fulfill the spirit of Transit First, but further assessment is necessary to determine what the level of those increases should be.	in the Future,Requires Further Analysis t t t f f f f f f f f f f	This is a policy decision that needs to be made re: whether or not SFMTA wants to establish periodic goals for increasing the percentage of ridership using public transit and what steps are necessary to achieve these goals. Under Propositions E (1998) and I (2007), SFMTA has been charged with creating a multi-modal network consistent with the City's Transit First Policy, which encourages the use of sustainable modes of transportation, including public transit, pedestrians, bicycles, and automobiles. To fulfill that goal, the Agency has just concluded the assessment phase of the Transit Effectiveness Project, which has leveraged quantitative data analysis and technology to examine the ridership, structure, and configuration of the current system, including Automated Passenger Counters (APC) that have helped to more precisely measure system use and ridership. The TEP has formulated a series of recommendations to ight-size the structure and level of service to various areas of the city, and ways in which it can better interact with other modes, which will be enacted in the coming years. Currently the Agency is engaged in developing a timeline and strategy for TEP mplementation, specifically addressing environmental review considerations and the Agency's overall response to the current economic climate and fiscal emergency. Additionally, SFMTA is pursuing a number of different legislative, programmatic and enforcement activities to investigate the effectiveness of different methods to speed the low of Muni vehicles, including preferential signaling, busonly lane enforcement, Bus Rapid Transit, and pilot testing of different vehicle types, sizes and boarding methods on major transit corridors.	Implemented in the Future	Recommendation requires further analysis and will be continuously implemented in the future. This is a policy decision that needs to be made re: whether or not SFMTA wants to establish periodic goals for increasing the percentage of ridership using public transit and what steps are necessary to achieve these goals. Under Propositions E (1998) and A (2007), SFMTA has been charged with creating a multi-modal network consistent with the City's Transit First Policy, which encourages the use of sustainable modes of transportation, including public transit, pedestrians, bicycles, and automobiles. To fulfill that goal, the Agency completed the assessment phase of the Transit Effectiveness Project in 2008, which leveraged quantitative data analysis and technology to examine the ridership, structure, and configuration of the current system, including Automated Passenger Counters (APC) that have helped to more precisely measure system use and ridership. The TEP formulated a series of recommendations to right-size the structure and level of service to various areas of the city, and ways in which it can better interact with other modes, which will be enacted in the coming years. Currently the Agency is engaged in developing a timeline and strategy for TEP implementation, specifically addressing environmental review considerations and the Agency's overall response to the current economic climate and fiscal emergency. Additionally, SFMTA is pursuing a number of different legislative, programmatic and enforcement activities to investigate the effectiveness of different methods to speed the flow of Muni vehicles, including preferential signaling, bus-only lane enforcement, Bus Rapid Transit, and pilot testing of different vehicle types, sizes and boarding methods on major transit corridors.

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

2010 Dopai					2006-07	,			
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B1b. The Department of Parking and Traffic (DPT) engineers should consider ways to speed up Muni buses, such as further restricting left turns on bus routes during rush hour, making major streets one-way, giving signal preemption to bus drivers to increase transit speed, and other transit-friendly steps.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	4, as pertains to Environment. This measure is entirely within the purview of the MTA, not the Environment Department.	**		**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B1b. The Department of Parking and Traffic (DPT) engineers should consider ways to speed up Muni buses, such as further restricting left turns on bus routes during rush hour, making major streets one-way, giving signal preemption to bus drivers to increase transit speed, and other transit-friendly steps.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Implemented in the Future	Recommendation is being implemented on an ongoing basis through the Transit Effectiveness Project and the Transit Preferential Streets group. SFMTA is currently pursuing a number of different legislative, programmatic and enforcement activities currently underway to investigate the effectiveness of different methods to speed the flow of Muni vehicles, including preferential signaling, bus-only lane enforcement, Bus Rapid Transit, and pilot testing of different vehicle types, sizes and boarding methods on major transit corridors. Further, with the support of Assemblywoman Ma, AB101 was passed and will help reduce transit lane violations through issuance of citations to individuals obstructing the movement of buses. These violations are issued based on video evidence from cameras mounted on the front of Muni buses.	the Future	Effectiveness Project and the Transit Preferential Streets group. SFMTA is pursuing a	Future	Recommendation has been implemented and will continue to be implemented in the future. The SFMTA established a new Transit Engineering team composed of engineers and planners dedicated to improving the performance of transit in San Francisco. In addition, the SFMTA established on-time performance teams composed of transit superintendents, operator trainers, operators/union chairs, transit schedulers, service planners and transit engineers to identify and address congestion bottlenecks. Significant efforts completed in 2009 or anticipated in 2010 include: * Lombard left-turn restriction pilot * Lincoln/Crossover project * Inner Geary traffic signal retiming * Geneva TPS project (planning effort) * Market Street pilots * Divisidero Streetscape Project * RFQ to review cutting edge GPS and wireless transit signal priority technology These efforts have been undertaken in tandem with legislative proposals such as AB101, which will help reduce transit lane violations through citations to vehicles obstructing the movement of transit vehicles. These violations are issued with the assistance of video-based evidence from cameras mounted on Muni buses.
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B2a. Muni should report to the MTA Board on a quarterly basis the implementation status of the new diesel-electric hybrid buses. This report should include a schedule for the procurement, receipt, testing and acceptance, as well as the date that individual buses were placed in service. It should also include the number of older diesel buses that are retired from service. This information should be included in Muni's annual report	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	4, as pertains to Environment. This measure is entirely within the purview of the MTA, not the Environment Department.	**		**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B2a. Muni should report to the MTA Board on a quarterly basis the implementation status of the new diesel-electric hybrid buses. This report should include a schedule for the procurement, receipt, testing and acceptance, as well as the date that individual buses were placed in service. It should also include the number of older diesel buses that are retired from service. This information should be included in Muni's annual report	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This does not fall within the purview of the Mayor's Office.	**		**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	the implementation status of the new diesel-electric hybrid buses.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Implemented	2000 Census data shows that 33% of San Francisco residents ride transit, which is fourth in the nation for cities over 250,000. 10% walk to work, 2% bike to work. San Francisco is also fourth for non-car commutes, with 45% Further, SFMTA currently operates the cleanest fleet in California based on per-passenger emissions; operates the largest municipal biodiesel bus fleet in the US (520 buses); operates the third largest hybrid bus fleet in the US (86 buses); and operates the largest zero emissions bus fleet in the US (330 buses). The Mayor, SFMTA, and SF Environment have partnered on Muni's Clean Air Plan - Zero Emissions 2020. SFMTA is on course to meet that goal, including the interim steps of hybrid buses, biodiesel, and exhaust purifiers.			**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B2b. The Municipal Transportation Agency Board, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve sufficient funds for maintenance and operations staffing needs, materials management requirements and training and code compliance activities.	Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and Dias follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted of authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors or implements responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B2b. The Municipal Transportation Agency Board, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve sufficient funds for maintenance and operations staffing needs, materials management requirements and training and code compliance activities.	Environment		4, as pertains to Environment. This measure is entirely within the purview of the MTA, Board and Mayor, and not the Environment Department.	**		**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title		Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B2b. The Municipal Transportation Agency Board, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve sufficient funds for maintenance and operations staffing needs, materials management requirements and training and code compliance activities.	ayor	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree with future implementation. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors worked to submit a Charter amendment to the voters in November, 2007. The voters approved this measure, Proposition A, which will transfer an additional \$28 million in parking tax revenues to the MTA in fiscal year 2008-09. In 2007, the Mayor also appointed panel, headed by the City Controller, to study and recommend sources of enhanced revenue for the MTA. The revenue panel's final recommendations are due in summer of 2008, and some of its preliminary recommendations are included in the MTA's 2008-09 budget submission.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	unicipal ansportation gency	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA agrees that sufficient funds for maintenance and operations staffing needs, materials management requirements and training and code compliance activities are required. However, as is widely known, Muni has had a longstanding structural deficit and has not been able to fund these needs historically. The Grand Jury itself identified this issue in 1998-1999 and to date the structural issues have not been addressed. Furthermore, as is to be expected the deficit has grown since the 1998-1999 Grand Jury Report. While several initiatives are underway to address the systemic resource issues, it will take several years to address the multiple decade structural deficit and provide the necessary funds for maintenance and operations staffing needs, materials management requirements and training and code compliance activities. Proposition A (passed in November 2007) will reallocate nearly \$27 million in revenues to SFMTA from the City's General Fund, which will help to fund the implementation of TEP recommendations. Further, efforts of the Mayor's Blue Ribbon Panel on Transportation Funding and several concurrent pilot programs assessing the efficacy of demand based parking and other revenue generating initiatives will continue to make progress in addressing the Agency's structural deficit.	in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA agrees that sufficient funds for maintenance and operations staffing needs, materials management requirements and training and code compliance activities are required. However, as is widely known, Muni has had a longstanding structural deficit and has not been able to fund these needs historically. The Grand Jury itself identified this issue in 1998-1999 and to date the structural issues have not been addressed. Furthermore, as is to be expected, the deficit has grown since the 1998-1999 Grand Jury Report. While several initiatives are underway to address the systemic resource issues, it will take several years to address the multiple decade structural deficit and provide the necessary funds for maintenance and operations staffing needs,materials management requirements and training and code compliance activities. Proposition A (passed in November 2007) reallocated nearly \$27 million in revenues to SFMTA from the City's General Fund. As the Grand Jury members are aware, the severe economic downturn has had a negativimpact on the SFMTA. The current projected deficit for FY 2010 is \$128.9 million and to address this deficit the Agency is considering service modifications, expenditure reductions, and increases to all user charges. SFMTA recommendations to address the deficit are based on knowledge gained from the Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP) as well as a full understanding of our cost drivers and the level of our charges required to cover our costs. Recommendations to address the FY 2010 deficit have therefore been made in the context of aligning resources to services and SFMTA believes that these decisions that will preserve and strengthen the system in the future.	Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA agrees that sufficient funds for maintenance and operations staffing needs, materials management requirements and training and code compliance activities are required. However, as is widely known, Muni has had a longstanding structural deficit and has not been able to adequately fund these needs. The Grand Jury itself identified this issue in 1998-1999 and to date the structural issues have not been addressed. Furthermore, as is to be expected, the deficit has grown since the 1998-1999 Grand Jury Report. While several initiatives are underway to address the systemic resource issues, it will take several years to address the multiple decade structural deficit and provide the necessary funds for maintenance and operations staffing needs, materials management requirements and training and code compliance activities. Proposition A (passed in November 2007) reallocated nearly \$27 million in revenues to SFMTA from the City's General Fund. However, as the Grand Jury members are aware, the severe economic downturn has had a negative impact on the SFMTA. The original projected deficit for FY 2010 was \$128.9 million and to address this deficit the Agency implemented service modifications, expenditure reductions, and increases to all user charges. The service modifications were informed by extensive analysis and knowledge gained from the Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP) as well as a full understanding of our cost drivers and the level of our charges required to cover our costs. Unfortunately, the SFMTA is also facing an approximately \$40 million deficit for FY 2011 and 2012.
2006-07	Promise to Reduce	B3a. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby State lawmakers to maintain a baseline level of funding for local transit.	pard of upervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted o authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
2006-07	Promise to Reduce	B3a. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby State lawmakers to maintain a baseline level of funding for local transit.	nvironment		The State Legislation Committee does not lobby. If specific legislation were introduced to address transit funding, any department or member of the State Legislation Committee could present that legislation to the Committee for a formal vote on City endorsement.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3a. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby State lawmakers to maintain a baseline level of funding for local transit.	ayor		Agree with future implementation. The Mayor's Office has and will continue to actively support appropriate legislation, as recommended by San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency and the State Legislation Committee. As a point of clarification, the State Legislation Committee is not a lobbying arm of the City, but rather a forum for placing the City formally on record on pending state bills. Section 3.1 of the Charter place with the Mayor responsibility for "Coordination of all intergovernmental activities of the City and County." As such, I set the state lobbying priorities for the City and lobby state elected officials on the City's behalf.	5	Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

2006-07											
CGJ Year Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1) 2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1	2010 Response Text			
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emission	lobby State lawmakers to maintain a baseline level of funding for	Municipal	Will Be Implemented in the Future	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	in the Future	Implementation on-going. SFMTA supports the lobbying of State lawmakers to maintain a baseline level of funds for local transit and SFMTA is committed to this effort. However, the success of this effort is not completely under the control of SFMTA. Despite active opposition efforts by all transit interests in California throughout FY2008-09, the Governor and State Legislature approved elimination of State Transit Assistance (STA) funding for the next five years as part of the state budget reduction plan. This was the last state funding source available to be used for transit operations and while annual funding levels vary based on revenue collected for STA purposes, this drastic cut is estimated to result in the loss of at least \$25 million per year in funding for the SFMTA. As part of the annual state budget process, the SFMTA will continue to actively pursue restoration of some form of baseline transit funding in partnership with the Mayor, State Legislation Committee, membership organizations such as the California Transit Association (CTA), and in concert with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and other Bay Area transit properties. This advocacy effort will continue until permanent protection of baseline funding is achieved, either through legislation or state ballot initiative.	Implemented	Recommendation will be continuously implemented. SFMTA has actively supported a number of initiatives that directly impact the ability of transit agencies statewide to retain existing transit operating funds and raise additional funds. 1. Efforts to restore State Transit Assistance (STA) funding following successful transit industr lawsuit against past diversions and continues to advocate for full transit funding in the 2010-2011 State Budget. 2. Statewide ballot initiative sponsored by the California Transit Association, the California League of Cities and the California Alliance for Jobs to provide constitutional protection again state diversion of designated transit operating funds from the Public Transportation Account (Proposition 42), local gasoline tax subventions for local streets and roads, redevelopment funds and local property taxes. 3. Support efforts to lower the 2/3 super majority vote for local transportation taxes and legislation to authorize an additional one-quarter of one percent increase statewide in Transportation Development Act (TDA) funding. 4. Governor signed legislation March 22 to restore some STA funding resulting \$36M to the SFMTA in 2010-11 and \$31M beginning in 2011-12.			
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emission	lobby State lawmakers to pass legislation for a California	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and D as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted of authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implementation of supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	r		**				
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emission	lobby State lawmakers to pass legislation for a California	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The State Legislation Committee does not lobby. If specific legislation were introduced to address transit funding, any department or member of the State Legislation Committee could present that legislation to the Committee for a formal vote on City endorsement.	**		**				
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emission	lobby State lawmakers to pass legislation for a California	Mayor	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree with future implementation. The Mayor's Office has and will continue to actively support appropriate legislation, as recommended by San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency and the State Legislation Committee.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.			
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emission	lobby State lawmakers to pass legislation for a California	Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA supports the lobbying of State lawmakers to pass legislation requiring a tiered tax based on vehicle emissions and SFMTA is committed to this effort. However, the success of this effort is no completely under the control of SFMTA. SFMTA continues to monitor efforts related to authorization and implementation of emissions based fees. Any imposition of such fees would require state legislation.	in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA supports the lobbying of State lawmakers to pass legislation requiring a tiered tax based on vehicle emissions and SFMTA is committed to this effort. However, the success of this effort is not completely under the control of SFMTA. SFMTA continues to monitor efforts related to authorization and implementation of emissions based fees. Any imposition of such fees would require state legislation. SFMTA will support these efforts as they are brought to the State Legislation Committee for consideration. It is not clear what form funding for transit will take and some relationship to emissions is more likely now than even one year ago. SFMTA also supports dedicated transit funding for inclusion in any federal cap and trade legislation that might be advanced by Congress.	Implemented in the	Recommendation may be implemented in the future; no current efforts underway. There are no current proposals pending relating to a tax based on vehicle emissions. There are efforts underway to identify funding sources to implement SB 375 and AB 32, California's landmark greenhouse emission reduction measures. The SFMTA will support these measures as appropriate when they are brought to the State Legislaton Committee.			

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
Pi	an San Francisco Keep Its romise to Reduce ireenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3c. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby State lawmakers for legislation enabling cities to assess a local motor vehicle tax based on vehicle emissions to provide additional revenues for transit.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implementation of supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			*	
Pi	an San Francisco Keep Its romise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3c. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby State lawmakers for legislation enabling cities to assess a local motor vehicle tax based on vehicle emissions to provide additional revenues for transit.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The State Legislation Committee does not lobby. If specific legislation were introduced to address transit funding, any department or member of the State Legislation Committee could present that legislation to the Committee for a formal vote on City endorsement.	**	*	*	
Pi	an San Francisco Keep Its romise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3c. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby State lawmakers for legislation enabling cities to assess a local motor vehicle tax based on vehicle emissions to provide additional revenues for transit.	Mayor		Agree with future implementation. The Mayor will actively support appropriate legislation, as recommended by the State Legislation Committee.	**	*	*	
Pi	an San Francisco Keep Its romise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	9	Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future		in the Future	d Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). The SFMTA supports the lobbying of State lawmakers to pass legislation allowing local jurisdictions to assess a local motor vehicle tax based on vehicle emissions and SFMTA is committed to this effort. However, the success of this effort is not completely under the control of SFMTA. SFMTA continues to monitor efforts related to authorization and implementation of local taxes based on vehicle emissions. Any imposition of such fees would require state legislation.	mplemented in the	Recommendation will be implemented in the Future. Legislation was approved in 2009, SB 83 (Hancock), authorizing local congestion management agencies to levy a vehicle surcharge for congestion mitigation purposes. The SF County Transportation Authority is currently developing an expenditure plan for this fee. Legislation is currently pending, SB 10 (Leno), that would authorize local jurisdictions to levy a vehicle registration fee that would support general fund purposes, including public transportation.
Pi	an San Francisco Keep Its romise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3d. The Municipal Transportation Agency, with cooperation of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor, should continue to pursue additional funding for Muni operations; avoid, if possible, raising fares; and consider all other funding options such as congestion pricing.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			*	
Pi	an San Francisco Keep Its romise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3d. The Municipal Transportation Agency, with cooperation of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor, should continue to pursue additional funding for Muni operations; avoid, if possible, raising fares; and consider all other funding options such as congestion pricing.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	4, as pertains to Environment. This measure is entirely within the purview of the MTA, not the Environment Department.	**	*	*	
Pi	an San Francisco Keep Its romise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3d. The Municipal Transportation Agency, with cooperation of the Board of Supervisors and Mayor, should continue to pursue additional funding for Muni operations; avoid, if possible, raising fares; and consider all other funding options such as congestion pricing.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	I have tasked the Revenue Panel, comprised of transit and financial professionals, with developing funding options for transit operations. It is expected the Panel's report will be completed in summer of 2008 and provide a road map for revenue enhancing options for operations. Some of the panel's preliminary recommendations are included in the MTA's budget submission. However, it is possible that raising fares may be a component of the revenue plan to fund operations. Additionally. the implementation of the plan will he phased in over multiple years.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

2006-07											
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1	2010 Response Text		
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?		Municipal Transportation	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA is actively engaged in pursuing additional funding for operations. In addition, the Mayor's Revenue Panel, comprised of transit and financial professionals, have been tasked with developing funding options for transit operations. It is expected the Panel's report will be completed by the end of the year and provide a road map for revenue enhancing options for operations. However, it is possible that raising fares may be a component of the revenue plan to fund operations. Additionally, the implementation of the plan will be phased in ove multiple years. SFMTA continues to actively pursue opportunities for additional operations funding. The nearly \$158 million Federal Urban Partnership Program grant funding awarded in Fall 2007 will enable SFMTA and SF Transit Authority to help reduce heavy traffic using a combination of tolling, public transit, advanced technology, and transportation demand management. Grant funding will go towards the reconstruction of the Doyle Drive approach to the Golden Gate Bridge, and will also help fund SFgo, a real-time trafficmanagement system that includes traffic signal coordination at 500 key intersections; SFPark, a new parking payment system to manage congestion in downtow and Civic Center; and a program to link FasTrak and TransLink.	in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA is actively engaged in pursuing additional funding for operations. SFMTA continues to actively pursue opportunities for additional funding for operations. The Department of Transportation recently awarded \$20 million to enable SFMTA to fund a demand-based parking program, SFpark, to make parking more convenient to pay and vary pricing according to availability. Additionally, the SFMTA was awarded \$67 million as part of the economic stimulus package, \$18 million of which has been targeted for operating preventive maintenance. SFMTA continues to evaluate all revenues and has established a CPI indexing policy for all charges to be effected in Fiscal Year 2011. Additionally, the SFMTA Board approved fare increases effective July 1, 2009 and is evaluating further increases in FY 2010. Increases to parking garage rates, meter rates and cost recovery charges were either already increased in FY 2009 or are planned for FY 2010. SFMTA is in the process of conducting nexus studies to update the transit impact development fee as well as establish a fee for parking and automobile trip generation. Finally, the SFMTA is evaluating possible financing inclusive of a ballot measure to raise revenues.		Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA is actively engaged in pursuing additional funding for operations. SFMTA continues actively pursue opportunities for additional funding for operations. The Department of Transportation was awarded \$20 million to enable SFMTA to fund a demand-based parking program, SFpark, to make parking more convenient to pay and vary pricing according to availability. Additionally, the SFMTA was awarded \$67 million as part of economic stimulus package, \$18 million of which has been targeted for operating preventive maintenance. SFMTA continues to evaluate all revenues and has established a CPI indexing policy for all charges to be effected in Fiscal Year 2011. Additionally, the SFMTA Board approved fare increases effective July 1, 2009 and January 1, 2010. Increases to parking garage rates, me rates and cost recovery charges were either already increased in FY 2009 or FY 2010. SFMTA is in the process of conducting nexus studies to update the transit impact developm fee as well as establish a fee for parking and automobile trip generation. Finally, the SFMTA is evaluating possible financing inclusive of a ballot measure to raise revenues.		
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and D as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted of authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	r		**			
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3e. If actions planned by the City do not appear feasible or if those actions appear insufficient to meet San Francisco's emission-reduction goals, the City should consider more radical solutions such as greatly-reduced transit fares combined with new sources of funding for transit, and a high-priority program to encourage clean vehicles for all uses, municipal, business and personal.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	The Department of Environment coordinated implementation of the biodiesel executive directive 06-02, converting all CCSF diesel vehicles to B20 bioodiesel. Completed 11/07. With respect to clean vehicles, the City and County of San Francisco is already implementing policies that require City departments to purchase only clean, alternative fuel vehicles or highly energy- efficient vehicles for their passenger-vehicle fleets. San Francisco's municipal fleet currently has more than 1,000 clean and/or alternative fuel vehicles, including those using biodiesel, making it one of the largest clean vehicle fleets in the country.	**		**			
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3e. If actions planned by the City do not appear feasible or if those actions appear insufficient to meet San Francisco's emission-reduction goals, the City should consider more radical solutions such as greatly-reduced transit fares combined with new sources of funding for transit, and a high-priority program to encourage clean vehicles for all uses, municipal, business and personal.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The Department of Environment coordinated implementation of the biodiesel executive directive 06-02, converting all CCSF diesel vehicles to B20 bioodiesel. Completed 11/07. With respect to clean vehicles, the City and County of San Francisco is already implementing policies that require City departments to purchase only clean, alternative fuel vehicles or highly energy- efficient vehicles for their passenger-vehicle fleets. San Francisco's municipal fleet currently has more than 1,000 clean and/or alternative fuel vehicles, including those using biodiesel, making it one of the largest clean vehicle fleets in the country.	**		**			

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

00111				(4)	2006-07	10000 5 (4)		0040 5 (4)	
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)		2010 Response (1	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	those actions appear insufficient to meet San Francisco's	Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA supports the exploration of various options to finance public transit as well as the use of clean vehicles In addition to the efforts above, SFMTA is engages in a fare free study to evaluate the conditions under which a fare free system is possible in San Francisco, which is also expected to be completed by the end of 2007. However, it should be noted that the system with its current infrastructure (fleet, facilities, systems, etc.) cannot support additional riders which would result from a fare free system. The fare free report, when completed, will identify the level of infrastructure required to be in place to support the additional demand. SFMTA and Controller issued the fare free study in Jan. 2008, which explored the feasibility of elimination of fare collection costs. The study concluded that the cost savings associated with fare collection would not be great enough to offset either lost revenue or the dramatic increase of ridership that would accompany such a change. The Mayor's Revenue Panel has issued only preliminary recommendations, focusing on increases in the cost for monthly pass and other fares to bring the cost of service closer to that of neighboring jurisdictions. Otherpossible recommendations include demand-based pricingfor City-owned parking garages and an increase in the city's hotel tax.	s. in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA supports the exploration of various options to finance public transit as well as the use of clean vehicles. In addition to the efforts above, SFMTA is engages in a fare free study to evaluate the conditions under which a fare free system is possible in San Francisco, which is also expected to be completed by the end of 2007. However, it should be noted that the system with its current infrastructure (fleet, facilities, systems, etc.) cannot support additional riders which would result from a fare free system. The fare free report, when completed, will identify the level of infrastructure required to be in place to support the additional demand. SFMTA and Controller issued the fare free study in Jan. 2008, which explored the feasibility of elimination of fare collection costs. The study concluded that cost savings associated with fare collection would not be great enough to offset either lost revenue or the dramatic increase of ridership that would accompany such a change. The Mayor's Revenue Panel has issued only preliminary recommendations, focusing on increases in the cost for monthly pass and other fares to bring the cost of service closer to that of neighboring jurisdictions. Other possible recommendations include demand-based pricing for City-owned parking garages and an increase in the city's hotel tax. Additionally, SFMTA is aggressively pursuing ARRA funding for emissions reduction projects in the areas of vehicles, facilities, and transportation sector infrastructure. In December 2008, SFMTA released the draft Climate Action Plan (CAP) for the Agency and San Francisco's ground transportation sector. The CAP details Agency greenhouse gas footprint reduction projects, as well as service modifications, private vehicle mode shift strategies, transit oriented development goals, and planning necessary to realize transportation sector emissions reductions. The CAP will be updated annually.	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA supports the exploration of various options to finance public transit as well as the use of clean vehicles. In addition to the efforts above, SFMTA completed a fare free study to evaluate the conditions under which a fare free system is possible in San Francisco. The Study confirmed that the system with its current infrastructure (fleet, facilities, systems, etc.) cannot support additional riders which would result from a fare free system. Additionally, significant additional operating revenues would be required to replace the approximate \$170-\$180 million in fare revenues. Additionally, a Revenue Panel issued draft revenue generating recommendations and suggested that solving the structural deficit should be born in three equal parts: (1) one third by the SFMTA; (2) one third by the users of the system; and (3) one third by the City as a whole. The SFMTA continues to explore funding sources at the local, regional, state and federal levels.
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B3e. If actions planned by the City do not appear feasible or if those actions appear insufficient to meet San Francisco's emission-reduction goals, the City should consider more radical solutions such as greatly-reduced transit fares combined with new sources of funding for transit, and a high-priority program to encourage clean vehicles for all uses, municipal, business and personal.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refet to Mayor, Board of Supervisors, MUNI	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B4a. For high traffic transit corridors, such as Geary Boulevard and Van Ness Avenue, the Municipal Transportation Agency should complete environmental impact studies for the proposed Bus Rapid Transit system with the goal of putting these BRT improvements into operation as soon as possible to increase speed and ridership.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	4, as pertains to Environment. This measure is entirely within the purview of the MTA, not the Environment Department.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B4a. For high traffic transit corridors, such as Geary Boulevard and Van Ness Avenue, the Municipal Transportation Agency should complete environmental impact studies for the proposed Bus Rapid Transit system with the goal of putting these BRT improvements into operation as soon as possible to increase speed and ridership.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future (2-3 years). Van Ness BRT: Final EIR expected 2009 Geary BRT: Final EIR expected 2010 SFMTA is currently working with SFCTA to conduct Environmental Impact studies for Van Ness BRT and Geary BRT beginning summer and fall 2007, respectively. The planning process for both the Geary and Van Ness Bus Rapid Transit projects are underway, both being led by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority. Several community workshops have been held to gather public input on design alternatives. In addition, extensive technical studies and modeling are being conducted to support the Environmental Review process. The target date for beginning bus rapid transit serve on Geary is 2010-2011. Available project funding is expected to range between \$150-\$200 million, with about \$50 million coming from local sales tax resources.	in the Future	Van Ness BRT: Final EIS/EIR expected in 2010. Geary BRT: Final EIS/EIR expected in 2010. SFMTA is currently working with SFCTA to conduct environmental impact studies for Van Ness BRT and Geary BRT. These studies began in 2007 and 2008 respectively. The planning process for both the Van Ness and Geary BRT projects are underway. Both are being led by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) with the SFMTA as a full partner in the process. Extensive technical studies and modeling have been conducted and several community workshops have been held to gather public input on design alternatives and issues. As of spring 2009 both projects have completed the scoping phase of environmental review and narrowed to 2-3 alternatives for each project. In Fall 2007 the Van Ness BRT project was accepted as a candidate for Federal Transit Administration (FTA) "Small Starts" funding, making it eligible to receive up to \$75 million in federal dollars. A request to enter Geary BRT into the "Smal Starts" program to receive a similar amount of funding is expected in Fall 2009. Preliminary project costs for both projects are estimated at \$200-\$300 million dollars for the more expensive options. Cost estimates in greater detail for both projects are due by Summer 2009. The target date for commencing BRT construction on Van Ness is 2011, with new service starting operation in 2012-2013. The timeline for the Geary BRT project is approximately 6 months to 1 year behind the Van Ness BRT project.	Future	Van Ness BRT: Final EIS/EIR expected in 2011. Geary BRT: Final EIS/EIR expected in 2011. SFMTA is currently working with SFCTA to conduct environmental impact studies for Van Ness BRT and Geary BRT. These studies began in 2007 and 2008 respectively. The planning process for both the Van Ness and Geary BRT projects are underway. Both are being led by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) with the SFMTA as a full partner in the process. Extensive technical studies and modeling have been conducted and several community workshops have been held to gather public input on design alternatives and other project issues. As of spring 2010, both projects have completed the scoping phase of environmental review and narrowed to 3 action alternatives for each project. In fall 2007 the Van Ness BRT project was accepted as a candidate for Federal Transit Administration (FTA) "Small Starts" funding, making it eligible to receive up to \$75 million in federal dollars. A request to enter Geary BRT into the "Small Starts" program to receive a similar amount of funding has been deferred to address key issues, including refined cost estimates, funding gap and possible project phasing. Geary continues to proceed through the environmental review process and is expected to follow Van Ness as a full BRT project to be implemented as soon as possible during this decade. Preliminary project costs for both projects are estimated at \$200-\$300 million dollars for the more expensive options. These estimates include the costs of the BRT infrastructure, plus parallel required projects (e.g. Van Ness - replacement of streetlights / poles / overhead contact system used to power trolleycoach buses, street repavement, signal replacement and upgrade, etc. and e.g. Geary - added costs to perform design and construction work on the Fillmore and Masonic underpasses / tunnels, possible replacement of streetlights / poles, etc.). Cost estimates in greater detail for the Van Ness project were received in August 2009 and showed the pre
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B4b. The Municipal Transportation Agency should develop more ways to increase speed and effectiveness of Muni on all routes, such as: improved stop spacing; increased use of prepaid fares; other proof-of-payment alternatives; more bus bulbs; signal preemption; more buses on primary corridors; restricted left turns for non-transit vehicles; and additional transit-only lanes.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	4, as pertains to Environment. This measure is entirely within the purview of the MTA, not the Environment Department.	**		**	

Page 7 of 71

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text
2006-07	Promise to Reduce	B4b. The Municipal Transportation Agency should develop more ways to increase speed and effectiveness of Muni on all routes, such as: improved stop spacing; increased use of prepaid fares; other proof-of-payment alternatives; more bus bulbs; signal preemption; more buses on primary corridors; restricted left turns for non-transit vehicles; and additional transit-only lanes.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Recommendation is being implemented on an ongoing basis through the Transit Effectiveness Project and the Transit Preferential Streets group. SFMTA and the Controller launched the TEP in May 2006, with recommendations announced Feb. 2008. "Early action" items were identified and implemented throughout the project. Pilot programs focusing on individual bus lines, including the 1-California, J-Church and 29-Sunset identified staffing and other changes that to improve performance. The Mayor's budgets invested \$2.4 million over 2 years for the study. The TEP released recommendations and proposed service changes Feb. 26 and will continue review with the community throughout March and April. Final reports, recommendations and implementation strategy are anticipated in Summer 2008. In addition to TEP efforts, SFMTA is currently pursuing a number of different legislative, programmatic and enforcement activities currently underway to investigate the effectiveness of different methods to speed the flow of Muni vehicles, including preferential signaling, bus-only lane enforcement, Bus Rapid Transit, and pilot testing of different vehicle types, sizes and boarding methods on major transit corridors. Further, with the support of Assemblywoman Ma, AB101 was passed and will help reduce transit lane violations through issuance of citations to individuals obstructing the movement of buses. These violations are issued based on video evidence from cameras mounted on the front of Muni buses.	in the Future	Effectiveness Project and the Transit Preferential Streets group. SFMTA and the		Recommendation will be Implemented in the Future; implementation is ongoing. The TEP planning phase concluded in October 2008 and produced recommendations to update Muni routes and improve transit travel time and reliability. TEP implementation will continue in 2010 with an environmental assessment and further development of travel time improvement strategies for priority corridors. In response to budget challenges, the SFMTA implemented significant transit service changes in December 2009. Highlights included: Adjusting running times to improve reliability; discontinuing routes and eliminating route segments with high subsidies per passenger; increasing service on crowded routes, restructuring routes to make new connections and expanding limited-stop services. During 2009, the SFMTA also conducted an extensive fare survey to determine where fare evasion is most prevalent and aid in the deployment of fare inspectors. As part of this program, fare inspectors have been introduced throughout the system, inclusive of both bus and light rail. To help speed up fare payment, SFMTA has launched the regional smart card (Translink) in coordination with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). Over the next year, use and promotion of Translink on systems throughout the Bay Area region will be ongoing.
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B5a. The Municipal Transportation Agency, in cooperation with the Department of Environment, should improve informational campaigns to encourage all employees, whether public or private, to take public transportation to work, and should promote the Muni Fast Pass to increase ridership.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	4, as pertains to Environment. This measure is entirely within the purview of the MTA, not the Environment Department.	t **		**	
2006-07	Promise to Reduce	the Department of Environment, should improve informational	Municipal Transportation Agency		Agree. A marketing campaign will be launched in October to promote online sales of Fast Passes. SFMTA conducted a campaign over the holidays promoting online sales of FastPass and Meter Cards (print and online), and a similar holiday campaign is expected in 2008. SFMTA also began selling Fast Passes on-line in April 2007, and continues to explore ways to work with merchants to carry fast passes and increase sales. In August 2007, a new sales kiosk opened in the Embarcadero station, and proposed budgets contain fund to expand and improve sales kiosks at Powell and Market and Hyde and Beach. New kiosks will accommodate more staff to meet peak period demand, and will be equipped with telephone and LAN lines to process credit card transactions.	i i		**	
2006-07	Promise to Reduce	B5a. The Municipal Transportation Agency, in cooperation with the Department of Environment, should improve informational campaigns to encourage all employees, whether public or private, to take public transportation to work, and should promote the Muni Fast Pass to increase ridership.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to MTA, Dept. of Environment	**		**	
2006-07	Promise to Reduce	B5b. The Municipal Transportation Agency, in cooperation with the Department of Environment, should improve incentive programs to encourage City employees to participate in transit-related, Pre-Tax Commuter Benefit Programs.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	4, as pertains to Environment. This measure is entirely within the purview of the MTA, not the Environment Department.	t **		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	·	Municipal Transportation Agency		Agree. This program would be managed by SF Environment with input and support from SFMTA.		Agree. This program would be managed by SF Environment with input and support from SFMTA.	Recommendation Implemented	Recommendation has been Implemented; Implementation is ongoing. On average, 5,000 City employees participated in City employee commuter benefits program as of March 2010. Enrollment has increased nearly 100% when compared to 2008. Department of the Environment (DOE) staff continue to make presentations at new hire orientations and hold brown bag lunches and tabling events throughout the year in an effort to increase program participation. DOE manages a third party contract with FBMC to provide convenient ordering; transit passes are delivered directly to City employees at their home address. DOE actively coordinates with SFMTA to survey commuter benefits program enrollment as part of the Climate Action Plan.
2006-07	Promise to Reduce		Board of Supervisors	-	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and D as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted of authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	d d d d d o o		**	

(1) "--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)) 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Promise to Reduce	B6a. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby State lawmakers to pass AB493, which would give significant rebates to drivers who buy clean-running cars, to be funded with a one-time charge on purchase of new high-emission autos.	Environment		The State Legislation Committee does not lobby. If specific legislation were introduced to address transit funding, any department or member of the State Legislation Committee could present that legislation to the Committee for a formal vote on City endorsement.	**		*	
		, ,	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			*	
	•	B6b. The Mayor should urge commercial entities with fleets servicing the City to change to cleaner alternative fuels.	Environment		Ongoing. The Department also is working with major private fleets, including taxi companies (through the SF Taxi Commission), shuttle fleet operators and others to encourage and assist them in using alternative fuels and otherwise cleaning their fleets (see Recommendation B6b). SFE is also currently developing amendments to update and strengthen the Healthy Air and Smog Prevention ordinance that applies to the City's efforts in this area.	**	*	*	
	Promise to Reduce	B6c. The Board of Supervisors should establish regulations and fees for commercial fleets serving the City that do not convert to alternative fuels by 2012.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implementation of supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			*	
	Promise to Reduce	B6c. The Board of Supervisors should establish regulations and fees for commercial fleets serving the City that do not convert to alternative fuels by 2012.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	4, as pertains to Environment. Measure is entirely under purview of the Board of Supervisors.	**	y	*	
	Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B6d. The Board of Supervisors should consider a tax-reduction or fee-reduction alternative, such as rebate of part of the annual business license fee, for any business that demonstrates purchase of a low-emission vehicle.		Warranted or Not	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1) 2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B6d. The Board of Supervisors should consider a tax-reduction or fee-reduction alternative, such as rebate of part of the annual business license fee, for any business that demonstrates purchase of a low-emission vehicle.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	4, as pertains to Environment. Measure is entirely under purview of the Board of Supervisors.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7a. The General Services Agency (GSA) and the Office of the Mayor should collaborate to put in "soft" orders for plug-in vehicles for the City's automobile fleet.	Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7a. The General Services Agency (GSA) and the Office of the Mayor should collaborate to put in "soft" orders for plug-in vehicles for the City's automobile fleet.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation does not pertain to the Planning Department.	**		**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7a. The General Services Agency (GSA) and the Office of the Mayor should collaborate to put in "soft" orders for plug-in vehicles for the City's automobile fleet.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	Soft order announced 02/08.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7a. The General Services Agency (GSA) and the Office of the Mayor should collaborate to put in "soft" orders for plug-in vehicles for the City's automobile fleet.	Municipal Transportation Agency		This recommendation could be implemented in the future. Current stations can be modified and new stations can be added. A study would help to identify cost-effective placement of new or additional stations.	Will Be Implemente in the Future,Requires Further Analysis		Will Be Implemented in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future. Current stations can be modified and new stations can be added. SFMTA is working closely with the Bay Area Regional Electric Vehicles Initiative to identify opportunities for existing infrastructure modifications and new installations that will support standardized EV plug-in systems in municipal parking garages and other parking areas. The goal is to make the Bay Area the EV capital of the country by enabling a mode shift from conventional vehicles to EVs through convenient charging stations and other potential incentives.
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?		Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7b. The Department of Environment should encourage city businesses, working through Plug-in Bay Area, to put in "soft" orders for plug-in vehicles and join a city-by-city campaign to create incentives for the automotive companies to quickly put this technology into production (order form in Appendix #5, p. 48).		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation does not pertain to the Planning Department.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7b. The Department of Environment should encourage city businesses, working through Plug-in Bay Area, to put in "soft" orders for plug-in vehicles and join a city-by-city campaign to create incentives for the automotive companies to quickly put this technology into production (order form in Appendix #5, p. 48).	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	Announced with Mayor's Office 02/08, with letters sent to Bay Area cities and counties.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7b. The Department of Environment should encourage city businesses, working through Plug-in Bay Area, to put in "soft" orders for plug-in vehicles and join a city-by-city campaign to create incentives for the automotive companies to quickly put this technology into production (order form in Appendix #5, p. 48).	Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future,Requires Further Analysis	Potential locations for charging stations within existing public parking garages should be identified so that cost-effective installations can be implemented when needed.	Will Be Implemente in the Future,Requires Further Analysis	d Potential locations for charging stations within existing public parking garages should be identified so that cost-effective installations can be implemented when needed. SFMTA is working closely with the Bay Area Regional Electric Vehicles Initiative to identify existing infrastructure modifications and new installations that will support standardized EV plug-in systems in municipal parking garages and other parking areas. The goal is to make the Bay Area the EV capital of the country by enabling a mode shift from conventional vehicles to EVs through convenient charging stations and other potential incentives.	Implemented in the Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future. Potential locations for charging stations within existing public parking garages are being identified so that cost-effective installations can be implemented when needed. SFMTA is working closely with the Bay Area Regional Electric Vehicles Initiative to identify existing infrastructure modifications and new installations that will support standardized EV plug-in systems in municipal parking garages and other parking areas. The goal is to make the Bay Area the EV capital of the country by enabling a mode shift from conventional vehicles to EVs through convenient charging stations and other potential incentives.
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7c. The Departments of Planning, Parking and Traffic and the Parking Authority, consistent with the requirements legislated in the San Francisco Environment Code, should at regular intervals perform a market demand assessment for publicly accessible electric charging bays in City-owned garages and be ready to provide access to outlets for the plug-in hybrids and electric vehicles when they come on the market.	Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**	
F	Promise to Reduce	B7c. The Departments of Planning, Parking and Traffic and the Parking Authority, consistent with the requirements legislated in the San Francisco Environment Code, should at regular intervals perform a market demand assessment for publicly accessible electric charging bays in City-owned garages and be ready to provide access to outlets for the plug-in hybrids and electric vehicles when they come on the market.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This responsibility would lie with the Municipal Transportation Agency.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7c. The Departments of Planning, Parking and Traffic and the Parking Authority, consistent with the requirements legislated in the San Francisco Environment Code, should at regular intervals perform a market demand assessment for publicly accessible electric charging bays in City-owned garages and be ready to provide access to outlets for the plug-in hybrids and electric vehicles when they come on the market.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Will not be Implemented, as pertains to Environment. Measure is directed at DPT and Parking Authority.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7c. The Departments of Planning, Parking and Traffic and the Parking Authority, consistent with the requirements legislated in the San Francisco Environment Code, should at regular intervals perform a market demand assessment for publicly accessible electric charging bays in City-owned garages and be ready to provide access to outlets for the plug-in hybrids and electric vehicles when they come on the market.	Municipal Transportation	Requires Further Analysis	Currently, there is insufficient demand. New plugin hybrids might generate increased demand, but it will take time for this to materialize.	Requires Further Analysis	Currently, there is insufficient demand. New plug-in hybrids might generate increased demand, but it will take time for this to materialize. Mayor's Office and SFMTA staff are working on installation of new charges and/or upgrading existing charging systems at City-owned parking garages.	Implemented in the	Will be Implemented once external funding is secured (2-3 years) SFMTA will continue to assist Department of Environment with grant applications to secure funding for upgrading EV infrastructure. No specific actions are planned for 2010.
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7c. The Departments of Planning, Parking and Traffic and the Parking Authority, consistent with the requirements legislated in the San Francisco Environment Code, should at regular intervals perform a market demand assessment for publicly accessible electric charging bays in City-owned garages and be ready to provide access to outlets for the plug-in hybrids and electric vehicles when they come on the market.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Planning Dept., DPT, Parking Authority	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7d. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop regulations requiring electrical outlets for plug-in vehicles in the construction of new apartments and condominiums with garages.	Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree. Same as above in terms of authority to create regulations. (DBI does not develop regulations. DBI implements them once they are part of code requirements.)	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7d. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop regulations requiring electrical outlets for plug-in vehicles in the construction of new apartments and condominiums with garages.	City Planning	Requires Further Analysis	To promote the use of alternative fuel vehicles, the Planning Department will analyze in the next six months appropriate regulations to require electrical outlets for plug-in vehicles in the construction of new apartments and condominiums with garages.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The conclusion of the Planning Department is that the codification of this requirement and enforcement of it are best implemented by The Building Department and its Electrical Inspection Division.	**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7d. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop regulations requiring electrical outlets for plug-in vehicles in the construction of new apartments and condominiums with garages.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Will not be Implemented, as pertains to Environment. Measure is directed at DBI and Planning.	**		**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7d. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop regulations requiring electrical outlets for plug-in vehicles in the construction of new apartments and condominiums with garages.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Requires Further Analysis	SFMTA supports this recommendation but the Department of Planning and Building Inspections would manage this program.	Requires Further Analysis	SFMTA supports this recommendation but the Department of Planning and Building Inspections would manage this program.	Requires Further Analysis	SFMTA supports this recommendation but the Department of Planning and Building Inspections would manage this program. SFMTA will assist as needed.
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7d. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop regulations requiring electrical outlets for plug-in vehicles in the construction of new apartments and condominiums with garages.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	(Department did not provide explanation.)	**		**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7e. The Department of Environment should keep the public informed of the status of the development and availability of plug in hybrids and electric vehicles as they become commercially available, and of the sources and availability of kits to convert hybrids into plug-in hybrids. They should also keep the public updated on the locations of charging bays in public garages.	Building - Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7e. The Department of Environment should keep the public informed of the status of the development and availability of plug in hybrids and electric vehicles as they become commercially available, and of the sources and availability of kits to convert hybrids into plug-in hybrids. They should also keep the public updated on the locations of charging bays in public garages.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation does not pertain to the Planning Department.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7e. The Department of Environment should keep the public informed of the status of the development and availability of plug in hybrids and electric vehicles as they become commercially available, and of the sources and availability of kits to convert hybrids into plug-in hybrids. They should also keep the public updated on the locations of charging bays in public garages.			Ongoing. SFE already provides on the Department's web site, and will continue to provide, information and links regarding plug-in hybrid availability and charging. The web site currently includes links to Plug-In Partners and CalCars California Initiative.	**		**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B7e. The Department of Environment should keep the public informed of the status of the development and availability of plug in hybrids and electric vehicles as they become commercially available, and of the sources and availability of kits to convert hybrids into plug-in hybrids. They should also keep the public updated on the locations of charging bays in public garages.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Requires Further Analysis	When the need is once again established, the Parking Authority recommends that information be included on the SFMTA website and a charging station universal symbol be developed so that signs can be displayed on public parking garages informing the public of service availability within those garages. There are currently 27 EV charging stations in 12 SFMTA parking locations, each of which is listed on the SFMTA Parking Garage website. Usage has not achieved desired levels because the types of chargers installed are for pure electric vehicles; most drivers have purchased hybrid electric vehicles. SFMTA has also had conversations with the Mayor's Office on the Climate Action Plan that includes provision of additional accommodations for plug-in vehicles in the garages. Signage is currently in the planning process and should be implemented within the next six to twelve months.	Requires Further Analysis	When the need is once again established, the Parking Authority recommends that information be included on the SFMTA website and a charging station universal symbol be developed so that signs can be displayed on public parking garages informing the public of service availability within those garages. There are currently 27 EV charging stations in 12 SFMTA parking locations, each of which is listed on the SFMTA Parking Garage website. Usage has not achieved desired levels because chargers installed are for pure electric vehicles; most drivers have purchased hybrid electric vehicles. SFMTA has also had conversations with the Mayor's Office on the Climate Action Plan that includes provision of additional accommodations for plug-in vehicles in the garages. Signage is currently in planning process and should be implemented within the next six to twelve months.	Future	Recommendation will be implemented in the future and/or when additional EV infrastructure is built (2-3 years) SFMTA website currently provides information on available EV charging stations at City garages. As more infrastructure is added, SFMTA will continue to update the website with up to date information.

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned. Page 11 of 71

				2006-07				
(CGJ Year Report Title	Recommendation Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B8a. The Board of Supervisors should enact legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles. Required Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implements responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
2	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B8a. The Board of Supervisors should enact legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles.	Recommendation Implemented	Legislation signed by Mayor 03/08.	**		**	
2	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B8a. The Board of Supervisors should enact legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles.		Recommendation has been implemented; Board of Supervisors raised the average gate fee by \$7.50 per shift for vehicles chosen from a list provided by TXC and DOE.	**		**	
2	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B8b. The Taxi Commission should formulate a plan which would allow group investments in hybrids to facilitate the fastest acquisition and lowest negotiated prices of these new vehicles. Board of Supervisors acquisition and lowest negotiated prices of these new vehicles.		RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implements responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B8b. The Taxi Commission should formulate a plan which would allow group investments in hybrids to facilitate the fastest acquisition and lowest negotiated prices of these new vehicles.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable		**		**	
2	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B8b. The Taxi Commission should formulate a plan which would allow group investments in hybrids to facilitate the fastest acquisition and lowest negotiated prices of these new vehicles.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This will not be implemented. Taxi Commission does not have the staff to take on this project with a staff of five, including three clerks who handle permitting and Board actions. This job is better suited to DOE with its 68 employees or Mayor's Office on Clean Technology or another department that focuses on such programming and negotiation in the alternative energy sector.			XX	
2	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B9. The Municipal Transportation Agency, Planning Department, Department of Public Works (DPW), and the General Services Agency, in coordination with bicycle advocacy groups, should increase and improve: ☐ The number of bicycle lanes, routes, and paths ☐ Bicycle parking facilities ☐ Dedicated bicycle storage in City buildings and ☐ A public service campaign to educate bicyclists and drivers on	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**	
		☐ A public service campaign to educate bicyclists and drivers on road safety and etiquette, with maps showing dedicated bicycle lanes.						

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2006-07 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1	2010 Response Text
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its	B9. The Municipal Transportation Agency, Planning Department,	Required	Will Be	Setting standards for these items is the responsibility of MTA's new Bicycle Master Plan.			Will Be	The injunction on the Bicycle Plan remains in effect. The Bicycle Plan Final EIR has been
Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	Department of Public Works (DPW), and the General Services Agency, in coordination with bicycle advocacy groups, should increase and improve: The number of bicycle lanes, routes, and paths Bicycle parking facilities Dedicated bicycle storage in City buildings and A public service campaign to educate bicyclists and drivers on road safety and etiquette, with maps showing dedicated bicycle lanes.		Implemented in the Future	The Bicycle Master Plan, though complete, is under a court injunction that prevents its being adopted and its policies from being implemented. Increasing bike lanes, routes, and paths, as well as conducting public information campaigns, is the duty of the MTA, no the Planning Department. The Bicycle Master Plan is the policy document the Planning Department would rely upon to support new bicycle facilities in the city, as well as to set new standards for bike parking and storage facilities. Environmental analysis required by the injunction is now underway. Once the EIR is judged complete and is certified, and assuming the injunction is then lifted and the Bicycle Plan is adopted, the Planning Department would adopt the policies and standards contained in the Bike Master Plan, as appropriate. It would also make planning code revisions for bike parking and storage facility requirements, as well as for other elements of the plan.	in the Future		Implemented in the	certified. The Courts allowed lifting of the injunction for 10 projects. However, the remainder cannot be implemented until the injunction is finally lifted.
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B9. The Municipal Transportation Agency, Planning Department, Department of Public Works (DPW), and the General Services Agency, in coordination with bicycle advocacy groups, should increase and improve: ☐ The number of bicycle lanes, routes, and paths ☐ Bicycle parking facilities ☐ Dedicated bicycle storage in City buildings and ☐ A public service campaign to educate bicyclists and drivers on road safety and etiquette, with maps showing dedicated bicycle lanes.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Will not be Implemented, as pertains to Environment. Measure if directed at MTA, Planning, and DPW.	**		**	
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B9. The Municipal Transportation Agency, Planning Department, Department of Public Works (DPW), and the General Services Agency, in coordination with bicycle advocacy groups, should increase and improve: The number of bicycle lanes, routes, and paths Bicycle parking facilities Dedicated bicycle storage in City buildings and A public service campaign to educate bicyclists and drivers on road safety and etiquette, with maps showing dedicated bicycle lanes.	Services Agency - Public Works	Implemented,Will Be Implemented in the Future,Requires	While DPW does not have the authority to plan or implement bicycle lanes or routes under the Charter (the Municipal Transportation Agency does), DPW does help facilitate bicycle use in San Francisco. DPW has implemented the following in response to the recommendations: 1. Under Section 155 of the Planning Code, all City-owned and City-leased facilities are to have bicycle parking with certain exceptions. As the designer and contracting agency for most General Fund departments, DPW assists in providing bicycle parking through new construction or renovation of city facilities. 2. Bicycle routes receive higher priority for repaving under DPW's paving program. 3. The San Francisco Bicycle Coalition is cooperating with DPW's Bureau of Street and Sewer Repair in filling potholes on bicycle routes. Coalition members mark potholes with spray paint, and the potholes are filled by DPW crews to ensure a safer ride for cyclists in San Francisco.	the Future, Requires Further Analysis		Will Be Implemented in the Future	As previously stated, DPW does not have the authority to plan or implement bicycle lanes or routes under the Charter (the Municipal Transportation Agency does), but DPW does help facilitate bicycle use in San Francisco. Moreover, the ability to increase or change bicycle facilities continues to be severely constrained by the injunction on implementation of the Bicycle Plan. We have continued our efforts in the following areas: • DPW continues to assist in providing bicycle parking in new or renovated city facilities in its role as project manager and/or designer of those facilities. • Bicycle routes continue to be one of the major selection criteria components for resurfacing City streets under DPW's paving program. • Semi-annual meetings are held with SF Bicycle Coalition (SFBC) representatives to discuss bicycle route conditions and paving candidates. • SFBC provides feedback and suggestions on route priority that assists in the DPW's paving program annual project candidate selection. • DPW has continued working with SFBC through 311 on the pothole repair program. • DPW meets regularly with MTA and is supporting their implementation of the Bicycle Plan projects authorized by the partial lifting of the injunction.
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	B9. The Municipal Transportation Agency, Planning Department, Department of Public Works (DPW), and the General Services Agency, in coordination with bicycle advocacy groups, should increase and improve: The number of bicycle lanes, routes, and paths Bicycle parking facilities Dedicated bicycle storage in City buildings and A public service campaign to educate bicyclists and drivers on road safety and etiquette, with maps showing dedicated bicycle lanes.	Transportation Agency	Implemented,Will Be Implemented in the Future,Requires	Recommendation implemented on an ongoing basis. Maps are generally updated every year. Since the Policy Framework for the Bicycle Plan was approved in 2005, the City has expanded education and outreach efforts to improve bicycle safety, and has continued to improve the bicycle route network. The bicycle route network now includes approx. 44 miles of streets with bicycle lanes and 23 miles of streets with shared roadway markings. In June 2006 the City was enjoined by the California Superior Court from implementing components of the Bicycle Plan, and ruled in November 2006 that the City was in violation of CEQA laws for failure to conduct an EIR for the Bicycle Plan and the projects contained therein. SFMTA expects that any further physical bicycle improvements will be on hold until environmental review of the Bicycle Plan is completed (expected to be completed Fall 2008); and implementation of bicycle improvement projects will resume in 2009. Safety education and outreach work are continuing during the injunction, and the City continues to work on the planning and design of bicycle improvement projects in anticipation of the lifting of the injunction.	the Future, Requires Further Analysis	every year. Since the Policy Framework for the Bicycle Plan was approved in 2005, the	•	Recommendation will be implemented in the future and/or implementation is ongoing as allowed. Although most bicycle infrastructure improvements have been delayed due to an injunction issued by the Superior Court in June 2006, some notable facility improvement projects have been implemented and education and outreach efforts have been ongoing. On November 24th, 2009, the Court issued an order modifying the injunction and setting a briefing schedule and hearing date for June 1, 2010 (later postponed until June 22, 2010). Among the modifications to the injunction were to allow the City to install sidewalk bicycle parking, shared roadway markings, 10 bike lane projects, and innovative pilot treatments along the bikeway network. Since the modification of the injunction in November 2009, the SFMTA has implemented nine bike lane projects and nine miles of shared roadway markings, bringing the citywide bicycle network to 23 miles of bicycle paths, 48 miles of bike lanes, 32 miles of streets with shared roadway markings, and 129 miles of streets that are signed as bike routes. The SFMTA has also begun several pilot projects to implement innovative bicycle treatments based on global best practices in bicycle facility design. With regard to outreach and education, the SFMTA produces and distributes a San Francisco Bike Map and Walking Guide that illustrates the bicycle route network along with landmarks and topographic information. Future changes to the bicycle route network as a result of the 2009 Bike Plan have been posted on the SFMTA Web site, and future versions of the San Francisco Bike Map will incorporate these changes as they are implemented. The SFMTA also continues to work with the advocate community to produce and distribute outreach materials and educate the general public about cycling safety. Among those efforts are the annual promotion of bicycle commuting in conjunction with national Bike to Work Day, a campaign to educate motorists how to use streets with shared roadway markings, distribution of bicy
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.		Recommendation Implemented, Will Be Implemented in the Future, Requires Further Analysis	SF PUC has completed energy audit. Implementation of recommendations has been started, and we anticipate additional implementation within the next four years.	Recommendation Implemented	Recommendations implemented. Various operation changes are being carried out. For the month of February, as compared to February 2008, the Airport observed a 7.65% drop in electricity usage. More analysis and adjustments for the energy savings are being pursued.	**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned. Page 13 of 71

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1) 2009 Response Text 2	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	·	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility	Required Board of		RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio		**		,
Promis	se to Reduce	Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.	Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and D1 as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted of authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED				
Promis	se to Reduce	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation is not within the Planning Department's jurisdiction.	**		•	
Promis	an Francisco Keep Its se to Reduce house Gas Emissions?	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.			This recommendation does not request action from SFUSD. It is a recommendation for the Department of the Environment and the PUC. As noted in the original response, SFUSD will cooperate to provide available information if requested by the DOE or PUC.	**	**		
Promis	se to Reduce	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.	Environment		Will not be Implemented, as pertains to Environment. The lead agency for energy efficiency in municipal facilities is the SFPUC, not SFE. SFE provides support to SFPUC when requested.	**		•	
Promis	an Francisco Keep Its se to Reduce house Gas Emissions?	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.			The San Francisco Fire Department is willing to explore options to reduce its energy use and is willing to review and discuss the results of any audits that may be directed by the Mayor or Board of Supervisors. No energy audit has yet been done on any SFFD facilities or equipment	Recommendation Implemented	Energy audits have been conducted in cooperation with the Division of Support Services. Energy efficient lighting has been (and is currently being) utilized in all fire department facilities and stations. The recycling program is very successful and has reduced the department's waste and trash bills significantly. The Command staff vehicle fleet as well as the Fire Prevention vehicle fleet are all hybrid vehicles.	•	
Promis	se to Reduce	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.	Mayor	Implemented	Agree and implemented. In September 2005, 1 issued an Executive Directive on Energy Conservation (05-04), directing all City departments to submit reports on their energy conservation initiatives to SFPUC. As a result, 23 departments provided reports.	**	**	•	
Promis	an Francisco Keep Its se to Reduce house Gas Emissions?	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.	Agency		Recommendation already implemented. SFMTA has been working with PUC on the Clear Air - Clean Energy Program for the past two years. PUC recommendations include facility lighting and HVAC improvements. Although not included in the Clean Air - Clean Energy Program, SFMTA is also working with PUC on solar projects for the 700 Pennsylvania Ave. and Woods facilities.	,	**		
Promis	se to Reduce	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.		Recommendation Implemented	The Port and SFPUC completed investment grade energy audit in March 27, 2007.	**	**		
Promis	an Francisco Keep Its se to Reduce house Gas Emissions?	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.		Implemented in the	DPH agrees with the recommendation and will work with the Department of Environment and PUC to reduce energy use based on energy audits. DPH will work collaboratively with the Department of Environment and the Mayor's Office to comply with recent Mayoral Executive Directive 07-13 issued December 2007.	Recommendation Implemented	Submitted DPH Climate Action Plan in January, 2009 detailing baseline energy usage in 07-08 (electricity, gas, fleet, and steam). The plan included initiatives implemented to-date as well as future initiatives to reduce carbon footprint, including: - enhanced recycling and waste diversion - replacing steam turbines at SFGH with diesel generators - encouraging and supporting use of mass transportation for DPH employees - pursuing LEED certifications for rebuild projects at Laguna Honda Hospital and SFGH Ongoing effort.		

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1a. The Department of Environment and Public Utility Commission should request plans from high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, to reduce energy use based on energy audits.		Implemented, Will Be Implemented in the Future	SFPUC has completed audits for MUNI, SF Airport, the Port, and portions of the SFPUC. Additional audits are planned for general fund departments. The completed audit recommendations are in the implementation phase and will be completed over the next one to five years (based on availability of funding). The SFPUC has completed numerous energy efficiency projects: *SF General Hospital — Lighting. In 13 buildings at SFGH Medical Center, installed energy efficient lighting. FY 2002-03 *SF Housing Authority, housing developments. Installed 2000 energy-efficient refrigerators. FY 2003-04 *Department of Public Health — Clinics. Installed Energy efficient lighting at 17 facilities. FY 2002-03 *Golden Gate Park. Installed Rec. & Park Dept. energy efficiency (lighting). FY 2003-04 *2323 Cesar Chavez DPW/BBR shop. Installed Lighting efficiency at DPW Corporation Yard and administrative offices. FY 2003-04 *West Portal Library. Installed energy efficient fluorescent lighting FY 2002-03 *City-wide traffic signals. LED traffic signals retrofit. FY 2003-04 *Moscone Convention Center — ECM. Lighting retrofit and control modification. FY 2003-04 *DPT Garages. Lighting. FY 2003-04 *DPT Garages. Lighting. FY 2003-04 *DPT Garages. Pilot Program. Reduced load at water/wastewater pump stations and treatment plants. FY 2005-06 *Demand Response Pilot Program. Reduced load at water/wastewater pump stations and treatment plants. FY 2005-06 *South-East Wastewater Plant - Mixer Project. Motors and controls for WWE aeration basin mixer. FY 2006-07 *Library Motor Controls. Variable frequency drive controls for HVAC fan controls. FY 2006-07 *Library Motor Controls. Variable frequency drive controls for HVAC fan controls. FY 2006-07 *Moscone Center West — Recommissioning for the heating, ventilation and air conditioning system. FY 2007-08	Implemented	SFPUC completed audits for MUNI, SFO, the Port, Central Shops, and portions of the SFPUC. The completed audit recommendations for SFO, SFPUC, and the Port are in the implementation phase and will be completed over the next one to five years based on availability of funding. The SFPUC completed Enterprise Department lighting energy efficiency projects at: SFPUC Water Supply & Treatment auto shops SFPUC Mocassin- Kirkwood Southeast, Oceanside, and Northside waste treatment plants phase 1 The following General Fund Projects are in the construction phase. Hall of Justice 1660 Mission Broadway Tunnel DPW Bureau of Building Repair Corporation Yard McLaren Lodge SFPUC completed audits for General Fund Departments and the recommendations are planned for implementation at: Main library 1650 Mission Opera House Veteran's building Davies Symphony Hall Geary Street Tunnel Homeless Services (Polk), Homeless Services (Bryant) Fire Police		
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	Airport	Recommendation Implemented, Will Be Implemented in the Future, Requires Further Analysis	Energy audit that was performed by SF PUC includes upgrades of facilities to achieve energy efficiency.	Recommendation Implemented	Investment Grade Audit completed. The audit identified \$38.1M Energy Efficiency Measures (EEM), which may result in an annual savings of estimated \$6.1M. Work on Two EEMs (\$5M) – high efficiency Boilers and variable frequency drives (VFDs) – started. These EEMs will potentially result in savings of \$220K per year due to reduction in energy consumption. This work will be completed in 2009. Design for other EEMs is continuing.		
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	As above.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	County Education Office	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation assumes that energy audits have or will be completed for SFUSD. There have been no such audits. As noted in the original response, SFUSD welcomes suggestions from DOE or PUC that will improve SFUSD's energy savings.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Will not be Implemented, as pertains to Environment. The lead agency for energy efficiency in municipal facilities is the SFPUC, not SFE. SFE provides support to SFPUC when requested.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1) 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (2010 Response Text
Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	•	Requires Further Analysis	No energy audits have been done on any SFFD facilities or equipment. Until those audit(s) are complete and can be reviewed, the SFFD cannot commit to any reductions in power use.	Recommendation Implemented	Energy audits have been conducted in cooperation with the Division of Support Services. Energy efficient lighting has been (and is currently being) utilized in all fire department facilities and stations. The recycling program is very successful and has reduced the department's waste and trash bills significantly. The Command staff vehicle fleet as well as the Fire Prevention vehicle fleet are all hybrid vehicles.	**	
Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's Office supports the departments' responses. In many cases, these recommendations ha e already been implemented or are on track to he implemented in the future.	**		**	
Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Recommendation Implemented	Recommendation already implemented. SFMTA has been working with PUC on the Clean Air - Clean Energy Program for the past two years. PUC recommendations include facility lighting and HVAC improvements. Although not included in the Clean Air - Clean Energy Program, SFMTA is also working with PUC on solar projects for the 700 Pennsylvania Ave. and Woods facilities.	**		**	
Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	Port	Recommendation Implemented	The Port and SFPUC signed memorandum of understanding on April 20, 2007. This MOU calls for the SFPUC to fund, design and construct energy efficiency measures and the Port to repay SFPUC over 4 years out of energy savings. Total projects costs are estimated at \$1,350,000. Energy efficiency measure design is in progress.	**		**	
Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	Public Health	Will Be Implemented in the Future	DPH will work with Department of Environment and PUC to budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply energy audit findings.	Will Be Implemente in the Future		•	Working closely with PUC and DOE to implement. Working with consultants Kuhn and Kuh Engineers who have received plans for SFGH and Primary Care facilities to conduct energ usage audits.
Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1b. The high energy users, such as Muni, SFPUC, San Francisco Airport, the Port, Fire Department, Central Shops, Unified School District, Planning, and Health Department, with guidance from the Department of Environment and SFPUC, should budget for and implement facilities repair and upgrades where necessary to comply with the energy audit findings.	Public Utilities	Recommendation Implemented,Will Be Implemented in the Future	Numerous departments have secured funding for projects and those projects have been designed and constructed (see response C1a). Many large energy users including the Port, MUNI and the SFO have received audits from the PUC Power Enterprise and have begun planning, design or construction of the recommendations. Other departments have received audits or recommendations for energy efficiency savings measures but have not yet secured funding for projects and so those projects have not yet been implemented. Those departments /projects include MUNI Railway, Public Health, Port (tenants), Rec & Park, Asian Art Museum. SFPUC continues project development and implementation.	Implemented	Numerous departments secured funding for projects. The SFPUC is paying for General Fund department improvements. The projects are in design or construction, or have completed construction (see response C1a). Many large energy users, including MUNI and SFO, received audits from the PUC Power Enterprise. SFO has begun planning, design or construction of the recommendations. Other departments received audits or recommendations for energy efficiency savings measures but have not yet secured or allocated funding for projects. These departments/projects include MUNI Railway, SFGH backup generator, and Asian Art Museum. SFPUC continues project development and implementation efforts with those departments.		
Promise to Reduce	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	Airport	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Budget approvals will be required from the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.	**		**	The Emergency generator replacement program at SFGH is in the pipeline with funding froboth the General Fund and the PUC.
Promise to Reduce	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implementation of supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	

2006-07

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned. Page 16 of 71

					2000-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation does not pertain to the Planning Department.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	County Education Offic	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation does not request action from SFUSD. It is a recommendation for the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Will not be Implemented, as pertains to Environment. The lead agency for energy efficiency in municipal facilities is the SFPUC, not SFE. SFE provides support to SFPUC when requested.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	Fire Departmen	Requires Further Analysis	The SFFD has already submitted its 2008-2009 budget. No energy audits have been done on any SFFD facilities or equipment. Until those audit(s) are complete and can be reviewed, the SFFD cannot commit to any reductions in power use. Funds cannot be allocated or requested until audits are complete and reviewed.	Recommendation Implemented	Energy audits have been conducted in cooperation with the Division of Support Services. Energy efficient lighting has been (and is currently being) utilized in all fire department facilities and stations. The recycling program is very successful and has reduced the department's waste and trash bills significantly. The Command staff vehicle fleet as well as the Fire Prevention vehicle fleet are all hybrid vehicles.	**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Budget requests for FY09 will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office. In addition, I have asked the Capital Planning Program to identify departmental capital requests that would lead to reduced energy consumption		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future, Requires Further Analysis	Recommendations will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA agrees that sufficient funds for facilities repair and upgrades are required. However, as is widely know Muni has a long-standing structural deficit and has not been able to fund these needs historically. The Grand Jury itself identified this issue in 1998-1999 and to-date structural issues have not been addressed. Furthermore, as is to be expected, the deficit has growr since the 1998-1999 Grand Jury Report. Facilities, in particular, have suffered from the lack of resources and are extremely inadequate and constrained. While several initiatives are underway to address the systemic resource issues, it will take several years to address the multiple decade structural deficit and provide the necessary funds and delivery of facility upgrades and expansions. Of all the variety of issues facing the system, the lack of adequate and technologically equipped facilities is one of the top three andmust be addressed over the next few years if the system is to remain viable.	r, in the Future,Requires Further Analysis	Recommendations will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA agrees that sufficient funds for facilities repair and upgrades are required. However, as is widely know, Muni has a long-standing structural deficit and has not been able to fund these needs historically. The Grand Jury itself identified this issue in 1998-1999 and to-date structural issues have not been addressed. Furthermore, as is to be expected, the deficit has grown since the 1998-1999 Grand Jury Report. Facilities, in particular, have suffered from the lack of resources and are extremely inadequate and constrained. While several initiatives are underway to address the systemic resource issues, the current economic downturn and elimination or reduction of multiple funding sources have exacerbated the multiple decade structural funding issues which it will take several years to address and provide the necessary funds and delivery of facility upgrades and expansions. Of all the variety of issues facing the system, the lack of adequate and technologically equipped facilities is one of the top three and must be addressed over the next few years if the system is to remain viable. Additionally, SFMTA is aggressively pursuing ARRA funding for emissions reduction projects in the areas of vehicles, facilities, and transportation sector infrastructure. In December 2008, SFMTA released the draft Climate Action Plan (CAP) for the Agency and San Francisco's ground transportation sector. The CAP details Agency greenhouse gas footprint reduction projects, as well as service modifications, private vehicle mode shift strategies, transit oriented development goals, and planning necessary to realize transportation sector emissions reductions. The CAP will be updated annually.	Implemented in the Future	Recommendations will be implemented in the future (5-10 years). SFMTA agrees that sufficient funds for facilities repair and upgrades are required. However, a is widely known, Muni has a long-standing structural deficit and has precluded adequate funding for these needs. The Grand Jury itself identified this issue in 1998-1999 and to-date structural issues have not been addressed. Furthermore, as is to be expected, the deficit has grown since the 1998-1999 Grand Jury Report. Facilities, in particular, have suffered from the lack of resources and are extremely inadequate and constrained. While several initiatives are underway to address the systemic resource issues, the current economic downturn and elimination or reduction of multiple funding sources have exacerbated the multiple decade structural funding issues which it will take several years to address and provide the necessary funds and delivery of facility upgrades and expansions. Of all the variet of issues facing the system, the lack of adequate and technologically equipped facilities is one of the top three and must be addressed over the next few years if the system is to remain viable. The Departmental Climate Action Plan (CAP), required annually, was developed for SF Environment and submitted in February 2010.
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	Port	Recommendation Implemented	Project funding has been approved by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	Public Health	Will Be Implemented in the Future	See answer C1b.	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Working collaboratively to ensure energy reduction at facilities throughout the Department. See answer to C1b. Ongoing effort.	Will Be Implemented in the Future	DPH is in total agreement with this recommendation and has been working collaboratively to ensure energy reduction at facilities throughout the Department. See answer to C1 b. Ongoing effort.
	Promise to Reduce	C1c. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve budgets from high energy users to include funds to implement facilities repair and upgrades to comply with the energy audit findings.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refert to Mayor and Board of Supervisors	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings.	Airport	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to the Department of Environment.	**		**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	esponse 2008 Respo	se (1) 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response ((1) 2010 Response Text
	·		Required	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Supervisors		Zuua Kespunse Text	**	2010 Nesponse Text
2006-07	Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings. Supe		Not Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the	ard DI aid s to d or			
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings.	Planning Will Not Be Implemented Warranted o Reasonable		**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings.		·	r **		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings.	ronment Will Not Be Implemented Warranted o Reasonable		**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings.	Department Requires Fui Analysis	The SFFD will comply, after discussions with appropriate groups, entities and the Mayo Office, with whatever programs are deemed appropriate.	r's Recommendation Implemented	Energy audits have been conducted in cooperation with the Division of Support Services. Energy efficient lighting has been (and is currently being) utilized in all fire department facilities and stations. The recycling program is very successful and has reduced the department's waste and trash bills significantly. The Command staff vehicle fleet as well as the Fire Prevention vehicle fleet are all hybrid vehicles.	**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings.	Will Not Be Implemented Warranted o Reasonable				**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings. Munic Trans Agen	sportation Analysis	Requires further analysis. SFMTA supports this recommendation but SFE would manage this program.	ge Requires Further Analysis	SFMTA supports this recommendation but SF Environment would manage this program.	Requires Further Analysis	Recommendation requires further analysis and/or implementation is ongoing. SFMTA has successfully implemented a pilot recycling program at the Kirkland Yard and composting program at its main administrative building at 1 South Van Ness, as well as a number of other resource conservation measures. These efforts are part of the Agency's overall Climate Action Plan program and implementation. Ongoing staff education and agency-wide implementation are needed to achieve continued program success. SFMTA will continue to work with the Department of Environment and other stakeholders implementing comprehensive resource conservation and climate action plans and programs.
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings.		The Port is currently facilitating SFPUC and Port tenant-funded photovoltaic system improvements at Pier 96 and the Pier 96 M&R building; is pursuing shoreside power to reduce emissions from cruise ships at berth at Pier 27; and is planning a state-of-the-a cruise facility at Pier 27 designed to meet LEED standards.		No such program from Department of Environment exists.	**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings.	Will Not Be Implemented Warranted o Reasonable		**	No such program from Department of Environment exists.	**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C1d. The Department of Environment should develop programs that reward public employees for excellence in energy savings.	Will Not Be Implemented Warranted o Reasonable		**		**	
2006-07	Promise to Reduce	C2a. In order to reach the emission-reduction goals, the Planning Department should require design and construction of new City buildings to the higher LEED Gold standard.	_		**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C2a. In order to reach the emission-reduction goals, the Planning Department should require design and construction of new City buildings to the higher LEED Gold standard.	Planning Will Not Be Implemented Warranted o Reasonable		**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)		2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C2a. In order to reach the emission-reduction goals, the Planning Department should require design and construction of new City buildings to the higher LEED Gold standard.	•	Recommendation Implemented	New Green Building standards introduced 11/07.	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C2a. In order to reach the emission-reduction goals, the Planning Department should require design and construction of new City buildings to the higher LEED Gold standard.	Public Health	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Not in purview of DPH – this recommendation was tasked to Planning.	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C2a. In order to reach the emission-reduction goals, the Planning Department should require design and construction of new City buildings to the higher LEED Gold standard.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Planning, Mayor, and Board of Supervisors	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C2b. The Department of Building Inspection should accelerate the process of hiring and training building inspectors to evaluate compliance to LEED standards and codes.	Building Inspection		Disagree. DBI does not have authority to evaluate LEED compliance as it is not currently part of code and standards enforced by DBI.	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C2b. The Department of Building Inspection should accelerate the process of hiring and training building inspectors to evaluate compliance to LEED standards and codes.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation does not pertain to the Planning Department.	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C2b. The Department of Building Inspection should accelerate the process of hiring and training building inspectors to evaluate compliance to LEED standards and codes.	Environment	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Will not be Implemented, as pertains to Environment. The responsibility of evaluating compliance with LEED standards currently resides solely with the US Green Building Council. The USGBC is currently considering the possibility of transferring this authority to municipal governments in response to the overwhelming number of LEED project in the pipeline. It should be noted, however, that in terms of green building in general, DBI has been proactive in training building inspectors in green building issues.	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C2b. The Department of Building Inspection should accelerate the process of hiring and training building inspectors to evaluate compliance to LEED standards and codes.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to DBI	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	Inspection should collaborate to review and develop new city building code requirements higher than those in the State Title 24 energy code for new construction, to take advantage of emerging green technology.		Implemented in the Future	These regulations as proposed are currently under review by the Board of Supervisors.	Recommendation Implemented	· ·	. #180-08) became effective on November 3, 2008. residential buildings and major remodels to meet strict	**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	Inspection should collaborate to review and develop new city building code requirements higher than those in the State Title 24 energy code for new construction, to take advantage of emerging green technology.		Implemented	Planning and DBI drafted legislation to codify stringent green building standards for new residential and commercial construction and for remodeled space larger than 25,000 square feet.	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3a. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should collaborate to review and develop new city building code requirements higher than those in the State Title 24 energy code for new construction, to take advantage of emerging green technology.		Recommendation Implemented	New Green Building standards introduced 11/07.	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3a. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should collaborate to review and develop new city building code requirements higher than those in the State Title 24 energy code for new construction, to take advantage of emerging green technology.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Dept. of Environment and DBI	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3b. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop or expand other incentives for builders to increase energy efficiency in new construction, such as direct installation, project management and technical assistance, rebates, financing, energy surveys, design, engineering, expedited approval processes, and turnkey energy retrofit projects, as envisioned in the City's Climate Action Plan.	Building Inspection		Agree. DBI has implemented an expedited permit review and approval process for "green buildings".	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3b. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop or expand other incentives for builders to increase energy efficiency in new construction, such as direct installation, project management and technical assistance, rebates, financing, energy surveys, design, engineering, expedited approval processes, and turnkey energy retrofit projects, as envisioned in the City's Climate Action Plan.	City Planning		This is not within the jurisdiction of the Planning Department, and should be responded to by DBI, except for expedited approval review, which has been implemented by DPW, DBI and Planning.				**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3b. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop or expand other incentives for builders to increase energy efficiency in new construction, such as direct installation, project management and technical assistance, rebates, financing, energy surveys, design, engineering, expedited approval processes, and turnkey energy retrofit projects, as envisioned in the City's Climate Action Plan.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	New Green Building standards introduced 11/07.	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3b. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop or expand other incentives for builders to increase energy efficiency in new construction, such as direct installation, project management and technical assistance, rebates, financing, energy surveys, design, engineering, expedited approval processes, and turnkey energy retrofit projects, as envisioned in the City's Climate Action Plan.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Planning and DBI	**			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3c. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should mandate installation of energy-efficient HVAC systems.	Building Inspection	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Higher energy code statndards are part of currently pending legislation.	Recommendation Implemented		ns, effective in 2007 and on August 1, 2009, have uirements. DBI enforces carefully.	**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response ()	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3c. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should mandate installation of energy-efficient HVAC systems.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This is not within the jurisdiction of the Planning Department and should be responded to by DBI.	**			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3c. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should mandate installation of energy-efficient HVAC systems.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	New Green Building standards introduced 11/07.	**			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3c. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should mandate installation of energy-efficient HVAC systems.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Planning and DBI	**			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3d. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop regulations to modernize lighting in new construction, both commercial and residential, to require energy-efficient fixtures and bulbs and phase out traditional incandescent lights.	Building Inspection	Will Be Implemented in the Future	DBI has a code amendment under review to require energy efficiency upgrade to exisitng commerical flourescent lighting.	Will Be Implemente in the Future		d of Supervisors, introduced April 8, 2009, to require commercial and City-owned buildings.	Will Be Implemented in the Future		Supervisors, introduced April 8, 2009, to require increased d City-owned buildings was approved. Inspectors will be
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3d. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop regulations to modernize lighting in new construction, both commercial and residential, to require energy-efficient fixtures and bulbs and phase out traditional incandescent lights.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This is not within the jurisdiction of the Planning Department and should be responded to by DBI.	**			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3d. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop regulations to modernize lighting in new construction, both commercial and residential, to require energy-efficient fixtures and bulbs and phase out traditional incandescent lights.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	New Green Building standards introduced 11/07.	**			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C3d. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should develop regulations to modernize lighting in new construction, both commercial and residential, to require energy-efficient fixtures and bulbs and phase out traditional incandescent lights.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Planning and DBI	**			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C4. The Department of Environment should make small businesses and owners of multi-family residential buildings more aware of free energy conservation programs through public service information campaigns.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	Ongoing. The Department of Environment's Energy Watch staff are having good success making direct contact with business and building owners rather than using broadcast marketing methods. Previous experience in this market has shown that direct marketing is more successful than broadcast marketing including public service announcements.	S **			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C5. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should extend green building requirements to commercial buildings as Boston has done.	Building Inspection	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Green building legislation, more comprehensive than any other US city, is pending at the Board of Supervisors.	Recommendation Implemented		Green Building Task Force" is currently meeting to ards for existing commercial buildings. Also see C3a	**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C5. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should extend green building requirements to commercial buildings as Boston has done.	City Planning	Recommendation Implemented	See item C3a – SF requirements include commercial space as small as 5,000 square feet.	**			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C5. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should extend green building requirements to commercial buildings as Boston has done.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	New Green Building standards introduced 11/07.	**			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C5. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should extend green building requirements to commercial buildings as Boston has done.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Planning and DBI	**			**		
2006-07 Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	, , ,	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted o authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implementation of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED						

(1) "--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

Page 20 of 71

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

	2006-07												
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1	1) 2010 Response Text				
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C6. The Mayor should propose and the Board of Supervisors should consider a local energy tax to reduce energy use and to raise funds needed by the Department of Environment to implement the actions required by the City's Climate Action Plan.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	Announced 12/07. Working with Mayor, others to determine the feasibility of a new energy tax or, conversely, a carbon tax to fund climate change work. Environment also working with Mayor and SFPUC to set up funds to be used for efficiency and solar installations.	**		**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C6. The Mayor should propose and the Board of Supervisors should consider a local energy tax to reduce energy use and to raise funds needed by the Department of Environment to implement the actions required by the City's Climate Action Plan.	Mayor	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Partially agree with future implementation Levying a new tax is complicated and require careful analysis related to legal, economic and policy issues. The City and County of San Francisco staff have talked to peers in the City of Boulder to find out the mechanics of their program and have learned that unlike California, Colorado did not already have a energy efficiency charge (Public Goods Charge) on their electric and gas utility bills. This was a factor in the feasibility of' their local tax. Additional taxes are more complex under California law than in Colorado. However, in fall of 2007 Mayor Newsom announced his intent to pursue a carbon tax, and the Mayor' Office is working with departmental staff and community stakeholders to identify a feasible means of doing so. Staff are now also reviewing a proposed Solar Assessment District in City of Berkeley in further effort to identify best practices, and have proposed in conjunction with Assessor Phil Ting, the PUC and the Department of Environment a rebate program to lower the costs of residential solar installations.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.				
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C7a. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should look into ways that RECO can be improved and more effectively enforced. Its reach should be extended, for instance, to require property owners to upgrade lighting and other electricity uses before a property changes ownership.	Building Inspection	Requires Further Analysis	Revision to RECO are under review. We expect statndards to be increased over the next year.	Will be implemente in the future	Code amendments are pending and should be implemented before the beginning of next fiscal year. Water conservation updates of the RECO are pending at the Board of Supervisors. Other RECO amendments are now being reviewed. Anticipated implementation date will be before the end of this fiscal year.	Recommendation Implemented	Code amendments are approved and are implemented.				
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C7a. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should look into ways that RECO can be improved and more effectively enforced. Its reach should be extended, for instance, to require property owners to upgrade lighting and other electricity uses before a property changes ownership.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This is not within the jurisdiction of the Planning Department and should be responded to by DBI.	**		**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C7a. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should look into ways that RECO can be improved and more effectively enforced. Its reach should be extended, for instance, to require property owners to upgrade lighting and other electricity uses before a property changes ownership.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	Updated RECO is in final stages, for introduction second quarter calendar 08.	**		**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C7a. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should look into ways that RECO can be improved and more effectively enforced. Its reach should be extended, for instance, to require property owners to upgrade lighting and other electricity uses before a property changes ownership.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Planning and DBI	**		**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C7b. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should recommend a RECO ordinance change to require replacement of incandescent light bulbs with energy-saving lamps before a property changes ownership.	Building Inspection	Requires Further Analysis	Same as above.	Will be implemente in the future	d Same as above	Recommendation Implemented	Code amendments are approved and are implemented.				
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C7b. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should recommend a RECO ordinance change to require replacement of incandescent light bulbs with energy-saving lamps before a property changes ownership.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This is not within the jurisdiction of the Planning Department and should be responded to by DBI.	**		**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C7b. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should recommend a RECO ordinance change to require replacement of incandescent light bulbs with energy-saving lamps before a property changes ownership.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	Updated RECO is in final stages, for introduction second quarter calendar 08.	**		**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	C7b. The Departments of Planning and Building Inspection should recommend a RECO ordinance change to require replacement of incandescent light bulbs with energy-saving lamps before a property changes ownership.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Planning and DBI	**		**					

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
Pro	an San Francisco Keep Its omise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	D1. The City should move as quickly as possible, through regulations enacted or authorized by the Local Agency Formation Commission, Board of Supervisors and Mayor, to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect using Prop B funds made available by the voters in 2001. The process of contracting for renewable, clean energy sources by and for the citizens of San Francisco should begin.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
Pro	an San Francisco Keep Its omise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	D1. The City should move as quickly as possible, through regulations enacted or authorized by the Local Agency Formation Commission, Board of Supervisors and Mayor, to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect using Prop B funds made available by the voters in 2001. The process of contracting for renewable, clean energy sources by and for the citizens of San Francisco should begin.		Recommendation Implemented	Community Choice Aggregation legislations adopted 07.	**		**	
Pro	an San Francisco Keep Its omise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	regulations enacted or authorized by the Local Agency Formation	Commission,	Recommendation Implemented	This response has been prepared by the Executive Officer. San Francisco LAFCo agrees with the Civil Grand Jury's statements in Finding DI, attached hereto. Since providing its last response to the Grand Jury on September 2, 2007, the Commission has implemented the Grand Jury's recommendation, and continues to be actively involved with CCA program implementation activities as outlined below: On September 13, 2007, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) issued a Request for Information and Comment (RFI/C) for the purpose of guiding and informing the ongoing development of the CCA Implementation Plan and a Request for Proposals (RFP) for wholesale electricity supply and other energy services for the CCA program. On November 21, 2007, San Francisco LAFCo and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission issued a Joint Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for the Implementation of the SFPUC's CCA Program and for Monitoring and Advisory Services on Behalf of San Francisco LAFCo. Several qualified consultants responded to LAFCo's portion of the Joint RFQ. On April 4, 2008, LAFCo selected a consultant to begin performing Tasks 2 and 3, as set forth in Ordinance 0146-08, section (1)(b). These tasks include: (1) reviewing the RFI/C and RFP, as well as the responses and proposals received in response to the RFI/C and RFP, and (2) considering potential modifications to the CCA Implementation Plan in light of additional information and further progress in development of the CCA Program. LAFCo anticipates that the selected consultant will complete a final report on these tasks by June 16, 2008, to be presented to the Commission.			**	
Pro	an San Francisco Keep Its omise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	D1. The City should move as quickly as possible, through regulations enacted or authorized by the Local Agency Formation Commission, Board of Supervisors and Mayor, to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect using Prop B funds made available by the voters in 2001. The process of contracting for renewable, clean energy sources by and for the citizens of San Francisco should begin.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree. The Board of Supervisors Ordinances 146-06 and 147-07 were adopted on June 19, 2007, establishing the policy and governance structure for Community Choice Aggregation.	**		**	
Pro	an San Francisco Keep Its omise to Reduce reenhouse Gas Emissions?	D1. The City should move as quickly as possible, through regulations enacted or authorized by the Local Agency Formation Commission, Board of Supervisors and Mayor, to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect using Prop B funds made available by the voters in 2001. The process of contracting for renewable, clean energy sources by and for the citizens of San Francisco should begin.		-	The SFPUC has received responses from an Request for Information (RFI) issued to obtain additional information about obtaining portfolio goals, bidding requirements, resource adequacy and organizational structure, operations and financing. A Request for CCA Qualifications (RFQ) was issued by SFPUC the end of 2007 and one proposal was received. The SFPUC is in the process of recruitment for a CCA director who will oversee vendor selection and implementation of the CCA plan. Regular status reports are made to LAFCO, which include members of the Board of Supervisors.			**	

Page 22 of 71

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

	2006-07												
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1) 2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text				
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2a. The Department of Environment, with the cooperation of the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Departments of Planning and Building Inspection, should explore more ways to create incentives for City residents and businesses with rooftops suitable for solar panels to act early to invest in these projects, considering financial incentives such as subsidies, rebates, property tax reduction, or low-cost loans.	Board of	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted o authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	r		**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2a. The Department of Environment, with the cooperation of the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Departments of Planning and Building Inspection, should explore more ways to create incentives for City residents and businesses with rooftops suitable for solar panels to act early to invest in these projects, considering financial incentives such as subsidies, rebates, property tax reduction, or low-cost loans.	_	Recommendation Implemented	DBI has issued expedited, low-cost permit regulations for solar photovoltaic systems	**		**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2a. The Department of Environment, with the cooperation of the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Departments of Planning and Building Inspection, should explore more ways to create incentives for City residents and businesses with rooftops suitable for solar panels to act early to invest in these projects, considering financial incentives such as subsidies, rebates, property tax reduction, or low-cost loans.	City Planning	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Planning is working with the Department of the Environment and Supervisor Mirkarimi to provide owners of solar systems financial protection from nearby development. PUC offers rebates for solar installations.	Will Be Implements in the Future	Some progress had been made in devising protection for systems as an incentive to install them.	Recommendation Implemented	PUC & the Department of the Environment have instituted rebate and financing programs to encourage solar installations. The Board of Supervisors, the Dep artment of the Environment, and Planning must still devise protection from shading for systems resulting from reasonable nearby developments.				
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2a. The Department of Environment, with the cooperation of the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Departments of Planning and Building Inspection, should explore more ways to create incentives for City residents and businesses with rooftops suitable for solar panels to act early to invest in these projects, considering financial incentives such as subsidies, rebates, property tax reduction, or low-cost loans.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	Announced 03/08. SFE, in cooperation with the Mayor, SFPUC and San Francisco Solar Task Force headed by Assessor-Recorder Ting, has created incentives for City residents and businesses to install solar, including City-specific rebates and tax incentives, in addition to the existing state and federal rebates and tax incentives.			**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2a. The Department of Environment, with the cooperation of the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Departments of Planning and Building Inspection, should explore more ways to create incentives for City residents and businesses with rooftops suitable for solar panels to act early to invest in these projects, considering financial incentives such as subsidies, rebates, property tax reduction, or low-cost loans.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. Announced 03/08. Mayor, SFPUC, SFE and San Francisco Solar Task Force headed by Assessor-Recorder Ting, has created incentives for City residents and businesses to install solar, including City-specific rebates and tax incentives, in addition to the existing state and federal rebates and tax incentives. Pending action by Board of Supervisors.	**		**					
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2a. The Department of Environment, with the cooperation of the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Departments of Planning and Building Inspection, should explore more ways to create incentives for City residents and businesses with rooftops suitable for solar panels to act early to invest in these projects, considering financial incentives such as subsidies, rebates, property tax reduction, or low-cost loans.	Public Utilities	Will Be Implemented in the Future	The PUC is working with the Mayor's office on a pilot program to provide financial incentives for SF residents and businesses to install solar energy systems on their properties. The SFPUC pilot program incentive will be \$6000 for utilizing locally trained installers.	Recommendation Implemented	The GoSolarSF solar incentive program, launched on July 1, 2008, encourages installations of solar power systems in San Francisco. The program provides residential, business, and non-profit incentive payments. It is administered by the SFPUC, which allocated \$4,000,000 from its net operating revenues to fund the first year of the program. GoSolarSF has been successful in creating green jobs and increasing the amount of solar in San Francisco.	**					

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned. Page 23 of 71

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	o	Required Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED				
	Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?		Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This is not within the scope of authority or activity of DBI.	**	*	**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2b. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby the California Public Utilities Commission and the State Legislature for regulations allowing homeowners and businesses to be compensated for all solar energy being returned to the grid.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation does not pertain to the Planning Department.	**	***	**	
2006-07	Promise to Reduce	D2b. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby the California Public Utilities Commission and the State Legislature for regulations allowing homeowners and businesses to be compensated for all solar energy being returned to the grid.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	State Legislative Committee does not lobby. Any department for can introduce individual bills endorsement consideration.	**		**	
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2b. The San Francisco State Legislation Committee should lobby the California Public Utilities Commission and the State Legislature for regulations allowing homeowners and businesses to be compensated for all solar energy being returned to the grid.	Mayor	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree with future implementation. I will actively support appropriate legislation, as recommended by the State Legislation Committee.		Department elected not to respond.	Department elected not to respon	nd.
			Board of Supervisors	Warranted or Not	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted or authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors or implements responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED				
2006-07	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?		Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**	*	**	
		D2c. The Department of Environment should keep consumers informed of technological advances in solar technology.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation does not pertain to the Planning Department.	**	*	**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text
i i	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2c. The Department of Environment should keep consumers informed of technological advances in solar technology.	Environment		Ongoing. SFsolarmap.org gives residents tool to measure solar potential, which is updated with new technology.	**		** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **
i i	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2c. The Department of Environment should keep consumers informed of technological advances in solar technology.	Mayor	Implemented	Agree. Appropriate departments will investigate ways to add information on solar technological advances to the San Francisco Solar Map (www.sf.solarmap.org), and the Environment Department's solar webpage	**		**
i i	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2d. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should catalogue and publicize financing options for solar installations for residential consumers.	Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted of authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implements responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2d. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should catalogue and publicize financing options for solar installations for residential consumers.	Building Inspection	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. DBI has provided location and support at the SF Permit Center for Department of Environment to provide information about solar p.v. and related green building topics.	**		**
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2d. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should catalogue and publicize financing options for solar installations for residential consumers.	City Planning		Planning will be available to distribute solar financing information developed by the Department of the Environment and the PUC.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Because State law preempts Planning Department review of solar energy installations, this more properly is under the purview of the PUC & the Departments of the Environment and Building Inspection. Planning is still willing to distribute information developed by the appropriate agencies to applicants.	** ** ** ** ** ** ** **
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2d. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should catalogue and publicize financing options for solar installations for residential consumers.	Environment	Implemented	Announced 03/08. SFE, in cooperation with the Mayor, SFPUC and San Francisco Solar Task Force headed by Assessor-Recorder Ting, has created incentives for City residents and businesses to install solar, including City-specific rebates and tax incentives, in addition to the existing state and federal rebates and tax incentives.	**		**
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2d. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should catalogue and publicize financing options for solar installations for residential consumers.	Mayor		Agree. Announced 03/08. Mayor, SFPUC, SFE and San Francisco Solar Task Force headed by Assessor-Recorder Ting, has created incentives for City residents and businesses to install solar, including City-specific rebates and tax incentives, in addition to the existing state and federal rebates and tax incentives. Pending action by Board of Supervisors.	**		**
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2e. The Department of Environment should partner with San Francisco Community College to establish training and certification programs for professional solar installers and for customer self-installations.	Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and DI as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted of authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implementation of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			*** *** *** *** *** ** ** ** *
	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2e. The Department of Environment should partner with San Francisco Community College to establish training and certification programs for professional solar installers and for customer self-installations.	Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2e. The Department of Environment should partner with San Francisco Community College to establish training and certification programs for professional solar installers and for customer self-installations.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation does not pertain to the Planning Department.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2e. The Department of Environment should partner with San Francisco Community College to establish training and certification programs for professional solar installers and for customer self-installations.	Environment	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	SFE does agree that it is useful to create more local jobs in solar and other clean tech industries. We have partnered with a number of local solar installers and NGOs, including the Bayview Hunters Point Community Advocates, Occidental Solar and GRID Alternatives, to provide classroom training and on-the-job paid internships to low-income Bayview residents to help them develop construction-related job skills and learn how to install solar energy systems.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2e. The Department of Environment should partner with San Francisco Community College to establish training and certification programs for professional solar installers and for customer self-installations.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree, in part. Local solar providers do not seem to be experiencing a shortage of qualified solar installers at this time. 1-lowever, I do not agree that creating such a program would be useful in creating more local jobs in solar and other clean tech industries. We have partnered with a number of local solar installers and NGOs. including the Bayview Hunters Point Community Advocates, Occidental Solar and GRID Alternatives, to provide classroom training and on-the-job paid internships to low-income Bayview residents to help them develop construction-related job skills and learn how to install solar energy systems. We are also in discussion with PG&E's Pacific Energy Center. which offers free solar education courses, about offering additional solar courses and solar "train-the-trainer' course for neighborhood associations and advocates.			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2e. The Department of Environment should partner with San Francisco Community College to establish training and certification programs for professional solar installers and for customer self-installations.	Public Utilities	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Refer to Dept. of Environment and CCSF	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2f. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should work with business groups such as BC3, as well as hotel associations and local non-profit organizations to promote solar installations through community education, outreach and training.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. B2b, B3d, B6c, B6d, Cic, and D2a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Can San Francisco Keep its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. B8a, C6, and D1 as follows: - Regarding Recommendation No. B8a, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to legislation to raise the per-shift gate fee paid by a driver to the owner of a taxi, in order to create a monetary incentive for taxi owners to purchase low emission or zero emission vehicles, when said legislation is before the Board of Supervisors; - Regarding Recommendation No. C6, the Board of Supervisors supports efforts to maximize the accountability and effectiveness in the use of existing energy use related fees; and - Regarding Recommendation No. DI, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide full and expeditious consideration to regulations enacted of authorized by the Board of Supervisors to put the Community Choice Aggregation program into effect; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2f. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should work with business groups such as BC3, as well as hotel associations and local non-profit organizations to promote solar installations through community education, outreach and training.	Building Inspection	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. See above D2d. DBI staff often speak to various community groups about green building issues, including solar p.v.	**		**	
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2f. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should work with business groups such as BC3, as well as hotel associations and local non-profit organizations to promote solar installations through community education, outreach and training.	City Planning	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Planning will be available to distribute solar educational and promotional materials developed by the Department of the Environment and the PUC to applicants.	The state of the s	ition, the Department is actively working on a task force to streamlin encourage installation of small urban wind generators, another on-si source.		e its recommendations including that the Department of the ate a permitting committee to streamline the review of and ban wind generators.
F	Can San Francisco Keep Its Promise to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions?	D2f. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should work with business groups such as BC3, as well as hotel associations and local non-profit organizations to promote solar installations through community education, outreach and training.	Environment	Recommendation Implemented	Ongoing. SFE's outreach and community education work includes providing information to potential solar customers on preliminary sizing, siting, costing, identifying installers, info about available rebates & tax incentives, and finding appropriate finance providers. SFE provides this information through its departmental website and the SF Solar Map (www.sf.solarmap.org), marketing materials, presentations to community and business groups, and phone and in-person consultations. See response to recommendation D2d for more information on SFE's training programs. Additionally, SFE is the lead agency in carrying out programs listed in Mayor's response: I agree. Promoting solar installations through outreach, community education, training and technical assistance is a core function of the Department of the Environment's solar energy program. SFE works closely with Business Council for Climate Change (which SFE helped found as an initiative that came out of my commitment to the United Nations Global Compact Cities Program) and other local business and trade associations (including NorCal Solar, CalSEIA, PV Now, BOMA and others), NGOs (eg, Vote Solar, CRS and others), neighborhood, homeowners and renters associations, and other City departments to promote solar in San Francisco.	D ***		**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation Resp	•	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
Prom	San Francisco Keep Its mise to Reduce enhouse Gas Emissions?	D2f. The Departments of Environment, Planning and Building Inspection should work with business groups such as BC3, as well as hotel associations and local non-profit organizations to promote solar installations through community education, outreach and training.	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. Promoting solar installations through outreach, community education. training and technical assistance is a core function of the Department of the Environment's solar energy program. SFE works closely with Business Council for Climate Change (which SFE helped found as an initiative that came out of my commitment to the United Nations Global Compact Cities Program) and other local business and trade associations (including NorCal Solar, CalSEIA, PV Now, BOMA and others). NGOs (such as. Vote Solar, CRS and others), neighborhood, homeowners and renters associations, and other City departments to promote solar in San Francisco.			**	
Prom	San Francisco Keep Its mise to Reduce enhouse Gas Emissions?	E1. San Francisco businesses, residents and City departments should be encouraged through stepped-up educational programs by the Department of Environment to decrease their purchase and use of plastic goods and to increase recycling.	ment Recommendation Implemented	Plastic bag ban effective 11/07; other work onging, Further encouraging all sectors to decrease their use of plastic goods and increase recycling is a core mission of the Department of the Environment. We are currently educating thousands of food service establishments to comply with the Food Service Waste Reduction Ordinance that requires them to eliminate foamed polystyrene and use compostable or recyclable items. We are also working with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission to help all City departments and agencies meet the Mayor's Executive Directive to permanently phase-out bottled water purchases. We are monitoring implementation of the Plastic Bag Reduction Ordinance banning disposable plastic checkout bags at supermarkets and chain drugstores and requiring them to be recyclable paper, compostable plastic or durable reusable. We plan to build upon these nation-leading efforts and step-up our plastic reduction and recycling education in our effort to achieve San Francisco's goal of zero waste by 2020.			**	
Issue	npensation and Staffing es in the San Francisco ce Department	II.a. The Chief of Police should establish policies and procedures which assign command staff with the responsibility of curtailing overtime by their subordinates to the extent work exigencies permit. The Chief should also develop a system for monitoring overtime usage, measure the Department's progress in reducing overtime, and prepare and issue a quarterly status report.		RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. Ila, Iila, Illb, and Illd of the 2006- 2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Compensation and Staffing issues in the San Francisco Police Department"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
Issue	npensation and Staffing es in the San Francisco ce Department	II.a. The Chief of Police should establish policies and procedures which assign command staff with the responsibility of curtailing overtime by their subordinates to the extent work exigencies permit. The Chief should also develop a system for monitoring overtime usage, measure the Department's progress in reducing overtime, and prepare and issue a quarterly status report.	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and implemented. The implementation of this recommendation has begun and will be improved over time. The Chief of Police is working with command staff to monitor and curtail overtime with measures that include hi-weekly overtime usage reports.			**	
Issue	npensation and Staffing es in the San Francisco ce Department	II.a. The Chief of Police should establish policies and procedures which assign command staff with the responsibility of curtailing overtime by their subordinates to the extent work exigencies permit. The Chief should also develop a system for monitoring overtime usage, measure the Department's progress in reducing overtime, and prepare and issue a quarterly status report.	Recommendation Implemented	Deputy Chiefs are responsible for overtime use within their respective Bureaus. Overtime usage is monitored and reported to the Chief of Police on a bi-weekly basis. Officers found to have exceeded overtime limits are precluded from working overtime for a set period and must submit a schedule of anticipate overtime once they are again eligible to work overtime.			**	
Issue	npensation and Staffing es in the San Francisco ce Department	III.a. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in the San Francisco Police Department, with an emphasis on increasing the use of civilian employees. This review should include contacting the police departments of Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles to review their staffing levels and best practices regarding Police Department use of civilians.		RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. Ila, Iila, Illb, and Illd of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Compensation and Staffing issues in the San Francisco Police Department"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
Issue	npensation and Staffing es in the San Francisco ce Department	III.a. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in the San Francisco Police Department, with an emphasis on increasing the use of civilian employees. This review should include contacting the police departments of Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles to review their staffing levels and best practices regarding Police Department use of civilians.		During FY07-08, the Controller's Office is working with the Police Department to analyze staffing for the SFPD, and is including civilianization as part of its analysis. The analysis will include best practices comparison to other jurisdictions that are most relevant to San Francisco. Findings from this research are being issued during the summer and fall of 2008.	Implemented	During FY07-08 and FY08-09, the Controller's Office completed, with the SFPD outside consultants, an organizational analysis of the SFPD that looked extensi staffing issues including the use of civilian employees. The work has resulted i recommendations and an implementation effort now underway.	rely at	
Issue	npensation and Staffing es in the San Francisco ce Department	III.a. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in the San Francisco Police Department, with an emphasis on increasing the use of civilian employees. This review should include contacting the police departments of Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles to review their staffing levels and best practices regarding Police Department use of civilians.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DHR has no authority over SFPD staffing.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned. Page 27 of 71

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Police Department	III.a. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in the San Francisco Police Department, with an emphasis on increasing the use of civilian employees. This review should include contacting the police departments of Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles to review their staffing levels and best practices regarding Police Department use of civilians.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and implemented. This recommendation has been implemented pursuant to the San Francisco Charter. Article XVI, 4. 123. which contributed to the department creating a multi-year hiring strategy for civilian staffing. Civilian staffing reviews have typically included studying civilian staffing at other jurisdictions. This practice will continue in future reviews, which may include a study of civilian staffing in the four jurisdictions referenced by the Civil Grand Jury. Since 2004, there have been 102 new civilian positions established at the Police Department. which has returned 80 sworn officers to community policing services.			**	
	Police Department	III.a. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in the San Francisco Police Department, with an emphasis on increasing the use of civilian employees. This review should include contacting the police departments of Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles to review their staffing levels and best practices regarding Police Department use of civilians.	Police	Recommendation Implemented	The Department has conducted reviews of the civilian staffing of these and other agencies; the SFPD is committed to the continuing civilianization of those positions most appropriately filled by a non-sworn member.	**		**	
	Police Department	III.b. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in an Francisco Police Department to identify those positions that must be filled by sworn officers and those that could be filled by civilian personnel or that, under best practices in other jurisdictions such as Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles, typically are filled by civilian personnel.	Board of Supervisors		RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. Ila, Iila, Iilb, and IIId of the 2006- 2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Compensation and Staffing issues in the San Francisco Police Department"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
2006-07		III.b. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in an Francisco Police Department to identify those positions that must be filled by sworn officers and those that could be filled by civilian personnel or that, under best practices in other jurisdictions such as Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles, typically are filled by civilian personnel.	Controller	Will Be Implemented in the Future	During FY07-08, the Controller's Office is working with the Police Department to analyze staffing for the SFPD, and is including civilianization as part of its analysis. The analysis will include best practices comparison to other jurisdictions that are most relevant to San Francisco. Findings from this research are being issued during the summer and fall of 2008.	Recommendation Implemented	During FY07-08 and FY08-09, the Controller's Office completed, with the SFPD and outside consultants, an organizational analysis of the SFPD that looked extensively at staffing issues including the use of civilian employees. The work has resulted in recommendations and an implementation effort now underway.	**	
	Issues in the San Francisco Police Department	III.b. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in an Francisco Police Department to identify those positions that must be filled by sworn officers and those that could be filled by civilian personnel or that, under best practices in other jurisdictions such as Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles, typically are filled by civilian personnel.	Human Resources	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DHR has no authority over SFPD staffing.	**		**	
2006-07		III.b. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in an Francisco Police Department to identify those positions that must be filled by sworn officers and those that could be filled by civilian personnel or that, under best practices in other jurisdictions such as Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles, typically are filled by civilian personnel.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and implemented. This recommendation has been implemented pursuant to the San Francisco Charter, Article XVI, § 4.123, which contributed to the department creating a multi-year hiring strategy for civilian staffing.	**		**	
2006-07	Issues in the San Francisco Police Department	III.b. The Controller and the Chief of Police should review the staffing needs in an Francisco Police Department to identify those positions that must be filled by sworn officers and those that could be filled by civilian personnel or that, under best practices in other jurisdictions such as Oakland, Seattle, San Diego and Los Angeles, typically are filled by civilian personnel.	Police	Recommendation Implemented	The Department continues to work with the Controller on this matter. An "Organizational Effectiveness" study is underway that is looking at many areas of the Department's functioning, including that of appropriate civilian staffing. The Department reports quarterly on the progress of hiring.	**		**	
2006-07	Police Department	III.c. The San Francisco Police Department should establish benchmarks to progressively increase the proportion of civilian employees within the department, and its Human Resources Director should be tasked with reporting semiannually to the Chief on whether these benchmarks are being met.	Police	Recommendation Implemented	The SFPD has surveyed, and is currently in the process of resurveying, positions within the Department that could be performed by non-sworn employees.	**		**	
2006-07	Police Department	III.d. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should support increasing the number of civilians employed by the San Francisco Police Department, and should not use the initial cost of meeting the mandate of City Charter Article XVI, Sec. 16.123 (b) to defeat this important and vital improvement to police staffing.	Board of Supervisors		RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. Ila, Iila, Iilb, and IIId of the 2006- 2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Compensation and Staffing issues in the San Francisco Police Department"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
2006-07	Police Department	III.d. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should support increasing the number of civilians employed by the San Francisco Police Department, and should not use the initial cost of meeting the mandate of City Charter Article XVI, Sec. 16.123 (b) to defeat this important and vital improvement to police staffing.	Controller		Note that this recommendation is not directed to the Controller's Office. However, we note that analysis of staffing plans submitted by the SFPD in the last four annual budget cycles shows that the City is making steady progress in civilianization.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year Report	Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07 Compensation and Issues in the San Police Departmen	Francisco increasing francisco of meetin	e Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should supporting the number of civilians employed by the San co Police Department, and should not use the initial costing the mandate of City Charter Article XVI, Sec. 16.123 efeat this important and vital improvement to police	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and implemented. This recommendation has been implemented. The Civil Grand Jury's investigation that stated the Mayor's Office was less than encouraging on civilian staffing at the Police Department appears to be misinterpreted. My office has been supportive of increased civilian staffing at the Police Department, but I am also mindful that pursuant to San Francisco Charter, Article, IV, § 4.127, the City must have a minimum of 1,971 police officers. The City cannot meet this requirement without a high use of police overtime, and therefore, my office has sought to support an increase in sworn personnel as well, to meet this charter requirement with straight time rather than overtime. Since 2004, we have increased civilian staffing at the Police Department by 102 positions, which resulted in 80 sworn officers returning to community policing services, and over 650 sworn officers have been hired to reduce use of police overtime. Together, an increase in sworn personnel to meet the charter-mandated baseline and additional civilian staffing will reduce police overtime, and we will continue toward that end.				
2006-07 Compensation and Issues in the San Police Departmen	Francisco increasing francisco of meetin	e Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should supporting the number of civilians employed by the San co Police Department, and should not use the initial costing the mandate of City Charter Article XVI, Sec. 16.123 efeat this important and vital improvement to police			The San Francisco Police Department is committed to continued civilianization of those positions most appropriately filled by non-sworn members. This particular matter, however, is up to the discretion of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.	**	**		
2006-07 Compensation and Issues in the San Police Departmen	Francisco master, a user frien	e San Francisco Police Department should hire a web at least on a part-time basis, to make its website more endly and to improve the recruiting efforts of the San co Police Department.	Police	Recommendation Implemented	This recommendation has been partially implemented; an SFPD employee is acting as webmaster and performs daily updates to the site. The Department has already placed sworn and non-sworn job information on its website with a direct link to DHR. There is also a dedicated recruitment website, "sfpdcareers.com," that provides timely information on how one can apply to join the SFPD. Additionally, user input on the design of the SFPD website is actively encouraged, with an invitation to submit ideas appearing on the SFPD homepage.		**		
Agencies Done W Said They Would	that They upon received a should input of 2005-2006 matrix. The matrix	ceipt of Civil Grand Jury reports, the Controller's Office nput the basic information contained in the reports on a This should include the Controller's summary of each each recommendation, and the required respondent. trix should be transmitted to the newly sworn Civil Grand its use in reporting on its follow-up in the Tracking	Controller	Requires Further Analysis	In order to prepare the annual status report, the Controller's office has created a database of past CGJ recommendations. However, our office is not provided with all current CGJ reports or replies. The CGJ's own administrative support also does some report tracking. The most efficient method for executing the tracking tasks should be discussed between the Courts and the Controller's Office. There is a workload issue, with over 850 recommendations from prior CGJs being tracked.	Implemented	The Controller's Office continues to maintain the database of responses to past CGJ reports, and issues an annual report of these responses. We do not typically receive copies of all reports or responses in the first year they are issued. The ongoing tracking task may be better placed in the Court/CGJ Administrative Office. Note that the volume of CGJ recommendations continues to present a workload issue.		
	/hat They within the Do After the inmates wif 2005-2006 take advantage.	Francisco Community College should offer programs are jails, combined with a post-incarceration program, to with a high school diploma to encourage individuals to vantage of community college programs when they are d.	Adult Probation	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Adult Probation Department fully supports all efforts of the San Francisco Community College District to establish jail programs as feasible, and to establish post-incarceration linkages to Community College programs. Although the Department does not have authority over Community College programs, the Department will work with the Community College District to formalize a process to encourage Adult Probation Department client enrollment in Community College programs.	/ **	**		
Agencies Done W Said They Would	/hat They within the Do After the inmates wif 2005-2006 take advantage.	Francisco Community College should offer programs to jails, combined with a post-incarceration program, to with a high school diploma to encourage individuals to vantage of community college programs when they are d.	Sheriff	Requires Further Analysis	NoVA has been shown to reduce recidivism and the Sheriff's Department would support expansion. Funding decisions are best addressed by the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	It is unlikely that in the current budget environment the SF Community College will be able to offer programs within the jails. However, it should be noted that Five Keys Charter High School is continuing to promote continuing advancement after high school and assisting students in preparing for and gaining admission to institutions of higher learning.		
2006-07 Continuity Report Agencies Done W Said They Would Civil Grand Jury of Issued its Reports	/hat They Jury with Do After the of 2005-2006	n updates to the responses made to prior Civil Grand Jury	Controller	Requires Further Analysis	See above. This task may be more appropriately placed with the Courts.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	See above. Due to volume and other considerations, this task may be more appropriately placed with the Courts.		
	/hat They recomme Do After the response of 2005-2006 Superior	·	Board of Supervisors	Recommendation Implemented	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. 3 and 4 of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Continuity Report - Have City Agencies Done What They Said They Would Do After the Civil Grand Jury of 2005-2006 Issued Its Reports?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED		**		

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07		4. The Board of Supervisors should continue to hold hearings on the reports issued by the Civil Grand Jury.	Board of	Implemented	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. 3 and 4 of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Continuity Report - Have City Agencies Done What They Said They Would Do After the Civil Grand Jury of 2005-2006 Issued Its Reports?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	**		**	
2006-07	Continuity Report - Have City Agencies Done What They Said They Would Do After the Civil Grand Jury of 2005-2006 Issued its Reports?	5. The Mayor should follow up on the implementation of the actions required in his Directive 06-03.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. This recommendation has been implemented.	**		**	
2006-07		6. The Mayor's Office and the Treasurer should explain to the Civil Grand Jury why the confidentiality clause has not been corrected.	Controller	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation is not directed to the Controller.	**		**	
2006-07	, ,	6. The Mayor's Office and the Treasurer should explain to the Civil Grand Jury why the confidentiality clause has not been corrected.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. This recommendation has been implemented.	**		**	
2006-07		6. The Mayor's Office and the Treasurer should explain to the Civil Grand Jury why the confidentiality clause has not been corrected.			The Office of Treasurer and Tax Collector does have confidentiality protections in place in our current contract with Bank of America for banking services. I am attaching the contract for your review; the confidentiality protections can be found in Section 12, located on page 5. The effective date of this contract was March 6, 2007. (Attachment not included, refer to department for hard copy.)			**	
2006-07	Agencies Done What They Said They Would Do After the Civil Grand Jury of 2005-2006	7. The Mayor's Office in cooperation with the Controller, should review security procedures to ensure the security of all personal information regardless of how it is maintained, manually or electronically. This would include employee information as well as that of the public.		Be Implemented in the Future	The city has hundreds of systems and processes that use personal information of some type. Departments have security procedures relevant to the information they use and typically some form of internal quality control and testing. For example, the Controller's Office does periodic testing of security for employee, banking, and other types of information that it controls. Similarly, the Department of Public Health, Health Services Agency, and the Retirement System have security arrangements and periodic testing for their use of personal information. As the Controller's Office conducts audit and post-audit processes, it often tests information security issues and makes recommendations or provides training for improvement. This is the most reasonable and practical approach to reviewing personal information security on an ongoing basis.	Recommendation Implemented	The Controller's Office ongoing audit program includes various test personal information as these issues arise within other audits and obasis. See 2008 response for additional comments.		
2006-07	Said They Would Do After the Civil Grand Jury of 2005-2006	7. The Mayor's Office in cooperation with the Controller, should review security procedures to ensure the security of all personal information regardless of how it is maintained, manually or electronically. This would include employee information as well as that of the public.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree. The Mayors Office will continue to work with the Controllers office and other City and County Departments to ensure the security of personnel information.	**		**	
2006-07	Agencies Done What They Said They Would Do After the Civil Grand Jury of 2005-2006	7. The Mayor's Office in cooperation with the Controller, should review security procedures to ensure the security of all personal information regardless of how it is maintained, manually or electronically. This would include employee information as well as that of the public.	Collector	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Recommendation #7 does not call for our response.	**		**	
2006-07	Agencies Done What They Said They Would Do After the	8. If the No Violence Alliance program successfully reduces recidivism, the Sheriff, coordinating with governmental and community agencies, should expand the program, and develop and propose additional programs. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve funds for those projects.	Adult Probation	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	The No Violence Alliance is a successful program operated by the Sheriff's Department. Although the Adult Probation Department has no authority over the program, the Department fully supports the Sheriff's Department in its administration of the program and will continue to cooperate with the Sheriff's Department's efforts in this area. The Adult Probation Department is implementing specialized caseloads for 18-25 year old youths, and will continue to refer youths to the No Violence Alliance.	**		**	
2006-07	Agencies Done What They Said They Would Do After the	8. If the No Violence Alliance program successfully reduces recidivism, the Sheriff, coordinating with governmental and community agencies, should expand the program, and develop and propose additional programs. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve funds for those projects.	Education Office		This is a recommendation for the Sheriff's Department and the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. SFUSD does not know whether the "No Violence Alliance" has successfully reduced recidivism of adults released from the county jail system, and has not been contacted to provide re-entry programs for such adults. SFUSD has not received any City funding to provide re-entry programs for adults released from county jail. SFUSD provides comprehensive K-12 education programs for juveniles and Child Development Programs for pre-kindergarten children.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned. Page 30 of 71

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1) 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Agencies Done What They	8. If the No Violence Alliance program successfully reduces recidivism, the Sheriff, coordinating with governmental and community agencies, should expand the program, and develop and propose additional programs. The Mayor and Board of Supervisors should approve funds for those projects.	Sheriff	Recommendation Implemented	Enrollment in the Five Keys Charter High School has increased an average of 50 students per day,	**		**	
2006-07	Continuity Report - Have City Agencies Done What They Said They Would Do After the Civil Grand Jury of 2005-2006 Issued its Reports?	9. The School Board should extend the Charter school program in the jails to reach more of the eligible inmates.	Adult Probation	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Charter School Program operating within the jails is a successful program operated by a Board of Education approved Charter School with cooperation of the Sheriff's Department. Although the Probation Department has no authority over the program, the Department fully supports the Charter School and the Sheriff's Department in this effort to provide education programs in the jails. The Department will continue to cooperate with this program. The Adult Probation Department and the Sheriff's Department have implemented a weekly joint review of new in-custody Probationers to identify participants for programs. The Department will continue to refer Probationers to the Charter School program .	**		**	
2006-07	Continuity Report - Have City	The School Board should extend the Charter school program	Sheriff	Will Be	Efforts are underway to strengthen ties between Five Keys Charter High School and San	Recommendation Enrollment in I	Five Keys Charter High School has increased to 250 plus per day.	**	
2000 01	Agencies Done What They Said They Would Do After the Civil Grand Jury of 2005-2006 Issued its Reports?	in the jails to reach more of the eligible inmates.	Gristini	Implemented in the Future			TVO TROYO CHIAITOT FINGIT CONDOT FIAC INCIDENCE TO 200 PIAC POT GAY.		
2006-07	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	The San Francisco MTA should contact the League of California Cities, the California State Association of Counties, and the California Public Parking Association to determine whether they get complaints from their members about apparent blue placard abuse.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Recommendation Implemented	Recommendation implemented. SFMTA staff has had informal discussions with various state and regional policy organizations over the last five years, in addition to various local jurisdictions throughout the country. Many of these organizations and jurisdictions have expressed concern about the growing number of placards, however none have taken any formal position. A representative from the California Public Parking Association recently requested information on San Francisco's activities/ordinance related to disabled parking SFMTA will continue to communicate and coordinate with these organizations and jurisdictions on the subject issue.			**	
2006-07	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	2. The San Francisco Board of Supervisors should approve ordinance File No. 070409.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation No. III of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Parking for the Disabled—Abuse or Over-Use?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. II and VII, as follows: - Regarding Recommendation Nos. II and VII, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide ordinance File No. 070409 with full and expeditious consideration when said ordinance is before the Board of Supervisors; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution.			**	
2006-07	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	3. The San Francisco MTA should request the City of San Francisco's State Legislative delegation to consider requesting some form of state legislative hearing on blue placard abuse and on updating criteria for issuance and for use. Specifically the State Legislative delegation should look at: • Authorizing and requiring DMV to affix to the back of a blue placard a photo of the certified disabled user, so as to make easier and faster any determination of appropriateness of use. • Consideration of whether eligibility criteria, written prior to the passage of the Americans With Disabilities Act are still fully appropriate for California's changing population, or whether blue placard eligibility criteria should be changed; • Consideration of whether there should be any time limitation[s] on curbside meter parking.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation No. III of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Parking for the Disabled—Abuse or Over-Use?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. II and VII, as follows: - Regarding Recommendation Nos. II and VII, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide ordinance File No. 070409 with full and expeditious consideration when said ordinance is before the Board of Supervisors; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution.			**	
	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	3. The San Francisco MTA should request the City of San Francisco's State Legislative delegation to consider requesting some form of state legislative hearing on blue placard abuse and on updating criteria for issuance and for use. Specifically the State Legislative delegation should look at: • Authorizing and requiring DMV to affix to the back of a blue placard a photo of the certified disabled user, so as to make easier and faster any determination of appropriateness of use. • Consideration of whether eligibility criteria, written prior to the passage of the Americans With Disabilities Act are still fully appropriate for California's changing population, or whether blue placard eligibility criteria should be changed; • Consideration of whether there should be any time limitation[s] on curbside meter parking.		Requires Further Analysis	Further analysis required. The Mayor's Office supports further research into this recommendation by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency.	Department el	ected not to respond.	Department elected not to respon	nd.

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1	1) 2010 Response Text
	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	3. The San Francisco MTA should request the City of San Francisco's State Legislative delegation to consider requesting some form of state legislative hearing on blue placard abuse and on updating criteria for issuance and for use. Specifically the State Legislative delegation should look at: • Authorizing and requiring DMV to affix to the back of a blue placard a photo of the certified disabled user, so as to make easier and faster any determination of appropriateness of use. • Consideration of whether eligibility criteria, written prior to the passage of the Americans With Disabilities Act are still fully appropriate for California's changing population, or whether blue placard eligibility criteria should be changed; • Consideration of whether there should be any time limitation[s] on curbside meter parking.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future,Requires Further Analysis	SFMTA agrees that a request should be made to the City of San Francisco's State Legislative delegation to consider a state legislative hearing request on blue placard use, however further research into and evaluation of existing state policies and procedures that affect local jurisdictions are needed. Great policy analysis will allow for better guidance on possible resolutions to the growing concerns of local jurisdictions. SFMTA anticipates completing its research into state policies of disabled placards by January 1, 2008. Subsequent to the introduction of local legislation to create a Disabled Parking Review Panel, SFMTA staff learned that the DMV keeps very limited records for disabled placard applications. With the support of Mayor and Board of Supervisors, SFMTA plans to proceed with the Disable Placard Review Panel ordinance in 2008 and will continue to work with the DMV to upgrade placard issuance data collection efforts.	in the t Future,Requires Further Analysis	SFMTA agrees that a request should be made to the City of San Francisco's State Legislative delegation to consider a state legislative hearing request on blue placard use, however further research into and evaluation of existing state policies and procedures that affect local jurisdictions are needed. Great policy analysis will allow for better guidance on possible resolutions to the growing concerns of local jurisdictions. SFMTA anticipates completing its research into state policies of disabled placards by January 1, 2008. At the request of SFMTA, Assemblywoman Ma introduced a bill in January 2009 to amend the California Vehicle Code. The overall goal of AB 144 (Ma) is to improve access, mobility, and quality of life for drivers with disabilities by implementing meaningful penalties for illegal use of accessible parking spaces. AB 144 will increase the penalty for certain misuse placard violations from \$100 to a range of not less than \$250 and not more than \$1,000. Additionally, the bill adds language that will allow certain disabled placard parking violations to be subject to the issuance of a parking citation. When cited as a parking violation, these citations would be subject to a civil rather than criminal penalty and will include the same citation protest process used for all parking violations. To complement AB144, SFMTA has completed a survey of best practices related to disabled parking management in North America. This survey is intended to serve as the foundation for an upcoming effort to work with key stakeholders, especially disabled advocacy groups, to develop legislation that would propose a comprehensive approach to disabled parking management improvements that addresses key issues. That outreach and policy development is expected to take place in 2009 for proposal in 2010.		Recommendation will be implemented in the future and/or implementation is ongoing. In 2009, Assemblywoman Ma sponsored AB 144 on behalf of the SFMTA and the City and County of San Francisco. AB 144 (Ma) increases the penalty amount for a number of disabled placard parking violations and gives Parking Control Officers the authority to cite these violations as a civil penalty; the SFMTA board of directors has increased the penalties to \$750. The bill was signed by Governor Schwarzenegger and effective on January 1, 2010. In 2010, SFMTA will continue to monitor disabled placard legislation at the state level and track citation and placard confiscation numbers in an effort to monitor the effectiveness of AB 144 (Ma). This information will be used to determine whether additional changes will need to be made to the California Vehicle Code. The SFMTA is also continuing accessible parking reform research and analysis in conjunction with the SF <i>park</i> project and will provide recommendations on next steps after coordinating with stakeholders and the disability community.
	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	The Municipal Transportation Agency should aggressively pursue funding for training more Parking Control Officers for monitoring of blue placard abuse.	Municipal Transportation Agency	Recommendation Implemented	Recommendation for training more Parking Control Officers for the Disabled Placard Detail will be accomplished through "in-house training." Additional funding will not be required. As staffing levels increase, Enforcement's goals will be to maximize placard enforcement with additional teams. SFMTA plans to hire and train 20 additional Parking Control Officers by April 2008, of which 2 officers will be specially trained and assigned to the Disabled Placard detail.	**		**	
	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	5. The blue placard hotline phone number should be added to all street cleaning signs and added on all signs marking a dedicated blue placard space.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	All traffic signs are regulated by the State through the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). The MUTCD does not allow for information such as hotline phone numbers on street cleaning or disabled parking signs. An information sign containing the blue placard hotline phone number would have to be a separate sign requiring the installation of over 10,000 new signs throughout the City, thus increasing installation and maintenance costs. The Department of Parking and Traffic already provides the blue placard hotline phone number on its website located at http://www.sfmta.com/cms/bcontact/13438.html.	**		**	
	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	6. Municipal Transportation Agency should request that sentencing guidelines for blue placard citations should be reviewed and then be strictly enforced, within the limits of judicial discretion.	City Attorney	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation concerns a matter of policy for another City department. The City Attorney does not have the authority over implementation of this recommendation. Should the MTA pursue this recommendation, the City Attorney's Office would be available to provide legal assistance.	**		**	
	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?		Municipal Transportation Agency	Will Be Implemented in the Future	SFMTA agrees that a request should be made that sentencing guidelines for blue placard citations be reviewed and then be strictly enforced. SFMTA intends to make such a request to the Superior Court in conjunction with the City Attorney's Office after an assessment of Superior Court's exiting sentencing guidelines for violation of State Vehicle Code Section 4461 or 4463. SFMTA anticipates that a request will be made by January 1, 2008.	in the Future		Recommendation Implemented	Recommendation has been implemented. In 2009, Assemblywoman Ma sponsored AB 144 on behalf of the SFMTA and the City and County of San Francisco. AB 144 (Ma) increases the penalty amount for a number of disabled placard parking violations and gives Parking Control Officers the authority to cite these violations as a civil penalty; the SFMTA board of directors has increased the penalties to \$750. The bill was signed by Governor Schwarzenegger and effective on January 1, 2010.
	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	7. The Board of Supervisors should pass the proposed Ordinance File No. 070409 to increase the fines for improper use of blue placards and the Mayor should sign such legislation.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation No. III of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Parking for the Disabled—Abuse or Over-Use?"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation Nos. II and VII, as follows: - Regarding Recommendation Nos. II and VII, the Board of Supervisors agrees to provide ordinance File No. 070409 with full and expeditious consideration when said ordinance is before the Board of Supervisors; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution.			**	In 2010. SFMTA will continue to monitor disabled placard legislation at the state level and track.
	Parking for the Disabled - Abuse or Over-Use?	7. The Board of Supervisors should pass the proposed Ordinance File No. 070409 to increase the fines for improper use of blue placards and the Mayor should sign such legislation.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	I-1. The Civil Grand Jury calls on the elected and appointed public officials to protect the citizens of San Francisco with a renewed and sustained commitment to emergency and disaster planning and preparedness by empowering those responsible with the authority to carry out their duties and by assuring ongoing appropriate funding.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	I-1. The Civil Grand Jury calls on the elected and appointed public officials to protect the citizens of San Francisco with a renewed and sustained commitment to emergency and disaster planning and preparedness by empowering those responsible with the authority to carry out their duties and by assuring ongoing appropriate funding.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and implemented. I am proud of San Francisco's commitment to emergency and disaster planning and preparedness. In an effort to work toward sustainability, the FY08 budget includes \$2.4 million in funding for emergency and logistics planning at the Department of Emergency Management, Fire Department, Sheriffs Department, Police Department and Department of Public Health. We also provided more than \$429,000 in this year's budget for training 2,400 San Franciscans in disaster preparedness and response via the Neighborhood Emergency Response Training (NERT) program. Since 2004, San Francisco has received almost \$150 million in federal homeland security funding, including funding from the Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI), Interoperable Communications Grants, and funding for Port and Transit security.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-A1. By December 31, 2007, the Mayor should provide the Department of Emergency Management with the clear and specific authority necessary to accomplish its responsibilities to plan for and coordinate disaster response operations of all the agencies and departments of City government.	Emergency Management	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree. This was instituted by the Mayor's Directive 06-01 issued May 10, 2006.	Recommendation Implemented This is completed.		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-A1. By December 31, 2007, the Mayor should provide the Department of Emergency Management with the clear and specific authority necessary to accomplish its responsibilities to plan for and coordinate disaster response operations of all the agencies and departments of City government.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and implemented.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-A10. The Director of Department of Emergency Management should always be a qualified manager, with experience in disaster emergency response.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree and Implemented. This is already in place pursuant to the City Administrative Code, Section 7. (Note: The Director serves as the Executive Secretary to the Disaster Council.)	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-A10. The Director of Department of Emergency Management should always be a qualified manager, with experience in disaster emergency response.		Recommendation Implemented	Agreed and implemented.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	, , , , ,	Board of Supervisors		RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned. Page 33 of 71

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1	1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	II-A2. The Department of Emergency Management should develop and submit to the Mayor for FY09 a clearly defined program-staffing plan necessary to complete its disaster planning, coordination and preparedness duties in a professional manner, consistent with the highest standards of disaster response.	Emergency		Agree w/Future Implementation. DEM will base its 2008-2009 budget recommendations on the outcome of the Strategic Plan process.	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's office has continued to support DEM, and we continue to utilize grants to best leverage the general fund in this environment of budget reductions.	**		
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	II-A2. The Department of Emergency Management should develop and submit to the Mayor for FY09 a clearly defined program-staffing plan necessary to complete its disaster planning, coordination and preparedness duties in a professional manner, consistent with the highest standards of disaster response.	Mayor		Agree with future implementation. The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and	·	Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	d t		**		
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the			Implemented in the	Agree w/Future Implementation. DEM agrees that it should work closely with departments, particularly GSA, in coordinating meetings that have a direct impact on resources that would be needed during a disaster.	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's office has continued to support DEM, and we continue to utilize grants to best leverage the general fund in this environment of budget reductions.	**		
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the	II-A3. The Mayor should submit a budget to the Board of Supervisors for FY09 that supports the Department of Emergency Management's clearly defined program-staffing plan and the Board should approve it.	Mayor		Agree with future implementation. The Department of Emergency Management's staffing request for FY09 is being carefully reviewed and assessed by the Mayor's Office.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	II-A4. The Director of the Department of Emergency Management should review each department's legally required disaster response duties and expertise, in order to ascertain that each department is fulfilling its responsibilities. Then, it should compile a list of each department's recurring emergency preparedness committees, workgroups and meetings.		Implemented	Agree. DEM routinely collaborates with departments in reviewing their legally required disaster response duties and works collaboratively with these departments in insuring their mandated responsibilities are fulfilled.	**		**		
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	II-A4. The Director of the Department of Emergency Management should review each department's legally required disaster response duties and expertise, in order to ascertain that each department is fulfilling its responsibilities. Then, it should compile a list of each department's recurring emergency preparedness committees, workgroups and meetings.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**		
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	II-A5. Where the Department of Emergency Management finds duplication, it should require departments to combine committees and workgroups to guarantee the presence of each key stakeholder, including the integration of appropriate representatives from the private sector into operational planning by December 31, 2007.		Recommendation Implemented	Fully Implemented.	**		**		
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	II-A5. Where the Department of Emergency Management finds duplication, it should require departments to combine committees and workgroups to guarantee the presence of each key stakeholder, including the integration of appropriate representatives from the private sector into operational planning by December 31, 2007.	Mayor		Agree and implemented. The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**		

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	· ·	Recommendation	Required	2008 Response (1)	· ·	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	II-A6. Departments should be required to notify the Department of Emergency Management of upcoming meetings, workgroups, drills, training exercises and disaster response related activities. A representative of the Department should be present to assure continuity.	• •	Recommendation Implemented	Fully Implemented	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and	II-A6. Departments should be required to notify the Department of Emergency Management of upcoming meetings, workgroups, drills, training exercises and disaster response related activities. A representative of the Department should be present to assure continuity.	layor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and implemented. The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	II-A7. A representative of the Department of Emergency Management should be present at workgroups and meetings on disaster response held by key private sector organizations, such as the Red Cross and the Hospital Council.	0 ,	Recommendation Implemented	Fully Implemented	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	II-A7. A representative of the Department of Emergency Management should be present at workgroups and meetings on disaster response held by key private sector organizations, such as the Red Cross and the Hospital Council.	layor	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	II-A8. Consistent with Mayor's May 10, 2006 Directive, the Mayor should propose and the Board of Supervisors should approve for FY09 requests of departments with major defined disaster roles to make its Disaster Preparedness Coordinator a full time dedicated staff position.			RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	II-A8. Consistent with Mayor's May 10, 2006 Directive, the Mayor should propose and the Board of Supervisors should approve for FY09 requests of departments with major defined disaster roles to make its Disaster Preparedness Coordinator a full time dedicated staff position.		Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required – Effective in the 2007-2008 budget, logistics positions were placed into the general fund budget for the Health, Fire, Police and Sheriff's Department. An analysis of departments, such as DBI and DHR, who do not have public safety as their primary mission, but will play a large role, may be in order. Remain a 3. As we being to implement Strategic Plan it may be more evident.		The Mayor's office has continued to support DEM, and we continue to utilize grants to best leverage the general fund in this environment of budget reductions.	**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	II-A8. Consistent with Mayor's May 10, 2006 Directive, the Mayor should propose and the Board of Supervisors should approve for FY09 requests of departments with major defined disaster roles to make its Disaster Preparedness Coordinator a full time dedicated staff position.	layor	Requires Further Analysis	Further analysis required. Executive Directive 06-0 1 called for City Departments to appoint Disaster Service Coordinators — a request that has been implemented. The full-time dedication and subsequent funding of this position should be analyzed on a department-by-department basis.		Department elected not to respond.	De	epartment elected not to respond.
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	II-A9. Beginning with its 2007 report, the Department of Emergency Mangement should include in its annual report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors an update on the function and progress of each disaster related government committee.		Implemented	Agree. DEM will report on each of our committees and workgroups during our report to the Board of Supervisors scheduled for September 17, 2007. Was not calendared. Working with BOS to find new date.	**		**	
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	II-A9. Beginning with its 2007 report, the Department of Emergency Mangement should include in its annual report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors an update on the function and progress of each disaster related government committee.	layor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This does not fall within the purview of the Mayor's Office. However, the Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	II-B1. The Strategic Plan and the revised Emergency Operations Plan should state that the Department of Emergency Management has clear and specific authority to carry out its responsibilities to plan for and coordinate disaster response operations of all the agencies and departments of City government, including all workgroups and committees.		Recommendation Implemented	Fully Implemented. The Strategic Plan provides a clarified role of the DEM.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation		2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-B1. The Strategic Plan and the revised Emergency Operations Plan should state that the Department of Emergency Management has clear and specific authority to carry out its responsibilities to plan for and coordinate disaster response operations of all the agencies and departments of City government, including all workgroups and committees.	Required Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-B2. Beginning with its 2007 annual report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, the Department of Emergency Management should include an update on the state of short and long range planning, including time revisions of Strategic and Operational Plans.	Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	nd A		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-B2. Beginning with its 2007 annual report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, the Department of Emergency Management should include an update on the state of short and long range planning, including time revisions of Strategic and Operational Plans.	Emergency Management	Analysis	Further Analysis Required. DEM will report on its interim strategic plan, but the Strategic Plan for the City will not be complete until November 2007. This report was put on hold. The Strategic Plan was delayed due to DEM's required response to the Cosco Busan Oil Spill of Nov. 7, 2007. Strategic Plan released February 4, 2008.	Implemented	The Strategic Plan has been completed and is being implemented. Regular reports are provided to the Mayor and information is available to BOS as requested. Regular reports are also provided to the Disaster Council.	**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-B2. Beginning with its 2007 annual report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, the Department of Emergency Management should include an update on the state of short and long range planning, including time revisions of Strategic and Operational Plans.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-C1. By December 31, 2007, the Mayor should work with the Department of Emergency Management to propose amendments to Section 7 of the Administrative Code redefining the Council to recognize that the Disaster Council operates as a public forum and should not be characterized as a planning body.	Emergency Management	Implemented in the	Agree w/Future Implementation. DEM agrees that a revision is in order and will assist in this endeavor. Mayor has incorporated this into his Executive Directive 10-07 and DEM will be working on this. Anticipated to be ready June 2008.	Will Be Implemente in the Future	d DEM agrees that a revision is in order and has begun work on this, however implementation is delayed due to other demands. Should be implemented in FY 2009-2010	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Further analysis revealed that the Administrative Code is appropriate. Instead we aligned our processes to provide transparent participation of Disaster Council members and their designees through work groups composed around the four basic criteria of our mission - planning, response, mitigation and recovery. This was presented at the February 22, 2010 Disaster Council meeting.
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-C1. By December 31, 2007, the Mayor should work with the Department of Emergency Management to propose amendments to Section 7 of the Administrative Code redefining the Council to recognize that the Disaster Council operates as a public forum and should not be characterized as a planning body.		,	The Mayor's Office will work with the Department of Emergency Management and members of the Disaster Council to ensure the most effective utilization of the Disaster Council and to move forward changes to the Administrative Code as needed.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-C2. The meetings and workgroups coordinated by the Department of Emergency Management should reflect the public/private sector makeup of the Disaster Council. These groups should report to the Council to use it more fully as a public forum.	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and Implemented. The current workgroups, both local and regional do reflect the public/private sector makeup.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-C2. The meetings and workgroups coordinated by the Department of Emergency Management should reflect the public/private sector makeup of the Disaster Council. These groups should report to the Council to use it more fully as a public forum.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-C3. Until the Administrative Code has been changed, the Disaster Council should meet as frequently as needed to consider in a timely manner the proposals on which it must rule. The Mayor, if he or she cannot be present, should be able to designate a representative to chair the meeting. The Mayor, however, should continue to chair the meetings at least quarterly.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. DEM believes that the majority of the Disaster Council members are included in the approval of any proposals or plans that have been put forth Additional meetings recommended by the CJG may not be necessary to achieve the stated result.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The majority of the Disaster Council members are included in the approval of any proposals or plans that have been put forth as a matter of operational efficiency. Convening the entire Disaster Council for this purpose is not practical.	**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-C3. Until the Administrative Code has been changed, the Disaster Council should meet as frequently as needed to consider in a timely manner the proposals on which it must rule. The Mayor, if he or she cannot be present, should be able to designate a representative to chair the meeting. The Mayor, however, should continue to chair the meetings at least quarterly.	Mayor	Implemented	To date, quarterly meetings have provided adequate frequency to address proposals in a timely manner. As Chair, the Mayor has the authority to call Disaster Council meetings at any time.			**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1) 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1	1) 2010 Response Text
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	II-D1. As soon as the Department of Emergency Management has completed this phase of City strategic and operational planning, but no later than December 31, 2007, it should focus on regional and mutual aid planning.	Required Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and implemented. DEM is actively participating in regional planning and has been for some time. First through the funding of the RECP, Regional Emergency Coordination Plan, and now through active participation and coordination with the projects funded through the Bay Area SUASI. We do not see a change in focus, but will continue to insure that regional and local planning is coordinated.			**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and	II-E1. The Department of Emergency Management should take the lead in developing the plan to organize neighborhood response.	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and Implemented. DEM is working closely with DPH and others in development of community disaster planning employing a community hub concept. We are now entering Phase 2 of this planning and will be joining DPH in kicking it off on September 8, 2007.			**	
2006-07		II-E1. The Department of Emergency Management should take it the lead in developing the plan to organize neighborhood response.	Fire Departmen		Agree with Future Implementation. There are aspects of neighborhood response that Department of Emergency Management can help facilitate. Since 1990, NERT has provided a training program and neighborhood response plan that is now federally recognized. Better understanding and promotion of NERT will help the City reach a critical mass necessary to organize neighborhoods.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The NERT Program remains under the direction of the Fire Department. Training, education, organization and deployment are directed by Lieutenant Erica Arteseros, the NERT Program coordinator. Lt Arteseros works closely with DEM to utilize the NERT Teams in the most efficient manner possible.	**	
2006-07		II-E1. The Department of Emergency Management should take the lead in developing the plan to organize neighborhood response.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and	II-E1. The Department of Emergency Management should take the lead in developing the plan to organize neighborhood response.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree - DEM and DPH began a Community Response planning process in the Spring of 2007. A concept of operations plan is currently posted for review and comments. The Community HUB plan will be kicked off as two pilot projects September 8, 2007. <i>DPH received a federal grant in September to fund the implementation of the medical components of the Community plan. The grant is funding training, equipment and planning facilitation in two pilot communities. DPH/DEM held a workshop to introduce the final concept and elicit feedback from community members in Chinatown (one of two pilot neighborhoods) in February. The plan was well received. We are currently working on the roll out for the Southeast Sector as well.</i>			**	
2006-07		II-E2. The Department of Emergency Management and the Emergency Resources Management Planning workgroup should pre-designate and categorize staging sites to be used by the City for its emergency response and then inform neighborhood groups by the beginning of FY09.	_	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. DEM agrees that we work with the ERMP group and designate potential staging sites, as we have done for the last two years. We may not be providing this information to neighborhood groups unless there is a specific need to know. The Emergency Resources Management Planning group continues to predesignate and categorize staging areas. Anticipated completion Sept. 2008.	in the Future	Implementation in the future, partially implemented. The ERPW is making progress on staging areas. Open space is a premium in SF and requires careful, conditions based analysis of proposed utilization. They also need to be sure our plans are congruent with the newly published FEMA Concept of Operations plan.	Implemented	DEM has been leading this effort through a city agency workgroup. All appropriate open spaces and suitable structures have been reviewed and catalogued by Emergency Response Districts. This will be part of the information sharing that will occur as we move forward with the establishment of community hubs.
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	II-E2. The Department of Emergency Management and the Emergency Resources Management Planning workgroup should pre-designate and categorize staging sites to be used by the City for its emergency response and then inform neighborhood groups by the beginning of FY09.		nt Recommendation Implemented	Agree and Implemented. NERT neighborhood staging areas have been established and are made public on the NERT website. http://www.sfgov.org/site/sfnert	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	II-E2. The Department of Emergency Management and the Emergency Resources Management Planning workgroup should pre-designate and categorize staging sites to be used by the City for its emergency response and then inform neighborhood groups by the beginning of FY09.		Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the	II-E2. The Department of Emergency Management and the Emergency Resources Management Planning workgroup should pre-designate and categorize staging sites to be used by the City for its emergency response and then inform neighborhood groups by the beginning of FY09.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree with reservation— DEM has conducted an analysis of all available sites and categorized them on a database. These will NOT be shared with the community prior to activation because they may be used for different purposes depending on the nature of the emergency and we want to avoid the possibility of unsafe situations occurring with folks congregating in unstable locations. <i>No change</i> .	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and	II-E3. By the beginning of FY09, in order to function effectively as the neighborhood based emergency response group, NERT should be • integrated into City disaster response planning; • recognized as the lead neighborhood response group; • provided with a clear set of procedures, responsibilities and functions; • provided with resources, including communication equipment, to carry out its functions; and • trained in incident command. • trained in incident command.	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree. NERT is a valuable tool for community planning but their current emphasis is on providing aid at the direction of the Fire Department. The community disaster hub plar will coordinate NERT and other groups into a sustainable community group using the ICS model and clearly identified leadership.	n		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and	II-E3. By the beginning of FY09, in order to function effectively as the neighborhood based emergency response group, NERT should be • integrated into City disaster response planning; • recognized as the lead neighborhood response group; • provided with a clear set of procedures, responsibilities and functions; • provided with resources, including communication equipment, to carry out its functions; and • trained in incident command. • trained in incident command.	Required Fire Departmer		Agree and Implemented. The NERT response is integrated into the Fire Department disaster plan and so, into the San Francisco Emergency Operations Plan (EOP). Further guidelines determining the scope and authority of the NERT response are in the Guidelines for the Disaster Service Worker Volunteer Program. The EOP was edited and reflects some errors in the scope of NERT response. Corrections have been submitted to DEM for revision. This is to ensure that the response is consistent with the scope of training that volunteers receive. Increasing education to more City agencies and leaders about the organization, NERTs goals and capabilities is a key piece to this recommendation. NERT volunteer procedures, responsibilities and functions are clearly established in the SFFD Disaster Plan. The procedures are taught in basic NERT training and reinforced in drills and advanced training. Standard Operating Guidelines have been established and are given to NERT volunteers who play an active role on their neighborhood team. The SFFD recognizes the volunteer nature of the organization when considering NERT responsibilities. NERT is always seeking additional resources to assist neighborhood teams in a most effective response. NERT is working with the DEM to expand communications capabilities. A supply cache has been secured and placed at various SFFD facilities. NERT is working towards becoming NIMS compliant. ICS100 training is offered quarterly to NERT volunteers.			**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	II-E3. By the beginning of FY09, in order to function effectively as It the neighborhood based emergency response group, NERT should be • integrated into City disaster response planning; • recognized as the lead neighborhood response group; • provided with a clear set of procedures, responsibilities and functions; • provided with resources, including communication equipment, to carry out its functions; and • trained in incident command. • trained in incident command.	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This does fall within the purview of the Mayor's Office. However, the Mayor's Office support and recognizes the importance of the NERT program, as directed by the Fire Department. The Mayor's Office also supports the continued coordination between the Fire Department and the Department of Emergency Management in how to best incorporate NERT volunteers into the community disaster hub plan.	**		**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	II-E3. By the beginning of FY09, in order to function effectively as the neighborhood based emergency response group, NERT should be • integrated into City disaster response planning; • recognized as the lead neighborhood response group; • provided with a clear set of procedures, responsibilities and functions; • provided with resources, including communication equipment, to carry out its functions; and • trained in incident command. • trained in incident command.	Public Health	Warranted or Not	Disagree – NERT is a volunteer organization; it was not designed to act as the lead neighborhood response group. The new Community HUB plan that will be kicked off beginning September 8, 2007 relies on Disaster Service Workers (DSW) to fulfill the jobs and responsibilities enumerated in this recommendation. <i>No change</i>	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the		Board of Supervisors	•	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	,	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Fully Implemented. Our FY08-09 budget request includes positions for this initiative.	**		**	
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	II-E4. The Department of Emergency Management, General Services Agency, Department of Public Health and the Fire Department should submit to the Mayor clearly defined funding requests necessary for community planning resource requirements. The Mayor should approve the requests and forward them to the Board of Supervisors for approval by FY09.	Fire Departmer		Agree and Implemented. The SFFD maintains a Line Item in its Annual Operating Budget for NERT support.	t **		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2000-07	2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1) 2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	II-E4. The Department of Emergency Management, General Services Agency, Department of Public Health and the Fire Department should submit to the Mayor clearly defined funding requests necessary for community planning resource requirements. The Mayor should approve the requests and forward them to the Board of Supervisors for approval by FY09.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Any Department of Emergency Management, General Services Agency, Department of Public Health, and Fire Department funding requests for community planning resources for FY09 will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.	
2006-07	, ,	II-E4. The Department of Emergency Management, General Services Agency, Department of Public Health and the Fire Department should submit to the Mayor clearly defined funding requests necessary for community planning resource requirements. The Mayor should approve the requests and forward them to the Board of Supervisors for approval by FY09.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – When the Community HUB plan is rolled out, the participating Departments will submit a budget for approval. In the meantime, the Department of Public Health is applying for a State Funding Grant to seed the project. We will continue to look for grants and alternate funding sources to ensure the success of this project. DPH received a federal grant of approximately \$800,000 last fall to begin funding the Community Hub project. We continue to look for alternate sources of funding and grants.	**		**		
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-1. The City should have a permanent grant oversight program in place by December 31, 2007. The Mayor should issue guidelines for grant proposals incorporating long-range planning for maintaining and sustaining equipment and programs.	Emergency Management	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree w/Future Implementation. Agree with intent, but not the suggested mechanism. DEM is planning on working, through the joint DEM/GSA Resources committee and the new logistics coordinator in developing plans for sustainable equipment and program needs for all departments who have emergency equipment. We plan to have a budget recommendation for the 2008-2009 budget submission and to continue to seek grant funding for this purpose as well. Mayor's Executive Directive 07-10 contains instructions.	Requires Further Analysis	We have an oversight in place using the controller's office, as well as state and federal audits. Grant funds have continued be available DEM agrees with intent, but not the suggested mechanism, to ensure funding is available for future sustainment and maintenance. DEM will be working, through the joint DEM/GSA Resources committee and the new logistics coordinator in developing plans for sustainable equipment and program needs for all departments who have emergency equipment.	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	DEM has been able to maintain and sustain equipment through grant programs. Some creative	
2006-07	, ,	III-1. The City should have a permanent grant oversight program in place by December 31, 2007. The Mayor should issue guidelines for grant proposals incorporating long-range planning for maintaining and sustaining equipment and programs.	Mayor	Will Be Implemented in the Future	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.	
2006-07		III-2. The Mayor should direct the Department of Emergency Management to develop a plan for permanent ongoing local funding for staffing, maintenance and storage of equipment and sustaining programs obtained under federal Homeland Security grants. The Board of Supervisors should approve funding to maintain and sustain the program and/or equipment.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**		
2006-07		III-2. The Mayor should direct the Department of Emergency Management to develop a plan for permanent ongoing local funding for staffing, maintenance and storage of equipment and sustaining programs obtained under federal Homeland Security grants. The Board of Supervisors should approve funding to maintain and sustain the program and/or equipment.	Emergency Management	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree w/Future Implementation. Agree with the intent. DEM expects the Strategic Plan to inform this recommendation. See reply to III-1. Included in Executive Directive 07-10.	Will Be Implemente in the Future	DEM expects the Strategic Plan to inform this recommendation. See reply to III-1. Included in Executive Directive 07-10. Timeframe: Unknown due to current budget constraints.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DEM has produced all relevant materials to support this recommendation. Given current budget situation it is unlikely this will change in the near future.	
2006-07		III-2. The Mayor should direct the Department of Emergency Management to develop a plan for permanent ongoing local funding for staffing, maintenance and storage of equipment and sustaining programs obtained under federal Homeland Security grants. The Board of Supervisors should approve funding to maintain and sustain the program and/or equipment.	Mayor	Will Be Implemented in the Future	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's strategic planning process and will carefully review and assess resulting budget requests for FY09.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.	

(1) "--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

Page 39 of 71

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)) 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-3. The Mayor should require each department's annual budget to include a line item request for disaster preparedness related resources, which should be prepared and approved consistent with documented programmatic need. The Board of Supervisors should approve these funding requests.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-3. The Mayor should require each department's annual budget to include a line item request for disaster preparedness related resources, which should be prepared and approved consistent with documented programmatic need. The Board of Supervisors should approve these funding requests.	Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. Before we determine the solution, we need to assess the problem and work with our stakeholders for a sustainable recommendation. We will be looking at this for the 2008-2009-budget submission. We were not able to meet the recommended timeline due to Oil Spill response and will continue this for the future.	Will Be Implemente in the Future	Budget challenges have delayed implementation of this recommendation.		Budget challenges continue to delay any discussion or implementation of this item. DEM has reminded departments to have supplies on hand capable of supplying their staff for up to 72 hours.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-3. The Mayor should require each department's annual budget to include a line item request for disaster preparedness related resources, which should be prepared and approved consistent with documented programmatic need. The Board of Supervisors should approve these funding requests.		Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office relies on departments to submit budget requests for disaster related resources as needed.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-4. To provide for monitoring commitment to grant-funded projects, the City's revised Emergency Operations Plan should incorporate the grant related requirements of the Mayor's Directive of May 10, 2006. Beginning with its 2007 Annual Report, the Department of Emergency Management should include performance benchmarks and requirements related to grant acquired equipment and programs.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. The purpose of this recommendation is to insure that grant funds are used to insure sustainability – both for replacement, and maintenance of equipment and supplies. – We will be looking at this for the 2008-2009 budget submission. Since the publication of this report the SUASI is working on a regional assessment to assist in this. Also contained in Mayor's Executive Directive 07-10.	Recommendation Implemented	All grant requests for money directly controlled by DEM are required to include performance benchmarks and sustainment plans before they can be considered for funding.	**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-4. To provide for monitoring commitment to grant-funded projects, the City's revised Emergency Operations Plan should incorporate the grant related requirements of the Mayor's Directive of May 10, 2006. Beginning with its 2007 Annual Report, the Department of Emergency Management should include performance benchmarks and requirements related to grant acquired equipment and programs.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-5. The Resources Management Planning Committee, chaired by the General Services Agency, should continue as the workgroup for logistical planning under the Department of Emergency Management's revised centralized committee and workgroup structure.	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Fully Implemented. Logistics coordinator arrived in January 2008 and is working closely with GSA. In Mayor's Executive Directive 07-10.	**		**	
		III-6. The Resources Management Planning Committee should devise a plan to centralize storage and maintenance of appropriate equipment by December 31, 2007.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. DEM will work with the committee to work on this recommendation. Funding, lack of appropriate space and other considerations may not allow for centralization. DEM believes a plan can be formulated by June 30, 2008. In Mayor's Executive Directive 07-10.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The current City construct does not support the idea of centralized storage and maintenance. As an alternative a City resource catalog has been developed so that all know what is available while maintaining the department's responsibility for storage and upkeep.		
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-7. By December 31, 2007, the Mayor should provide the Department of Emergency Management and the General Services Agency clear and specific authority to review the status of equipment obtained under Homeland Security grant funding and to propose a plan to coordinate the funding of equipment maintenance and storage across departmental lines where appropriate.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. DEM and GSA already have the authority. DEM maintains ar inventory, completed by departments, of the equipment obtained through homeland security funding. The Resource Committee will be tasked with working on a plan for all emergency related equipment and supplies as well as maintenance of that equipment. This is a long term project that may involve the development/acquisition of software to be integrated into the City system and used on a daily basis by identified departments. In Mayor's Executive Directive 07-10.	Analysis	DEM and GSA already have the authority. DEM maintains an inventory, completed by departments, of the equipment obtained through homeland security funding. The Resource Committee will be tasked with working on a plan for all emergency related equipment and supplies as well as maintenance of that equipment. This is a long term project that may involve the development/acquisition of software to be integrated into the City system and used on a daily basis by identified departments. In Mayor's Executive Directive 07-10. Unknown time frame:	Implemented	This recommendation has been implemented as completely as possible. Homeland security funding no longer allows for maintenance beyond the grant period in which the equipment was funded. DEM working closely with all affected city departments has created a Resource Directory that lists equipment and locations (as well as staging sites, shelters, medical trailers, shelter trailers, etc.) to provide a basis for coordinated planning for equipment maintenance and storage. A number of interdepartmental MOUs have been established to provide protocols for this process.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-7. By December 31, 2007, the Mayor should provide the Department of Emergency Management and the General Services Agency clear and specific authority to review the status of equipment obtained under Homeland Security grant funding and to propose a plan to coordinate the funding of equipment maintenance and storage across departmental lines where appropriate.	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This does not fall within the purview of the Mayor's Office. However, the Mayor's Office support the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	·	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)		2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health		Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Emergency Management		Agree and partially Implemented. General funding for logistics positions was provided to DEM, Police, Sheriff and DPH in the 2007-2008 budget. GSA was not provided a position.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	III-8. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should fully fund and staff the Department of Emergency Management and the General Services Agency to enable them to coordinate maintenance and security of all disaster equipment across departmental lines by FY09.	Mayor	Analysis	Any Department of Emergency Management and , General Services Agency funding requests will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-A1. The Department of Building Inspection, coordinating with the Department of Emergency Management, should survey each essential Departmental Operation Command Center or alternate DOC for seismic safety and, if it is not safe, determine whether it is City-owned by December 31, 2007.	•	Analysis	The Department of Building Inspection (DBI) is in the process of creating a Building Occupancy Resumption Program for City owned and leased buildings (City BORP) modeled after the BORP for private buildings. However, DBI does not have adequate staff (nor is it our mission) to perform seismic safety studies of these buildings. In general, such a study would be conducted by the Department of Public Works (DPW) Bureau of Engineering. In fact a seismic study of many City owned buildings was done a number of years ago.	in the Future	DBI is working with DEM to determine if all DOC's have been surveyed by DPW and this study should be completed this year. The ownership of all the DOC's has been determined.	Recommendation Implemented	Ownership of DOC's has been determined.
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-A1. The Department of Building Inspection, coordinating with the Department of Emergency Management, should survey each essential Departmental Operation Command Center or alternate DOC for seismic safety and, if it is not safe, determine whether it is City-owned by December 31, 2007.			Agree w/Future Implementation. DEM will work with the appropriate City Departments and seek funding for this study. Contained in Mayor's Executive Directive 07-10.	Will Be Implemented in the Future	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Recommendation Implemented	Part of All Hazard Strategic Plan Goal #10: This was accomplished through the use of the previously completed Siesmic Hazard Ratings. The DOC workgroup reviewed all essential DOCs for seismic integrity as well as equipment and generators. Alternate locations were recommended for those not meeting seismic standards. The Resource Directory includes information on each DOC, including generator capabilities as well as the location and type of all portable generators.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-A1. The Department of Building Inspection, coordinating with the Department of Emergency Management, should survey each essential Departmental Operation Command Center or alternate DOC for seismic safety and, if it is not safe, determine whether it is City-owned by December 31, 2007.	Services Agency	/ Implemented in the Future	This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), not the Department of Public Works (DPW). DEM will coordinate with DBI, in conjunction with the All Hazard Strategic Plan. DPW could assist in the survey/evaluation of a potential DOC facilities' seismic strength, if requested. Appropriate funding will need to be appropriated to conduct the survey.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), not the Department of Public Works (DPW). DEM has taken the lead to identify the primary and alternative locations of all DOCs.	**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-A2. If the site of the Departmental Operation Command Center or alternate DOC is determined to be seismically unsafe, the Department of Emergency Management should, by June 30, 2008, direct the Department to relocate the DOC to a seismically safe location.	Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-A2. If the site of the Departmental Operation Command Center or alternate DOC is determined to be seismically unsafe, the Department of Emergency Management should, by June 30, 2008, direct the Department to relocate the DOC to a seismically safe location.	Management	Analysis	Further Analysis Required. DEM will make every effort to work with departments on solutions, and make appropriate recommendations, however the location of the department DOC will remain the purview of the department head.	Requires Further Analysis	DEM will make every effort to work with departments on solutions, and make appropriate recommendations, however the location of the department DOC will remain the purview of the department head. Implementation Date: Unknown	Recommendation Implemented	See #14 above.
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-A2. If the site of the Departmental Operation Command Center or alternate DOC is determined to be seismically unsafe, the Department of Emergency Management should, by June 30, 2008, direct the Department to relocate the DOC to a seismically safe location.		/ Implemented in the Future	This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is DEM, not DPW. DEM will take the lead and, after completion of the seismic safety survey, issue appropriate recommendations, which may include co-locating a DOC. If requested, DPW could assist in the survey/evaluation of a potential DOC facilities' seismic strength. Funding for the new site/facility evaluation and the move/renovation of each DOC would need to be appropriated.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is DEM, not DPW. DEM will take the lead and, after completion of the seismic safety survey, issue appropriate recommendations, which may include co-locating a DOC. If requested, DPW could assist in the survey/evaluation of a potential DOC facilities' seismic strength. Funding for the new site/facility evaluation and the move/renovation of each DOC would need to be appropriated.	**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-A3. In each instance where no seismically safe location is available, the City should utilize its capabilities to assess hazards then create and implement bonds and/or other funding methods to carry out retrofit projects to house essential Departmental Operation Command Centers in City-owned properties.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-A3. In each instance where no seismically safe location is available, the City should utilize its capabilities to assess hazards then create and implement bonds and/or other funding methods to carry out retrofit projects to house essential Departmental Operation Command Centers in City-owned properties.	_	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree w/Future Implementation. This is a citywide effort and will require appropriate funding.	Requires Further Analysis	DEM will take the lead and, after completion of the seismic safety survey, issue appropriate recommendations, which may include co-locating a DOC.	Recommendation Implemented	This has been implemented, but not precisely in the manner recommended. DEM has worked with many City departments to identify alternate DOCs that could be utilized in the event one or more DOCs is compromised by an event. In addition, see #14 above.
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	IV-A3. In each instance where no seismically safe location is available, the City should utilize its capabilities to assess hazards then create and implement bonds and/or other funding methods	•		This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is DEM, not DPW.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is DEM, not DPW.	**	
	Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	to carry out retrofit projects to house essential Departmental Operation Command Centers in City-owned properties.	T dolle Works	T didic	Based on IV-A1 and IV-A2 findings above, if requested DPW could assist in the survey/evaluation of a potential facilities' seismic strength; and propose adequate fundin for the renovation of each DOC for the Capital Plan and for future appropriation.	Reasonable	Based on IV-A1 and IV-A2 findings above, if requested DPW could assist in the survey/evaluation of a potential facilities' seismic strength; and propose adequate funding for the renovation of each DOC for the Capital Plan and for future appropriation.		
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-A3. In each instance where no seismically safe location is available, the City should utilize its capabilities to assess hazards then create and implement bonds and/or other funding methods to carry out retrofit projects to house essential Departmental Operation Command Centers in City-owned properties.		Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office carefully reviews all requests for capital financing within the citywide Capital Planning Committee.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-B1. The Department of Emergency Management should conduct an annual inspection of the Departmental Operation Command Center and alternate DOC of each department with a major defined disaster response role, catalog standardized equipment, including back-up generator power, coordinate its list with the General Services Agency and the Resource Workgroup, and recommend procurement. The first review should be completed by December 31, 2007, and the status of the standardized equipment and generator power should be included in DEM's annual report thereafter.	t o,	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree partially. GSA has begun a survey of all generators and fuel tanks available to Ci agencies. In addition, DEM is in the process of funding a study, to work with the GSA survey to determine actual power needs. This study will not be completed by December 31, 2007. DEM has grant funded a study, due in September 2008,	in the Future		Recommendation Implemented	See #14 above.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-B2. Each department with a major defined disaster response role should be responsible for requesting funding to equip a Departmental Operation Command Center and an alternate DOC, including fixed generator power by the end of fiscal 2008.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. DEM has provided funding to a number of departments to equip a standard DOC. Once the generator survey is complete, the power analysis is complete, and the seismic studies are complete, DEM, working with GSA and other designated departments will coordinate a request for appropriate resources. Expect this to be accomplished by June 30, 2008. Now September 2008.	in the Future	A DOC workgroup has developed standards for departments to follow. A number of departments have upgraded their DOCs. A one million dollar grant was recently released for the upgrade of the Police Department DOC as they move to their new location in the Potrero district. Ongoing implementation as funds become available.	Recommendation Implemented	See #14 above.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-B3. Beginning in fiscal year 2008, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should annually appropriate funding to equip DOCs with disaster response equipment, including fixed generator power. This funding should also provide for maintenance and replacement.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superi Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management are the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: -helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	nd ct A		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-B3. Beginning in fiscal year 2008, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should annually appropriate funding to equip DOCs with disaster response equipment, including fixed generator power. This funding should also provide for maintenance and replacement.		Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. See response above.	Will Be Implemented in the Future	A DOC workgroup has developed standards for departments to follow. Budget resources must be forthcoming in order to fully implement this item. Ongoing implementation as funds become available.	Recommendation Implemented	See #14 and #16 above.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-B3. Beginning in fiscal year 2008, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should annually appropriate funding to equip DOCs with disaster response equipment, including fixed generator power. This funding should also provide for maintenance and replacement.		Requires Further Analysis	Funding requests for FY09 will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

	2006-07										
CGJ Year	r Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response ((1)	2010 Response Text	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	IV-B4. The Emergency Resource Management Planning Workgroup of the General Services Agency should maintain a separate and current list of fixed and portable generators dedicated to the use by Departmental Operation Command Centers of departments with a major defined disaster response role for the allocation of fuel.	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Fully Implemented. GSA is currently conducting a survey.	**		**			
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the	IV-B5. The Mayor should direct the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Building Inspection to work together to develop rules and regulations that would allow the expedited placement of fixed generators at Departmental Operation Command Centers and alternate DOCs in departments with a major defined disaster response role.	Building Inspection	Requires Further Analysis	Fixed emergency generators at DOCs will provide needed power in an emergency. However, DPW Bureau of Architecture must provide the design for DBI to review. DBI issues building permits, we do not create the design. The Planning Department may also require review of any proposed plans. A major problem will be the refueling of these generators.	Implemented	DBI has added fixed emergency generators to their list of priority processing in 2009. DBI will plan check and issue permits submitted by the City for the installation of these generators in an expedited manner.	**			
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	IV-B5. The Mayor should direct the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Building Inspection to work together to develop rules and regulations that would allow the expedited placement of fixed generators at Departmental Operation Command Centers and alternate DOCs in departments with a major defined disaster response role.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis is Required. Once the power assessment is complete, DEM will work with appropriate departments to obtain and place generators where there is a determined need. DEM will defer to DPW and DBI regarding the necessity for new regulations.	•	A DOC workgroup has developed standards for departments to follow.	Recommendation Implemented	See #14 and #16 above.		
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the	IV-B5. The Mayor should direct the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Building Inspection to work together to develop rules and regulations that would allow the expedited placement of fixed generators at Departmental Operation Command Centers and alternate DOCs in departments with a major defined disaster response role.	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response:	**		**			
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	IV-B6. Until fixed generators are in place, departments with a major defined disaster response role should budget for, and the relevant Commissions, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve back-up portable generators, capable of supporting multiple computer and communication devices, and dedicated to the Departmental Operations Command Center.	Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**			
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the	IV-B6. Until fixed generators are in place, departments with a major defined disaster response role should budget for, and the relevant Commissions, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve back-up portable generators, capable of supporting multiple computer and communication devices, and dedicated to the Departmental Operations Command Center.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. See response to IV-B5.	Will Be Implemented in the Future	A DOC workgroup has developed standards for departments to follow. Budget resources must be forthcoming in order to fully implement this item.	Recommendation Implemented	See #14 and #16 above.		
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	IV-B6. Until fixed generators are in place, departments with a major defined disaster response role should budget for, and the relevant Commissions, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve back-up portable generators, capable of supporting multiple computer and communication devices, and dedicated to the Departmental Operations Command Center.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Funding requests for FY09 will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respon	d.	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ci1. The Mayor should direct the departments to budget for training funds and offer group training to all employees who request it or would be best served by it. The Board of Supervisors should approve funding for appropriate training by FY09.	Board of Supervisors	Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ci1. The Mayor should direct the departments to budget for training funds and offer group training to all employees who request it or would be best served by it. The Board of Supervisors should approve funding for appropriate training by FY09.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required. Grant funding is no longer available at a rate it once was, however there is still funding for mandated training Departments, with guidance from DEM, have been identifying the training strategy for employees based on their identified responsibilities during disasters and providing such training. DEM defers to DHR regarding their training plan for Disaster Service Workers. DEM acknowledges that we need to work with all departments on integrating disaster planning and training into their routine job responsibilities. The Mayor's Directive appointing Disaster Preparedness Coordinators was the first step in this process.	Requires Further Analysis	Grant funding is no longer available at a rate it once was, however there is still funding for mandated training. Departments, with guidance from DEM, have been identifying the training strategy for employees based on their identified responsibilities during disasters and providing such training. DEM defers to DHR regarding their training plan for Disaster Service Workers. DEM acknowledges that we need to work with all departments on integrating disaster planning and training into their routine job responsibilities. The Mayor's Directive appointing Disaster Preparedness Coordinators was the first step in this process. Timeframe Unknown – this is an ongoing project.	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	
	Appropriate Funding in the	IV-Ci1. The Mayor should direct the departments to budget for training funds and offer group training to all employees who request it or would be best served by it. The Board of Supervisors should approve funding for appropriate training by FY09.	Human Resources	Warranted or Not	DHR cannot respond to this, as this authority rests with the Mayor and Board of Supervisors. However, DHR has developed a DSW training curriculum for all City employees available free online or through group/facilitated training via packets that DHR distributed to all City departments. Moreover, DHR has developed a free personal preparedness training curriculum in partnership with the American Red Cross that is available to all City employees online and through group or facilitated training.			**	
		IV-Ci1. The Mayor should direct the departments to budget for training funds and offer group training to all employees who request it or would be best served by it. The Board of Supervisors should approve funding for appropriate training by FY09.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office support the response by the Department of Emergency Management		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
	Appropriate Funding in the	IV-Ci2. The Department of Human Resources, under the auspices of the Department of Emergency Management, should seek funding to provide an adequate number of reader devices and laptops in order to process returning Disaster Service Workers.	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	See response by DHR below.	**		**	
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	IV-Ci2. The Department of Human Resources, under the auspices of the Department of Emergency Management, should seek funding to provide an adequate number of reader devices and laptops in order to process returning Disaster Service Workers.	Human Resources		DHR does not have the authority to submit such requests to the federal government; rather, such requests must be submitted through the Super Urban Area Security Initiative (SUASI). However, in March 2008 DHR is conducting an exercise to test the City's Employee and Volunteer Management System and handheld devices; we believe that a successful exercise will support SUASI's request for federal grant funding to purchase additional handheld devices.	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	IV-Ci3. The Department of Human Resources, under the auspices of the Department of Emergency Management, should seek funding to upgrade the City's Disaster Service Workers' data management program to include skills and expertise of City employees pertinent to disaster response. The Department of Emergency Mangement should report on the upkeep of the data in its annual report.	,	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	See response by DHR below.	**		**	
		IV-Ci3. The Department of Human Resources, under the auspices of the Department of Emergency Management, should seek funding to upgrade the City's Disaster Service Workers' data management program to include skills and expertise of City employees pertinent to disaster response. The Department of Emergency Mangement should report on the upkeep of the data in its annual report.	,	-	Not reasonable. However, DHR has issued a memorandum to all City departments requiring that they enter employee skills data into PeopleSoft by June 2008.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the	IV-Cii1. Through the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, the Department of Emergency Management should direct a questionnaire to retired City employees inquiring whether they reside in San Francisco or northern San Mateo County and would be willing to volunteer.	Emergency Management r	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Agree with Retirement System response below.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2000-07 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1) 2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Cii1. Through the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System, the Department of Emergency Management should direct a questionnaire to retired City employees inquiring whether they reside in San Francisco or northern San Mateo County and would be willing to volunteer.	Required Retirement System	Recommendation Implemented	The Retirement System is statutorily restricted in the use of its data and correspondence by federal, state, and local law. (e.g. home address of current and former safety officers may not be disclosed) As of July 1, 2008 the Retirement System will communicate information related to disaster planning to active and retired members through its newsletters and web site.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Cii2. The Department of Emergency Management should identify which City residents who volunteer have skills essential to disaster response. The selected volunteers could be sworn in as retired worker volunteers, be issued Emergency Identification Cards, and trained in NIMS and ICS. Volunteer status should be renewed annually.		Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree in Concept with following future implementation: DHR is working regionally on a Volunteer Management System that will record skills and pre designate volunteers. They are beginning with City employees, who are Disaster Service Workers in phase One. Phase Two will include reaching out to the community, including retired persons, to pre designate them as volunteers as well and record their skills.	Requires Further Analysis	DHR is working regionally on a Volunteer Management System that will record skills and pre designate volunteers. They are beginning with City employees, who are Disaster Service Workers in phase One. Phase Two will include reaching out to the community, including retired persons, to pre designate them as volunteers as well and record skill sets. Estimated Timeframe: January 2010 to begin implementation.	Recommendation Implemented	Parts of this recommendation have been implemented in that DEM is working closely with DHR and the Volunteer Center on finalizing a contract with Collaborative Fusion to identify and provide information in pre-identifying volunteers with specific skills sets. Additionally, DHR is increasing its ability to identify emergent volunteers.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Cii2. The Department of Emergency Management should didentify which City residents who volunteer have skills essential to disaster response. The selected volunteers could be sworn in as retired worker volunteers, be issued Emergency Identification Cards, and trained in NIMS and ICS. Volunteer status should be renewed annually.		Recommendation Implemented	The Retirement System is I statutorily restricted in the use of its data and correspondence by federal, state, and local law. (e.g. home address of current and former safety officers may not be disclosed) The Retirement System will include information will communicate information to active and retired members through its newsletters. In addition, as of July 1, 2008 the Retirement System will work with Emergency Management and Human Resources to advise prospective retirees of the opportunity to volunteer as disaster workers as they apply for retirement.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ciii1. The Mayor should provide the Department of Emergency Management with the authority to require departments to certify to the DEM that its responders and management personnel in Departmental Operation Centers or in the City's Operation Command Center have achieved the required level of NIMS and ICS training.		Recommendation Implemented	Fully implemented. DEM consistently works with departments to provide us with their up to date certification of training. DHR is currently requesting departments to enter that information in PeopleSoft and will make those reports available to DEM. In addition, DEM and the Bay Area SUASI are looking at a credentialing system for those trained in the required NIMS and ICS courses.			**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ciii1. The Mayor should provide the Department of Emergency d Management with the authority to require departments to certify to the DEM that its responders and management personnel in Departmental Operation Centers or in the City's Operation Command Center have achieved the required level of NIMS and ICS training.	Resources	Warranted or Not Reasonable	DHR has no authority over the Mayor or DEM. However, DHR has issued a memorandum to all City departments requiring that they complete all required NIMS and ICS training by June 2008.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ciii1. The Mayor should provide the Department of Emergency Management with the authority to require departments to certify to the DEM that its responders and management personnel in Departmental Operation Centers or in the City's Operation Command Center have achieved the required level of NIMS and ICS training.		Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ciii2. The Mayor should require departments to maintain this information in the personnel file of each employee.	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Fully Implemented	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ciii2. The Mayor should require departments to maintain this d information in the personnel file of each employee.	Human Resources	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DHR has no authority over the Mayor or DEM.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ciii2. The Mayor should require departments to maintain this information in the personnel file of each employee.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and implemented. The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management and Department of Human Resources responses.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ciii3. The Department of Emergency Management, working with the Department of Human Resources, should obtain and, subsequently, maintain a data management system that cross-references the mandated NIMS and ICS training levels with job assignments of each departmental personnel expected to operate from Departmental Operation Centers, the Emergency Operation Center or at an incident site	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Agree with concept, defer to DHR on implementation. DHR is actively working on implementing this appropriately.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-Ciii3. The Department of Emergency Management, working with the Department of Human Resources, should obtain and, subsequently, maintain a data management system that cross-references the mandated NIMS and ICS training levels with job assignments of each departmental personnel expected to operate from Departmental Operation Centers, the Emergency Operation Center or at an incident site	Human Resources	Recommendation Implemented	Employees with pre-designated roles and responsibilities in a disaster are required to complete all required NIMS and ICS training and enter it into PeopleSoft by June 2008.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07			
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text 20	010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health Preparing for a Disaster:	IV-Ciii3. The Department of Emergency Management, working with the Department of Human Resources, should obtain and, subsequently, maintain a data management system that cross-references the mandated NIMS and ICS training levels with job assignments of each departmental personnel expected to operate from Departmental Operation Centers, the Emergency Operation Center or at an incident site	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Human Resources' response. Agree and Implemented. DEM produces drills according to Homeland Security Exercise	**	**	
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	coordinate tabletop, functional and full-scale drills and training across departmental lines, and monitor and verify the adequacy of the drills to determine if the City is improving our emergency response capabilities.	Management	Implemented	Evaluation Program guidelines. It is a requirement of this program that After Action Reports be produced and improvement plans be formulated. Beginning in January 2006, DEM created a multi-agency, multi discipline design team. In January 2007, DEM published a 24 month exercise strategy. DEM maintains a master improvement plan for all exercises and events.			
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D1. The Department of Emergency Management should coordinate tabletop, functional and full-scale drills and training across departmental lines, and monitor and verify the adequacy of the drills to determine if the City is improving our emergency response capabilities.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree and Implemented. The SFFD continues to participate in any readiness, preparedness or response drills and exercises to the extent possible, allowing for emergency operational needs of the public, the city and the system at the time of the drills	**	**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D2. The Department of Emergency Management should have the authority to require departments with major defined roles to participate in any drill or exercise in ratio to the role they would play in a real life scenario.	Management	Implemented	Agree and Implemented. DEM coordinates closely with departments and encourages them to participate at the required level. Staffing concerns for day to day responsibilities, lack of funding for overtime and backfill may inhibit the ability of a particular department to fully participate.	**	**	
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D2. The Department of Emergency Management should have the authority to require departments with major defined roles to participate in any drill or exercise in ratio to the role they would play in a real life scenario.		Implemented	Agree and Implemented. The SFFD continues to participate in any readiness, preparedness or response drills and exercises to the extent possible, allowing for emergency operational needs of the public, the city and the system at the time of the drills			
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D3. NERT should always be solicited to provide volunteer "victims" to participate in full-scale drills. NERT leaders should be asked to participate in table top and functional exercises.	Emergency Management	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree w/Future Implementation. NERT, as well as schools, etc, are resources for "victims". NERT is in the process of developing training for Block Captains. Once designated, they can be included in appropriate exercises and drills.	Recommendation Implemented	Implemented by the SFFD. **	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D3. NERT should always be solicited to provide volunteer "victims" to participate in full-scale drills. NERT leaders should be asked to participate in table top and functional exercises.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree and Implemented. NERT drills typically require volunteer victims in an effort to model real-life scenarios. In addition, NERT volunteers are requested to participate in the capacity in which they are trained and authorized to respond in a disaster.	**	**	
		IV-D4. To measure the performance of and improve disaster response capabilities in all City departments, the Mayor should direct all departments to report all performance measures and improvements to the Department of Emergency Management.	Emergency Management	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree w/Future Implementation. DEM has requested that part of the Strategic plan in development make recommendations regarding performance measures. Part of Strategic Plan – Implementation Roadmap.	Recommendation Implemented	The strategic plan provides milestones and performance measures. **	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D4. To measure the performance of and improve disaster response capabilities in all City departments, the Mayor should direct all departments to report all performance measures and improvements to the Department of Emergency Management.	Mayor	Will Be Implemented in the Future	The Mayor's Office support the Department of Emergency Management's response.		Department elected not to respond	Department elected not to respond.
		IV-D5. City-employee-drill participants should always write the After Action Reports to encourage a sense of departmental "ownership."	Emergency Management	-	Disagree. In order for a contractor to write an after action report, that contractor must interview the participants, controllers and evaluators in any exercise. Individual departments are expected to write the after action report based on their participation and provide that to the person who writes the comprehensive after action. This provides a level of objectivity to the process and insures the completion of the full report. DEM expects the Exercise Design team to take ownership of the After Action Reporting process which includes a 'hot wash" and an after action conference that encourages full participation.	**	**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D6. The Department of Emergency Management should take the lead in institutionalizing the SMART goal setting system (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-based goals) after the release of After Action Reports to identify the critical issues that need improvement and make corrections.	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and Implemented. This is accomplished through the Master Improvement Plan.	**	**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

-									
CGJ Year	·	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D7. The Mayor should provide the Department of Emergency d Management with the authority to carry out the functions needed to improve practice on emergency response procedures and provide the Department with the staff to do so.			Agree and partially implemented. DEM was provided with additional general fund positions in the current fiscal year. The Strategic Plan process will provide the City with recommendations on future organizational structure.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D7. The Mayor should provide the Department of Emergency Management with the authority to carry out the functions needed to improve practice on emergency response procedures and provide the Department with the staff to do so.	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's response.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D8. Beginning with its 2007 Annual Report, the Department of Emergency Management should report on gaps in emergency response capabilities identified in exercises and drills, and recommended corrective actions.	Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	d t		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-D8. Beginning with its 2007 Annual Report, the Department of Emergency Management should report on gaps in emergency response capabilities identified in exercises and drills, and recommended corrective actions.	Emergency Management		Agree and Implemented. The Master Improvement Plan can be presented as part of the Annual Report.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	IV-E1. The Civil Grand Jury urges the Department of Emergency Management to solicit funding to continue making the training program at Texas A&M available to City employees.	• •	Recommendation Implemented	Agree and Implemented. We have next class scheduled for June 2008.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-B1. In its revised Emergency Operations Plan, the Department of Public Health should specifically delegate responsibilities for all aspects of disaster planning and operations within the Department.			DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-B1. In its revised Emergency Operations Plan, the Department of Public Health should specifically delegate responsibilities for all aspects of disaster planning and operations within the Department.	Public Health	Implemented	Agree - This already occurs – the Office of Policy and Planning is the section that has centralized authority for coordination of disaster planning for the Department. A revision of the DPH EOP will be done as soon as the CCSF EOP revision is completed. This in order to assure that the DPH EOP complies and is coordinated with the City wide EOP. <i>No change</i>			**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	Emergency Medical Services Agency as the coordinator of medical disaster planning and response.	Management	Warranted or Not Reasonable	DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.			**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	3	Medical	Implemented: Not	Disagree – The Department agrees that the EMS Medical Director and the EMSA should be included in all disaster planning: however, the Department of Health has many other sections that have LARGE roles in disaster planning; Occupational Safety and Health, Environmental Health, Communicable Disease Control, Primary Care and the Hospitals. For this reason, the Director of Health designated the Office of Policy and Planning as the entity with DPH to coordinate all disaster planning activities.			**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-B2. In its revised Emergency Operations Plan, the Director of Public Health should designate the Medical Director of the Emergency Medical Services Agency as the coordinator of medical disaster planning and response.	Public Health	Implemented: Not	Disagree – The Department agrees that the EMS Medical Director and the EMSA should be included in all disaster planning: however, the Department of Health has many other sections that have LARGE roles in disaster planning; Occupational Safety and Health, Environmental Health, Communicable Disease Control, Primary Care and the Hospitals. For this reason, the Director of Health designated the Office of Policy and Planning as the entity with DPH to coordinate all disaster planning activities. <i>No change</i>			**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-B3. In its revised Emergency Operations Plan, the Department of Public Health should require that the Medical Director of the Medical Emergency Services Agency or designee participate with all sections of the Department in their medical disaster planning and activities.	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.1. Agree with DPH response.	**		**	
		V-B3. In its revised Emergency Operations Plan, the Department of Public Health should require that the Medical Director of the Medical Emergency Services Agency or designee participate with all sections of the Department in their medical disaster planning and activities.	Medical	Implemented	Agree – This already occurs. The EMS Medical Director and the EMSA are included in disaster planning with other sections of the Department: Occupational Safety and Health, Environmental Health, Communicable Disease Control, Primary Care and the Hospitals. The Office of Policy and Planning who acts as the overall coordinator for disaster planning activities conducts weekly DPH Disaster Steering Meetings - EMS is an active participant in these meetings. EMS is always encouraged and sometimes mandated to join in planning efforts of the other sections. The Department's approach to disaster/emergency planning is inclusive in nature			**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the	V-B3. In its revised Emergency Operations Plan, the Department of Public Health should require that the Medical Director of the Medical Emergency Services Agency or designee participate with all sections of the Department in their medical disaster planning and activities.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree – This already occurs. The EMS Medical Director and the EMSA are included in disaster planning with other sections of the Department: Occupational Safety and Health, Environmental Health, Communicable Disease Control, Primary Care and the Hospitals. The Office of Policy and Planning who acts as the overall coordinator for disaster planning activities conducts weekly DPH Disaster Steering Meetings - EMS is an active participant in these meetings. EMS is always encouraged and sometimes mandated to join in planning efforts of the other sections. The Department's approach to disaster/emergency planning is inclusive in nature. <i>No change</i>			**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-B4. As the planning and operational arm of disaster preparedness, either the Medical Director of the Medical Emergency Services Agency or designee should be present at all disaster related workgroups, task forces, exercises and committees where the Department of Public Health has representation.	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-B4. As the planning and operational arm of disaster preparedness, either the Medical Director of the Medical Emergency Services Agency or designee should be present at all disaster related workgroups, task forces, exercises and committees where the Department of Public Health has representation.	Emergency Medical I Services Agenc	Implemented	Agree – This already occurs. The EMSA and EMS Medical Director are included in all disaster related work groups; task forces, exercises and committees that involve planning for Pre-hospital and Emergency care.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-B4. As the planning and operational arm of disaster preparedness, either the Medical Director of the Medical Emergency Services Agency or designee should be present at all disaster related workgroups, task forces, exercises and committees where the Department of Public Health has representation.	Public Health		Agree – This already occurs. The EMSA and EMS Medical Director are included in all disaster related work groups; task forces, exercises and committees that involve planning for Pre-hospital and Emergency care. <i>No change</i>	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the	V-B5. The Medical Health Operational Area Coordinator or designee and staff should begin immediate participation in predisaster regional planning workgroups and committee meetings to be familiar with the plans, rules, regulations and staff counterparts from other jurisdictions.	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health		Emergency Medical Services Agenc	Implemented	Agree – Dr. Brown, the Medical Director (or his designee) are already involved with regional disaster planning efforts and regularly attend SUASI meetings in the East Bay and the Regional MHOAC meetings.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the	V-B5. The Medical Health Operational Area Coordinator or designee and staff should begin immediate participation in predisaster regional planning workgroups and committee meetings to be familiar with the plans, rules, regulations and staff counterparts from other jurisdictions.	Public Health	Implemented	Agree – Dr. Brown, the Medical Director (or his designee) are already involved with regional disaster planning efforts and regularly attend SUASI meetings in the East Bay and the Regional MHOAC meetings. <i>No change</i>	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-C1. The Emergency Medical Services Agency should develop a clearly defined and comprehensive program-staffing plan to ensure the professionalism and consistency of medical disaster planning, the maintenance of programs and equipment initiated or acquired under the grant programs, and complete successfully the emergency/disaster tasks required.	Management		DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	a clearly demined and comprehensive program claiming plants	Medical Services Agenc	Implemented	Agree – The EMSA already has a staffing plan for the regulatory areas under their responsibility. They do not need to be concerned about maintenance of programs and equipment that fall under the purview of other sections in the Department. The EMSA main function by law is regulatory in nature and they do the job in a professional fashion.	**		**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-C1. The Emergency Medical Services Agency should develop a clearly defined and comprehensive program-staffing plan to ensure the professionalism and consistency of medical disaster planning, the maintenance of programs and equipment initiated or acquired under the grant programs, and complete successfully the emergency/disaster tasks required.	ublic Health	Implemented	Agree – The EMSA already has a staffing plan for the regulatory areas under their responsibility. They do not need to be concerned about maintenance of programs and equipment that fall under the purview of other sections in the Department. The EMSA main function by law is regulatory in nature and they do the job in a professional fashion. <i>No change</i>	**		**	
		V-C2. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission should approve the clearly defined and comprehensive program-staffing plan proposed by the Emergency Medical Services Agency by FY09.	/lanagement		DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.	**		**	
				Implemented	Agree – The department should budget and the Commission approve the emergency/disaster staffing plan proposed by functional area for the entire department – not just the EMS agency. Funding was approved in FY 07-08 for a new logistics planner. This funding will be continued in the FY 08-09 budget	**		**	
2006-07		V-C2. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission should approve the clearly defined and comprehensive program-staffing plan proposed by the Emergency Medical Services Agency by FY09.		Implemented	Agree – The department should budget and the Commission approve the emergency/disaster staffing plan proposed by functional area for the entire department – not just the EMS agency. Funding was approved in FY 07-08 for a new logistics planner. This funding will be continued in the FY 08-09 budget	**		**	
	Administrative Commitment and	V-C3. In order for the Department of Public Health to carry out its disaster response functions, the position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator in the Department of Public Health should be a dedicated disaster position.	/lanagement		DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.	**		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and		Medical Services Agency	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – DPH is committed to Disaster Planning and has many general fund positions whose responsibilities include disaster preparedness planning. In fact, the grant funded position that acted in this role was transitioned to general fund over a year ago because of the Department's commitment to these efforts. This position still spends 90% of her time on disaster planning and preparedness. DPH believes that emergency/disaster planning should be fully integrated in the Department's everyday functions. The Director of Health assigned the Deputy Director of Health/Policy and Administration to represent the Department as the Disaster Preparedness Coordinator because of the high level of commitment he sees in this important area. The Deputy Director of Health does have experience and training in emergency response and is well qualified for her role in coordinating disaster response efforts.			**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C3. In order for the Department of Public Health to carry out its disaster response functions, the position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator in the Department of Public Health should be a dedicated disaster position.		Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – DPH is committed to Disaster Planning and has many general fund positions whose responsibilities include disaster preparedness planning. In fact, the grant funded position that acted in this role was transitioned to general fund over a year ago because of the Department's commitment to these efforts. This position still spends 90% of her time on disaster planning and preparedness. DPH believes that emergency/disaster planning should be fully integrated in the Department's everyday functions. The Director of Health assigned the Deputy Director of Health/ Policy and Administration to represent the Department as the Disaster Preparedness Coordinator because of the high level of commitment he sees in this important area. The Deputy Director of Health does have experience and training in emergency response and is well qualified for her role in coordinating disaster response efforts. <i>No change</i>			**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C4. The Department of Public Health should assign the new position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator to the Emergency Medical Services Agency. The incumbent would act as a senior manager dedicated to medical disaster preparedness, oversee and implement planning and preparedness, act as a bridge between planning and operations, and as a liaison with the Department of Emergency Management. The position's job description should include professional medical disaster response experience.	Management		DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.	**		**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-C4. The Department of Public Health should assign the new position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator to the Emergency Medical Services Agency. The incumbent would act as a senior manager dedicated to medical disaster preparedness, oversee and implement planning and preparedness, act as a bridge between planning and operations, and as a liaison with the Department of Emergency Management. The position's job description should include professional medical disaster response experience.	Medical Services Agency	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	Disagree – See note above. We do not believe that a new individual should be hired for this role. The Director believes it should be continue to be located in Central Administration – Office of Policy and Planning because OPP does planning the entire departmental activities.	**		**	

(1) "--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

Page 49 of 71

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
		V-C4. The Department of Public Health should assign the new position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator to the Emergency Medical Services Agency. The incumbent would act as a senior manager dedicated to medical disaster preparedness, oversee and implement planning and preparedness, act as a bridge between planning and operations, and as a liaison with the Department of Emergency Management. The position's job description should include professional medical disaster response experience.	Public Health	Warranted or Not	Disagree – See note above. We do not believe that a new individual should be hired for this role. The Director believes it should be continue to be located in Central Administration – Office of Policy and Planning because OPP does planning the entire departmental activities. <i>No change</i>	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C5. The Disaster Preparedness Coordinator should either attend the Homeland Security Steering Committee Meetings as the second in command for the purposes of disaster preparedness, or accompany the second in command, and should assist the Medical Health Operational Area Coordinator.	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – This already occurs.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C5. The Disaster Preparedness Coordinator should either attend the Homeland Security Steering Committee Meetings as the second in command for the purposes of disaster preparedness, or accompany the second in command, and should assist the Medical Health Operational Area Coordinator.	Emergency Medical Services Agenc	Implemented	Agree – This already occurs.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C5. The Disaster Preparedness Coordinator should either attend the Homeland Security Steering Committee Meetings as the second in command for the purposes of disaster preparedness, or accompany the second in command, and should assist the Medical Health Operational Area Coordinator.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – This already occurs. No change	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C6. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve a new full time manager position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator assigned to the Emergency Medical Services Agency by FY09.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Dii11 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C6. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve a new full time manager position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator assigned to the Emergency Medical Services Agency by FY09.	Emergency Management		DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the	V-C6. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve a new full time manager position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator assigned to the Emergency Medical Services Agency by FY09.	Medical	Will Not Be Implemented: Not y Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – See V-C3.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C6. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve a new full time manager position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator assigned to the Emergency Medical Services Agency by FY09.	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Emergency Management's and the Department of Public Health's responses.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C6. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve a new full time manager position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator assigned to the Emergency Medical Services Agency by FY09.	Public Health	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – See V-C3. No change	**		**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation		2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response	Text	2010 Response (1)) 2010 Response Text
2006-07		V-C7. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission should approve ongoing local funding to maintain critical disaster planning continuity.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree – DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	**			**	
2006-07		V-C7. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission should approve ongoing local funding to maintain critical disaster planning continuity.		Implemented	Agree - The Department did budget and the Commission funded positions in FY07-08 for disaster planning continuity. Positions are included in draft FY 08-09 budget.	**			**	
2006-07		V-C7. The Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission should approve ongoing local funding to maintain critical disaster planning continuity.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree - The Department did budget and the Commission funded positions in FY07-08 for disaster planning continuity. <i>Positions are included in draft FY 08-09 budget.</i>	**			**	
2006-07		V-C8. The Department of Public Health should assign the newly posted position of Health Program Planner to the staff of the Emergency Medical Services Agency.	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DEM believes this is an internal operational issue and defers to the administration of the Department of Public Health.	**			**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C8. The Department of Public Health should assign the newly posted position of Health Program Planner to the staff of the Emergency Medical Services Agency.	Medical	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – Since the Office of Policy and Planning has overall responsibility for the coordination of disaster planning in the Department, the position should be assigned to OPP.	**			**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C8. The Department of Public Health should assign the newly posted position of Health Program Planner to the staff of the Emergency Medical Services Agency.			Disagree – Since the Office of Policy and Planning has overall responsibility for the coordination of disaster planning in the Department, the position should be assigned to OPP. <i>No change</i>	**			**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	V-C9. The Department should amend the job scope of the Health Program Planner position to specify this as an ongoing medical disaster preparedness position, and amend the posted "desired" qualifications of the job to include experience in documented disaster response.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree – DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	**			**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-C9. The Department should amend the job scope of the Health Program Planner position to specify this as an ongoing medical disaster preparedness position, and amend the posted "desired" qualifications of the job to include experience in documented disaster response.	Medical	Implemented	Agree – DPH has 4 senior health program planners that work on disaster preparedness issues – this is spelled out in the job description and work plans.	**			**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	V-C9. The Department should amend the job scope of the Health Program Planner position to specify this as an ongoing medical disaster preparedness position, and amend the posted "desired" qualifications of the job to include experience in documented disaster response.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree – DPH has 4 senior health program planners that work on disaster preparedness issues – this is spelled out in the job description and work plans. <i>No change</i>	**			**	
2006-07	. 0	V-Di1. To secure, store and keep the field care clinics accessible, the Emergency Medical Services Agency should partner with the Department of Emergency Management and the General Services Agency to develop a coordinated maintenance and budget plan to safeguard the field care clinics.	Management	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree with Future Implementation – DEM is currently seeking a logistics planner and coordinator who will work City departments regarding storage and maintenance issues. We hope to have a partial or full solution in the next six months. Progress has been made on this issue through DPH.	in the Future	While we have secured and stored the field care the budget for a coordinated maintenance and su unknown.		Recommendation Implemented	The EMSA is now part of DEM. This has provided some additional resources and all the EMSA emergency assets are also captured in the Resource Directory established by the ERWG. The Field Care Clinics and POD trailers are included in the directory and are stored at strategic locations in the city. In March of 2010 DEM hosted a drill to inventory, provide maintenance and train city staff in erecting these assets.
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Di1. To secure, store and keep the field care clinics accessible, the Emergency Medical Services Agency should partner with the Department of Emergency Management and the General Services Agency to develop a coordinated maintenance and budget plan to safeguard the field care clinics.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree – The Department of Health (not just the EMSA) needs to work with DEM, GSA, the Mayor's Office and the Fire Department to develop a maintenance and storage plan for FCC's. The FCC's are stored in locations throughout San Francisco. Draft Standard Operating Procedures are currently being vetted and MOU's with agencies that are storing the trailers are being completed.	3 **			**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

000	5			0000		0000			2010	
CGJ Yea	·	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)		2009 Response (1)	2009	Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07		V-Di1. To secure, store and keep the field care clinics accessible, the Emergency Medical Services Agency should partner with the Department of Emergency Management and the General Services Agency to develop a coordinated maintenance and budget plan to safeguard the field care clinics.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – The Department of Health (not just the EMSA) needs to work with DEM, GSA, the Mayor's Office and the Fire Department to develop a maintenance and storage plan for FCC's. The FCC's are stored in locations throughout San Francisco. Draft Standard Operating Procedures are currently being vetted and MOU's with agencies that are storing the trailers are being completed.	**			**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Di2. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to support maintenance of the field care clinics and other disaster preparedness equipment by FY09.	Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED				**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	,	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Agree- DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	**			**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the			Recommendation Implemented	Agree- DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	**			**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Di2. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to support maintenance of the field care clinics and other disaster preparedness equipment by FY09.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Funding requests for FY09 will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected	d not to respond.
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Di2. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to support maintenance of the field care clinics and other disaster preparedness equipment by FY09.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – DPH should budget the staffing positions necessary to support the field care clinics in the 09 budget – where they functionally reside. In all likelihood, this will not be with the EMSA agency. The Office of Policy and Planning has had responsibility for the FCC's since they were procured. The position mentioned in this section is in process of being hired – will reside in Office of Policy and Planning.	**			**	
2006-07		V-Di3. The Department of Emergency Management should verify the maintenance, security and state of readiness of the grantfunded field care clinics.	Emergency Management			**			**	
2006-07			Medical	Warranted or Not	Disagree – This is not the role of the DEM. The DEM tracks inventory on a quarterly basis for grant compliance purposes, but the departments who received the equipment should be responsible for verifying the maintenance and readiness of equipment under their purview.	**			**	
2006-07		V-Di3. The Department of Emergency Management should verify the maintenance, security and state of readiness of the grant-funded field care clinics.	Public Health	Warranted or Not	Disagree – This is not the role of the DEM. The DEM tracks inventory on a quarterly basis for grant compliance purposes, but the departments who received the equipment should be responsible for verifying the maintenance and readiness of equipment under their purview. <i>No change</i>	**			**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1) 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	,	Required Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-Cil, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during	or **		**	
					the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	n			
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	V-Di4. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions as requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency to support this function by FY09.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required – DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	Requires Further Analysis	DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	
	Appropriate Funding in the	V-Di4. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions as requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency to support this function by FY09.	Medical	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required – Full time staff should be provided to fill this role if work load demonstrates this is necessary. Due to the very difficult budget situation and upcoming cuts in health, it is unlikely this position will be created. We need to use existing resource to manage pharmaceutical cache.		This position will not be created due to reanalysis of EMS roles and functions. Pharmaceutical cache management has been transferred to individual hospitals.	**	
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	V-Di4. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions as requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency to support this function by FY09.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Funding requests will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office.		Department elected not to respond.	Department elected not to respon	d.
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the	V-Di4. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions as requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency to support this function by FY09.	Public Health	Requires Further Analysis	Full time staff should be provided to fill this role if work load demonstrates this is necessary. Due to the very difficult budget situation and upcoming cuts in health, it is unlikely this position will be created. We need to use existing resources to manage pharmaceutical cache.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This position will not be created due to reanalysis of EMS roles and functions. Pharmaceutical cache management has been transferred to individual hospitals.	**	
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to complete the development and operational phase of the Electronic Patient Tracking Pilot Project and implement the System by FY08.	Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Dii11 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not d Reasonable t	This project will NOT be implemented as first planned. The software has never worked properly and after hundreds of hours of testing is still not functional. As an alternative, EMS has created a paper based patient tracking system that can be used until a product comes on the market that can work in an urban, hilly environment. No additional position is required to run this program.		
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Dii1. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to complete the development and operational phase of the Electronic Patient Tracking Pilot Project and implement the System by FY08.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required – DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	Requires Further Analysis	DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Dii1. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to complete the development and operational phase of the Electronic Patient Tracking Pilot Project and implement the System by FY08.	Medical	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required – Patient tracking has taken a new course in the last month. Analysis should be conducted to determine necessary staffing levels. Because of CCSF budget situation, looking for grant funding to create a position for this project.	Warranted or Not	This project will NOT be implemented as first planned. The software has never worked properly and after hundreds of hours of testing is still not functional. As an alternative, EMS has created a paper based patient tracking system that can be used until a product comes on the market that can work in an urban, hilly environment. No additional position is required to run this program.	**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Dii1. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to complete the development and operational phase of the Electronic Patient Tracking Pilot Project and implement the System by FY08.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Funding requests will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Dii1. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve, the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to complete the development and operational phase of the Electronic Patient Tracking Pilot Project and implement the System by FY08.		Requires Further Analysis	Patient tracking has taken a new course in the last month. Analysis should be conducted to determine necessary staffing levels. Because of CCSF budget situation, looking for grant funding to create a position for this project.	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	This project will NOT be implemented as first planned. The software has never worked properly and after hundreds of hours of testing is still not functional. As an alternative, EMS has created a paper based patient tracking system that can be used until a product comes on the market that can work in an urban, hilly environment. No additional position is required to run this program.	**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Dii2. The Department of Emergency Management should track the implementation and verify that training and technical support is ongoing on the Patient Tracking System.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Dii2. The Department of Emergency Management should track the implementation and verify that training and technical support is ongoing on the Patient Tracking System.	Medical	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – This is not the role of DEM. DPH has the contract with vendors and is responsible for implementation and technical support.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Dii2. The Department of Emergency Management should track the implementation and verify that training and technical support is ongoing on the Patient Tracking System.	Public Health	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – This is not the role of DEM. DPH has the contract with vendors and is responsible for implementation and technical support. <i>No change</i>	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Dii3. After the Patient Tracking Program is operational, the Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary for ongoing technical support and program training on the Electronic Patient Tracking System by FY09.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Dii3. After the Patient Tracking Program is operational, the Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary for ongoing technical support and program training on the Electronic Patient Tracking System by FY09.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further analysis Required - DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response	Requires Further Analysis	DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DEM has adequate personnel to manage this project at the present time. Upon such time that the project is made fully operational implemented we will evaluate the need for additional resources and request them as appropriate. DEM supports DPH in that the project will not be implemented as first planned. In the meantime we will use a manual tracking system.
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Dii3. After the Patient Tracking Program is operational, the Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary for ongoing technical support and program training on the Electronic Patient Tracking System by FY09.	Emergency Medical Services Agency	Requires Further Analysis y	Further Analysis Required - See V-Dii1. Looking for grant funding.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	See above response.	**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-Dii3. After the Patient Tracking Program is operational, the Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary for ongoing technical support and program training on the Electronic Patient Tracking System by FY09.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Funding requests will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)) 2009 Response Text	2010 Response	(1) 2010 Response Text
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Dii3. After the Patient Tracking Program is operational, the Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary for ongoing technical support and program training on the Electronic Patient Tracking System by FY09.	Required Public Health	Requires Further Analysis	See V-Dii1. Looking for grant funding.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	See above response.	**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the	V-Diii1. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to maintain and test communications equipment and conduct training on communications systems.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	d t		**	
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Diii1. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to maintain and test communications equipment and conduct training on communications systems.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required – DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	Requires Further Analysis	DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Diii1. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to maintain and test communications equipment and conduct training on communications systems.	Medical	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required - See V-Dii1	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Functions have been absorbed by existing program staff.	**	
2006-07	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Diii1. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to maintain and test communications equipment and conduct training on communications systems.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	Funding requests will be carefully reviewed and assessed once they are submitted to the Mayor's Office.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	V-Diii1. The Department of Public Health should budget for, and the Public Health Commission, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should approve the clearly defined program-staffing positions requested by the Emergency Medical Services Agency as necessary to maintain and test communications equipment and conduct training on communications systems.	Public Health	Requires Further Analysis	See V-Dii1 No change	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Functions have been absorbed by existing program staff.	**	
2006-07		V-Diii2. The Department of Emergency Management should track and verify testing of the grant-funded communication equipment.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree -Each department is responsible for insuring the maintenance of all equipment that was provided through grant funding.	**		**	
2006-07		V-Diii2. The Department of Emergency Management should track and verify testing of the grant-funded communication equipment.	Medical	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – This is not the role of DEM. DPH has the contract with vendors and is responsible for testing and maintenance	**		**	
2006-07		V-Diii2. The Department of Emergency Management should track and verify testing of the grant-funded communication equipment.	Public Health	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – This is not the role of DEM. DPH has the contract with vendors and is responsible for testing and maintenance <i>No change</i>	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

						2006-07 2000 Pennance (1) 2000 Pennance Toyt 2010 Pennance (1) 2010 Pennance Toyt				
CGJ Year	·	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1) 2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-E1. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should recognize that the Department of Public Health Headquarters located at 101 Grove Street is critical to the command and control of continued medical services to the community in the case of a disaster. They should acknowledge that the retrofitting approved by the voters in 1990 was not completed, and create and implement bond or other funding methods to carry out retrofit projects.	Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**		
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and	V-E1. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should recognize that the Department of Public Health Headquarters located at	Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**		
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	101 Grove Street is critical to the command and control of continued medical services to the community in the case of a disaster. They should acknowledge that the retrofitting approved by the voters in 1990 was not completed, and create and implement bond or other funding methods to carry out retrofit projects.	•	Warranted or Not Reasonable						
	Administrative Commitment and		Emergency Management	Implemented: Not	DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	**		**		
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	101 Grove Street is critical to the command and control of continued medical services to the community in the case of a disaster. They should acknowledge that the retrofitting approved by the voters in 1990 was not completed, and create and implement bond or other funding methods to carry out retrofit projects.		Warranted or Not Reasonable						
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-E1. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should recognize that the Department of Public Health Headquarters located at 101 Grove Street is critical to the command and control of continued medical services to the community in the case of a disaster. They should acknowledge that the retrofitting approved by the voters in 1990 was not completed, and create and implement bond or other funding methods to carry out retrofit projects.	Í	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Public Health's response.	**		**		
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	V-E1. The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should recognize that the Department of Public Health Headquarters located at 101 Grove Street is critical to the command and control of continued medical services to the community in the case of a disaster. They should acknowledge that the retrofitting approved by the voters in 1990 was not completed, and create and implement bond or other funding methods to carry out retrofit projects.			Disagree – While it would be nice to have 101 Grove Street renovated, in the event of an emergency, command and control of the resources of the Department would not take place at 101 Grove, but rather at the EOC and DOC. <i>No change</i>	**		**		
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	,	Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**		
		·	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required	Requires Further Analysis		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	esponse to this item.	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and	V-E2. Until the seismic safety of 1380 Howard Street has been verified, a retrofitted seismically safe alternate to 1380 Howard		Will Be y Implemented in the	This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is DEM or the Department of Public Health (DPH), not DPW.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not	This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is DEM or the Department of Public Health (DPH), not DPW.	**		
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	should be designated as the Department's Operational Command Center, no later than the end of fiscal year 2008.	- Public Works		If 1380 Howard is inadequate as a DOC location, DPH should consider locating its DOC in a more recently built structure on the San Francisco General Hospital campus or in the newly constructed Laguna Honda Hospital campus when completed.	Warranted or Not	If 1380 Howard is inadequate as a DOC location, DPH should consider locating its DOC in a more recently built structure on the San Francisco General Hospital campus or in the newly constructed Laguna Honda Hospital campus when completed.			
					If requested DPW could assist in the survey/evaluation of a potential facilities' seismic strength; and propose adequate funding for the renovation of DPH's DOC for the Capital Plan and for future appropriation.		If requested DPW could assist in the survey/evaluation of a potential facilities' seismic strength; and propose adequate funding for the renovation of DPH's DOC for the Capital Plan and for future appropriation.			

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07					
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1) 2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07 F	Preparing for a Disaster:	V-E2. Until the seismic safety of 1380 Howard Street has been	Public Health	Will Not Be	Disagree - Refer to V-E1 No change	**		**		
		verified, a retrofitted seismically safe alternate to 1380 Howard		Implemented: Not						
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	should be designated as the Department's Operational Command Center, no later than the end of fiscal year 2008.		Warranted or Not Reasonable						
N	Management and the	,								
	Department of Public Health									
0000 07			5 " "	W		**		44		
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and	V-E3. A retrofitted Department of Public Health Departmental Operations Command Center and alternate Command Center	Building Inspection	Will Not Be Implemented: Not	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**		
l l	Appropriate Funding in the	should have a fixed generator.	mopoduo	Warranted or Not						
	Department of Emergency Management and the			Reasonable						
	Department of Public Health									
	•									
2006-07 F	Preparing for a Disaster:	V-E3. A retrofitted Department of Public Health Departmental	Emergency	Requires Further	Further Analysis Required – Defer to DPH response	Requires Further	DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	Will Not Be	DEM continues to support DPH resp	onse to this item.
		Operations Command Center and alternate Command Center	Management	Analysis		Analysis		Implemented: Not		
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	should have a fixed generator.						Warranted or Not Reasonable		
N	Management and the									
	Department of Public Health									
2006.07	Proporting for a Diagrature	V E2 A retrofitted Department of Dublic Health Department	Conoral	Will Be	This will be implemented in the future: however, we hallows that the assessment is less.	Will Not Do	This will be implemented in the future, because we believe that the recognitive to	**		
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and	V-E3. A retrofitted Department of Public Health Departmental Operations Command Center and alternate Command Center	General Services Agency		This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is DEM or the Department of Public Health (DPH), not DPW.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not	This will be implemented in the future; however, we believe that the responsible lead agency is DEM or the Department of Public Health (DPH), not DPW.			
ļ.	Appropriate Funding in the	should have a fixed generator.	- Public Works			Warranted or Not				
	Department of Emergency Management and the				If requested DPW could assist in the survey/evaluation of a potential facilities' seismic strength; and include this item when proposing adequate funding for the renovation of	Reasonable	If requested DPW could assist in the survey/evaluation of a potential facilities' seismic strength; and include this item when proposing adequate funding for the renovation of			
	Department of Public Health				DPH's DOC for the Capital Plan and for future appropriation.		DPH's DOC for the Capital Plan and for future appropriation.			
	Preparing for a Disaster:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Public Health	-	Generators are very expensive and grant funds do not cover all of the expense related to		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	**		
		Operations Command Center and alternate Command Center should have a fixed generator.			the purchase and installation of fixed generators. More information is being studied by DEM in regards to overall emergency power in CCSF. <i>No change</i>	Implemented	inventory includes: 4 @ 6500watts			
	Department of Emergency	Should have a lixed generator.			DEM III regards to overall emergency power in CCSI. No change		1@ 5000watts			
	Management and the						1@ 36KW on wheels			
L	Department of Public Health									
	Preparing for a Disaster:	V-E4. Until a seismically upgraded Departmental Operations	Building	Will Not Be	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**		
		Command Center is operable, both 1380 Howard and the alternate DOC site for the Department of Public Health should	Inspection	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not						
	Department of Emergency	have a dedicated (identified for use by the DOC), portable		Reasonable						
	Management and the	backup generator capable of supporting multiple computer and								
	Department of Public Health	communication devices by FY09.								
2000 07	Description for a Discretor	V 54 Until a acionically congressed of Danastrandtal On arctions		Requires Further	Further Applyais Deguired DEM serves with DDU response	Degringe Frinther	DEM accompanies the Department of Dublic Health's reasons	M/II Not Do	DEM continues to support DPH resp	and to this item
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and	V-E4. Until a seismically upgraded Departmental Operations Command Center is operable, both 1380 Howard and the	Emergency Management	Analysis	Further Analysis Required – DEM agrees with DPH response.	Requires Further Analysis	DEM supports the Department of Public Health's response.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not	DEM continues to support DPH resp	ionse to this item.
	Appropriate Funding in the	alternate DOC site for the Department of Public Health should						Warranted or Not		
	Department of Emergency Management and the	have a dedicated (identified for use by the DOC), portable backup generator capable of supporting multiple computer and						Reasonable		
	<u> </u>	communication devices by FY09.								
	Preparing for a Disaster:	V-E4. Until a seismically upgraded Departmental Operations	Public Health	Requires Further	DPH is currently analyzing back up power and the use of fixed vs. portable generators,	Recommendation	See above answer. DPH has purchased 6 portable gas fired generators for use by the	**		
		Command Center is operable, both 1380 Howard and the		Analysis	including cost and construction factors. No change	Implemented	Primary Care Centers in an emergency situation. In addition, the 'fixed' generator at			
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	alternate DOC site for the Department of Public Health should have a dedicated (identified for use by the DOC), portable					1380 Howard has been upgraded and retrofitted to support emergency functions of the DOC.			
N	Management and the	backup generator capable of supporting multiple computer and								
	Department of Public Health	communication devices by FY09.								
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and	V-E5. Once retrofitted, 101 Grove should be designated as the Department of Public Health Departmental Operations Command		Will Not Be Implemented: Not	Does not pertain to Department of Building Inspection.	**		**		
l l	Appropriate Funding in the	Center, and should house the Medical Director, Office of Policy	anopootion	Warranted or Not						
	Department of Emergency	and Planning and the Emergency Medical Services Agency for		Reasonable						
	Management and the Department of Public Health	optimal coordination among the entities in charge during a disaster.								
2006-07 F	Preparing for a Disaster:	V-E5. Once retrofitted, 101 Grove should be designated as the	Emergency	Will Not Be	Disagree - DEM defers to Department of Public Health response.	**		**		
Į.	Administrative Commitment and	Department of Public Health Departmental Operations Command		Implemented: Not						
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency	Center, and should house the Medical Director, Office of Policy and Planning and the Emergency Medical Services Agency for		Warranted or Not Reasonable						
N	Management and the	optimal coordination among the entities in charge during a		. Cooo i abio						
	Department of Public Health	disaster.								
	Preparing for a Disaster:	V-E5. Once retrofitted, 101 Grove should be designated as the			Disagree No change	**		**		
		Department of Public Health Departmental Operations Command Center, and should house the Medical Director, Office of Policy		Implemented: Not Warranted or Not						
	Department of Emergency	and Planning and the Emergency Medical Services Agency for		Reasonable						
	Management and the Department of Public Health	optimal coordination among the entities in charge during a disaster.								
	oparment of Fublic Health	MISASIGI.								

Page 57 of 71

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-A1. The Multi-Casualty Working Group should be a permanent advisory committee. The Committee should be dedicated to providing input on matters of disaster and emergency management, policy, procedure, and treatment protocols, including mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery, to the Director of the Department of Public Health and the Director of the EMS Agency. The membership of the committee should represent all medical service providers and operate under a quorum modeled on the Emergency Medical Services Advisory Committee.	t Emergency Medical Services Agenc	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – The MMRS committee run out of DEM fills the role of coordinating and implementing the plans from the MCI work group, hospital council Disaster Committee and the EMS Disaster Committee. The MCI workgroup was never envisioned as an ongoing committee, and its members belong to one or all of the committees mentioned above.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	VI-A1. The Multi-Casualty Working Group should be a permanent advisory committee. The Committee should be dedicated to providing input on matters of disaster and emergency management, policy, procedure, and treatment protocols, including mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery, to the Director of the Department of Public Health and the Director of the EMS Agency. The membership of the committee should represent all medical service providers and operate under a quorum modeled on the Emergency Medical Services Advisory Committee.	t Public Health	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – The MMRS committee run out of DEM fills the role of coordinating and implementing the plans from the MCI work group, hospital council Disaster Committee and the EMS Disaster Committee. The MCI workgroup was never envisioned as an ongoing committee, and its members belong to one or all of the committees mentioned above.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	VI-A2. The permanent Working Group should consult with all sections of the Department of Public Health and the Department of Emergency Management and write a proposal on how to involve the hospitals and other medical service providers in all aspects of disaster preparedness, including planning, training, and drills.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – See VI-A1	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	VI-A2. The permanent Working Group should consult with all sections of the Department of Public Health and the Department of Emergency Management and write a proposal on how to involve the hospitals and other medical service providers in all aspects of disaster preparedness, including planning, training, and drills.	Public Health	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – See VI-A1 No change	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	VI-A3. Once the Working Group, the Department of Public Health, the Emergency Medical Services Agency and the Department of Emergency Management have agreed upon a plan to coordinate the integration of the hospitals and medical services providers in disaster preparedness, the Mayor should personally negotiate with the CEO's of the private and public hospitals and medical service providers to encourage their full participation.	Emergency Medical Services Agenc	Implemented	Agree – The Mayor's involvement in disaster planning is always beneficial.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	VI-A3. Once the Working Group, the Department of Public Health, the Emergency Medical Services Agency and the Department of Emergency Management have agreed upon a plan to coordinate the integration of the hospitals and medical services providers in disaster preparedness, the Mayor should personally negotiate with the CEO's of the private and public hospitals and medical service providers to encourage their full participation.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. The Mayor's Office coordinates regularly with the CEOs of private hospitals and medical service providers on a variety of topics, in addition to the Hospital Council's regular participation in the Disaster Council.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	VI-A3. Once the Working Group, the Department of Public Health, the Emergency Medical Services Agency and the Department of Emergency Management have agreed upon a plan to coordinate the integration of the hospitals and medical services providers in disaster preparedness, the Mayor should personally negotiate with the CEO's of the private and public hospitals and medical service providers to encourage their full participation.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – The Mayor's involvement in disaster planning is always beneficial. No change	**		**	
		VI-A4. In drafting the Strategic Plan, the Department of Emergency Management should consult with the Department of Public Health and the Emergency Medical Services Agency to assist the ICF Consultant Group in soliciting commentary from representatives of key medical service providers and obtaining input from hospitals.	Emergency Management	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – Done.	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	VI-A4. In drafting the Strategic Plan, the Department of Emergency Management should consult with the Department of Public Health and the Emergency Medical Services Agency to assist the ICF Consultant Group in soliciting commentary from representatives of key medical service providers and obtaining input from hospitals.	Emergency Medical Services Agenc	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – Done	**		**	
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	VI-A4. In drafting the Strategic Plan, the Department of Emergency Management should consult with the Department of Public Health and the Emergency Medical Services Agency to assist the ICF Consultant Group in soliciting commentary from representatives of key medical service providers and obtaining input from hospitals.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – Done No change	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-A5. To involve all medical service providers in implementing the Strategic Plan, the Department of Emergency Management, in consultation with the Department of Public Health and the Emergency Medical Services Agency, should include representatives of the key medical service providers, including hospitals, in workgroups and committees.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree – Done through the Hospital Council.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned. Page 58 of 71

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation		2008 Response (1)	2006-07 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster:	VI-A5. To involve all medical service providers in implementing	Required Emergency	Recommendation	·	**		**	
	Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health		Medical Services Agency	Implemented					
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-A5. To involve all medical service providers in implementing the Strategic Plan, the Department of Emergency Management, in consultation with the Department of Public Health and the Emergency Medical Services Agency, should include representatives of the key medical service providers, including hospitals, in workgroups and committees.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – Done No change	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health		Medical	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – This is not the Mayor's role and the hospitals already have disaster coordinators.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-A6. To enable the partnership between the City and the hospitals to succeed, the Mayor should personally encourage hospital CEOs to appoint dedicated disaster coordinators, who would then have the authority to speak for the hospital.			Disagree. The hospitals already have disaster coordinators. However, the Mayor's Office will continue to coordinate regularly with the CEOs of private hospitals and medical service providers on a variety of topics, including through the Hospital Council's regular participation in the Disaster Council.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-A6. To enable the partnership between the City and the hospitals to succeed, the Mayor should personally encourage hospital CEOs to appoint dedicated disaster coordinators, who would then have the authority to speak for the hospital.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – This is not the Mayor's role and the hospitals already have disaster coordinators. No change	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	to work with hospital CEOs to identify funding to support the	Medical	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – DPH works with the hospitals, the disaster coordinators and hospital management in planning and exercises. DPH has been successful in securing HRSA funding to purchase equipment and training for all the City non-profit hospitals. Grant funding does not cover staffing for hospital disaster coordinators.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-A7. The Mayor should direct the Department of Public Health to work with hospital CEOs to identify funding to support the positions of dedicated disaster coordinators.	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Public Health's response.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-A7. The Mayor should direct the Department of Public Health to work with hospital CEOs to identify funding to support the positions of dedicated disaster coordinators.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – DPH works with the hospitals, the disaster coordinators and hospital management in planning and exercises. DPH has been successful in securing HRSA funding to purchase equipment and training for all the City non-profit hospitals. Grant funding does not cover staffing for hospital disaster coordinators. No change	**		**	
2006-07		VI-A8. The newly filled position of Hospital Coordinator should be assigned to the staff of the Emergency Medical Services Agency, not the Office of Policy and Planning, in order to support the Agency's disaster preparedness operations. The job description of Hospital Coordinator should specifically include assisting the Director of the EMS Agency in carrying out the Mayor's May 23, 2006 Directive and the work of the Multi-Casualty Working Group.	Medical Services Agency	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – OPP is responsible for coordination of disaster planning activities of the department and this position is located in OPP	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-A8. The newly filled position of Hospital Coordinator should be assigned to the staff of the Emergency Medical Services Agency, not the Office of Policy and Planning, in order to support the Agency's disaster preparedness operations. The job description of Hospital Coordinator should specifically include assisting the Director of the EMS Agency in carrying out the Mayor's May 23, 2006 Directive and the work of the Multi-Casualty Working Group.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – OPP is responsible for coordination of disaster planning activities of the department and this position is located in OPP. No change	**		**	
2006-07	, ,	VI-B1. The Medical Health Operation Area Coordinator should work with the Multi-Casualty Working Group to develop plans and procedures to integrate the daily practice by hospitals of handling an increase in patient arrivals with plans to prepare for the handling of a surge of patients after a major incident.	Medical		Disagree – The MHOAC already works with the Hospital Council Emergency Preparedness Taskforce and the MMRS working group which are the two groups that are working on these issues	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Yea	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-B1. The Medical Health Operation Area Coordinator should work with the Multi-Casualty Working Group to develop plans and procedures to integrate the daily practice by hospitals of handling an increase in patient arrivals with plans to prepare for the handling of a surge of patients after a major incident.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – The MHOAC already works with the Hospital Council Emergency Preparedness Taskforce and the MMRS working group which are the two groups that are working on these issues. No change	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	Casualty Working Group should have sufficient staff to carry out	Emergency Medical Services Agency	Implemented	Agree – Staffing throughout the department should be based on need and function.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-B2. The Emergency Medical Services Agency and the Multi- d Casualty Working Group should have sufficient staff to carry out the integration of daily emergency operations with disaster preparedness.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – Staffing throughout the department should be based on need and function. No change	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-C1. The Department of Public Health should assign to the staff of the Emergency Medical Services Agency the budgeted position of Hospital Coordinator, the new position of Health Program Planner and the position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator, recommended herein by the Civil Grand Jury, so it can fulfill its disaster preparedness duties as mandated by the State of California and directed by the Mayor.	f Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Defer to the Department of Public Health	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health		Medical	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – As stated in recommendations V-C3 through V-C8 and VI-A8, and VI-D3	**		**	
2006-07	, 5	VI-C1. The Department of Public Health should assign to the staff of the Emergency Medical Services Agency the budgeted position of Hospital Coordinator, the new position of Health Program Planner and the position of Disaster Preparedness Coordinator, recommended herein by the Civil Grand Jury, so it can fulfill its disaster preparedness duties as mandated by the State of California and directed by the Mayor.	f Public Health	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Disagree – As stated in recommendations V-C3 through V-C8 and VI-A8, and VI-D3 No change	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-C2. The Department of Emergency Management, working with the Department of Public Health, should include staff from the Multi-Casualty Working Group at all disaster preparedness and planning workgroups, committees and meetings.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree and Implemented – See DPH response.	**		**	
2006-07	, ,	and Department of the above treatment, entertial and treatment and	Medical	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – the members of the MCI work group are also members of the MMRS, Hospital Disaster Council and EMS Disaster Committee. These individuals are already included in the relevant planning and exercise meetings	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-C2. The Department of Emergency Management, working with the Department of Public Health, should include staff from the Multi-Casualty Working Group at all disaster preparedness and planning workgroups, committees and meetings.	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	The Mayor's Office supports the Department of Public Health's response.	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-C2. The Department of Emergency Management, working with the Department of Public Health, should include staff from the Multi-Casualty Working Group at all disaster preparedness and planning workgroups, committees and meetings.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree – the members of the MCI work group are also members of the MMRS, Hospital Disaster Council and EMS Disaster Committee. These individuals are already included in the relevant planning and exercise meetings. No change	**		**	
2006-07	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment an Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D1. The City Attorney and the Department of Public Health should work together with representatives of each hospital to devise an agreement governing the ownership, user training, maintenance and security of grant-acquired disaster equipment. Appropriate agreements should be in place by December 31, 2007.	City Attorney	Recommendation Implemented	The City Attorney and Department of Public Health have worked with private hospitals to devise an agreement governing disaster equipment.	**		**	

Page 60 of 71

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D1. The City Attorney and the Department of Public Health should work together with representatives of each hospital to devise an agreement governing the ownership, user training, maintenance and security of grant-acquired disaster equipment. Appropriate agreements should be in place by December 31, 2007.	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Defer to the Department of Public Health.	**	*	*	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D1. The City Attorney and the Department of Public Health should work together with representatives of each hospital to devise an agreement governing the ownership, user training, maintenance and security of grant-acquired disaster equipment. Appropriate agreements should be in place by December 31, 2007.	Emergency Medical Services Agency	Implemented in the Future	Agree with Future Implementation – DPH is working on this. With their assigned deputy City Attorney. The newly hired DPH Logistics planner (hiring in process) will work with the newly hired DEM logistics planner to develop these agreements by September, 2008. Note: This item was delayed because of hiring delays of the logistic funded positions.	Recommendation Implemented	The Citywide MOU was implemented and signed by Hospital CEO's in 2008. This MOU * acts as an agreement between facilities to share personnel and equipment as needed within the San Francisco healthcare community regardless of source of funding. In addition, each hospital has reviewed and approved official equipment inventories to be kept up to date and subject to review as requested by DPH. Currently, DPH is working on revising the MOU to include the management of pharmaceutical caches. This will be completed by the end of 2009.	*	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D1. The City Attorney and the Department of Public Health should work together with representatives of each hospital to devise an agreement governing the ownership, user training, maintenance and security of grant-acquired disaster equipment. Appropriate agreements should be in place by December 31, 2007.	Public Health	Implemented in the Future	Agree with Future Implementation – DPH is working on this. With their assigned deputy City Attorney. The newly hired DPH Logistics planner (hiring in process) will work with the newly hired DEM logistics planner to develop these agreements by September, 2008. Note: This item was delayed because of hiring delays of the logistic funded positions.	Recommendation Implemented	The Citywide MOU was implemented and signed by Hospital CEO's in 2008. This MOU * acts as an agreement between facilities to share personnel and equipment as needed within the San Francisco healthcare community regardless of source of funding. In addition, each hospital has reviewed and approved official equipment inventories to be kept up to date and subject to review as requested by DPH. Currently, DPH is working on revising the MOU to include the management of pharmaceutical caches. This will be completed by the end of 2009.	*	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D2. The Emergency Medical Services Agency is the responsible City agency for supervising the use of the grant-procured communication equipment. As such, it is the most appropriate agency to negotiate the MOUs, agreements or contracts with the hospitals. It does not have the staff to accomplish this work.	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Defer to the Department of Public Health	**	*	*	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D2. The Emergency Medical Services Agency is the responsible City agency for supervising the use of the grant-procured communication equipment. As such, it is the most appropriate agency to negotiate the MOUs, agreements or contracts with the hospitals. It does not have the staff to accomplish this work.	Emergency Medical Services Agency	Implemented: Not	Disagree – the contracts with hospitals include many other operational and equipment issues besides communication. EMSA should participate with OPP and the contracts office in the negotiation of contracts with hospitals.	**	*	*	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D2. The Emergency Medical Services Agency is the responsible City agency for supervising the use of the grant-procured communication equipment. As such, it is the most appropriate agency to negotiate the MOUs, agreements or contracts with the hospitals. It does not have the staff to accomplish this work.	Public Health	Implemented in the	Disagree – the contracts with hospitals include many other operational and equipment issues besides communication. EMSA should participate with OPP and the contracts office in the negotiation of contracts with hospitals. No change	Recommendation Implemented	This is a centralized function of the Hospital Preparedness Program Coordinator as required by the granting agency, California Department of Public Health. Currently this position resides in the Office of Policy and Planning. This position works closely with EMSA as well as hospitals, clinics and long term care facilities and oversees MOU negotiation.	*	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D3. If the Hospital Coordinator is going to negotiate with hospitals to obtain agreements, the newly filled position should be assigned to the staff of the EMS Agency. If the Hospital Coordinator is not going to assume these duties and obtain agreements, the Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission should approve a new position assigned to the Emergency Medical Services Agency to negotiate and finalize these agreements.	Emergency Management	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Defer to the Department of Public Health.	**	*	*	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D3. If the Hospital Coordinator is going to negotiate with hospitals to obtain agreements, the newly filled position should be assigned to the staff of the EMS Agency. If the Hospital Coordinator is not going to assume these duties and obtain agreements, the Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission should approve a new position assigned to the Emergency Medical Services Agency to negotiate and finalize these agreements.			Disagree – OPP is responsible for coordination of disaster planning activities of the department and this position is located in OPP.	**	*	*	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D3. If the Hospital Coordinator is going to negotiate with hospitals to obtain agreements, the newly filled position should be assigned to the staff of the EMS Agency. If the Hospital Coordinator is not going to assume these duties and obtain agreements, the Department of Public Health should budget for and the Public Health Commission should approve a new position assigned to the Emergency Medical Services Agency to negotiate and finalize these agreements.			Disagree – OPP is responsible for coordination of disaster planning activities of the department and this position is located in OPP. No change	**	*	*	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D4. The Emergency Medical Services Agency should develop a data management program to inventory and track all Department-owned medical disaster equipment and should be provided the staff necessary to accomplish this function.	Emergency Management	Implemented in the	Agree with Future Implementation - DEM is working with the COIT Resources Committee to develop a City wide emergency inventory system that would include this component. In the meantime, each department is responsible for the inventory and tracking of any grant funded emergency equipment and reporting that information to DEM.	n in the Future		Recommendation DEM absorbed EMSA in August of 2 fill gaps in this area.	009 and has been able to leverage existing resources to
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D4. The Emergency Medical Services Agency should develop a data management program to inventory and track all Department-owned medical disaster equipment and should be provided the staff necessary to accomplish this function.	Emergency Medical Services Agenc	Implemented	Agree – DEM put a position in DPH budget for FY 07-08 for logistics. This position will track and inventory disaster equipment. The function will reside in OPP that coordinates disaster planning for DPH. In the process of hiring position now – the requisition has beer in the Controller's Office for weeks, but Ms. Zmuda informed DPH it would be approved this week.	**	*	*	

[&]quot;**" Response not required. Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D4. The Emergency Medical Services Agency should develop a data management program to inventory and track all Department-owned medical disaster equipment and should be provided the staff necessary to accomplish this function.		Recommendation Implemented	Agree – DEM put a position in DPH budget for FY 07-08 for logistics. This position will track and inventory disaster equipment. The function will reside in OPP that coordinates disaster planning for DPH. In the process of hiring position now – the requisition has been in the Controller's Office for weeks, but Ms. Zmuda informed DPH it would be approved this week.	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D5. Before the Department of Public Health, the Emergency Services Agency and the Department of Emergency Management acquire, provide and hardwire ham radios in hospitals, they should not only reach an agreement as to the maintenance of the equipment; they should also reach an agreement regarding the commitment of the hospitals to guarantee the certification of ham radio operators available on each section of the 24 hour shifts.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required - DEM agrees that if we provide radios to hospitals, we work or agreement for maintenance but also work on the criteria for the use of ham radio operators. It may be that ACS provides required operators in an emergency.	Requires Further Analysis	maintenance but also work on the criteria for the use of ham radio operators. It may be that ACS provides required operators in an emergency. Timeframe: Unknown	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DEM does not plan on providing Ham radios to the hospitals at this time. Satellite phones, 800 MgHz radios and other devisices currently provide the redundancy required. In addition, the ACS, Auxiliary Communications Service, sponsored by DEM would provide operators and radios in the event of an emergency.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-D5. Before the Department of Public Health, the Emergency Services Agency and the Department of Emergency Management acquire, provide and hardwire ham radios in hospitals, they should not only reach an agreement as to the maintenance of the equipment; they should also reach an agreement regarding the commitment of the hospitals to guarantee the certification of ham radio operators available on each section of the 24 hour shifts.	Emergency Medical Services Agency	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required – DPH agrees with DEM response.	Requires Further Analysis	not a policy issue that is under the jurisdiction of DPH.	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DPH will take its lead from OEM on this issue - it involves stakeholders citywide and is not a policy issue that is under the jurisdiction of DPH.
	Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the	VI-D5. Before the Department of Public Health, the Emergency Services Agency and the Department of Emergency Management acquire, provide and hardwire ham radios in hospitals, they should not only reach an agreement as to the maintenance of the equipment; they should also reach an agreement regarding the commitment of the hospitals to guarantee the certification of ham radio operators available on each section of the 24 hour shifts.	Public Health	Requires Further Analysis	DPH agrees with DEM response. No change	Requires Further Analysis		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	DPH will take its lead from OEM on this issue - it involves stakeholders citywide and is not a policy issue that is under the jurisdiction of DPH.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-E1. The Mayor should recommend and the Board of Supervisors should approve the funding for a helipad on San Francisco General Hospital.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superio Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. I-I, II-A2, II-B2, IV-D8, and V-EI of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. II-A3, II-A8, II-E4, 111-2, 111-3, 111-8, IV-B3, IV-B6, IV-CiI, V-C6, V-Di2, V6 Di4, V-Dii1, V-Dii3, and V-Diii1 subject to financial constraints, Budget Analyst review, and budget priorities determined during the annual budget process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. VI-EI, as follows: - A helipad on San Francisco General Hospital should be provided with full and expeditious consideration, including through the land use planning process; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-E1. The Mayor should recommend and the Board of Supervisors should approve the funding for a helipad on San Francisco General Hospital.	City Planning	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Planning does not have budget authority for this item.	**		**	
		VI-E1. The Mayor should recommend and the Board of Supervisors should approve the funding for a helipad on San Francisco General Hospital.	Emergency Management	Requires Further Analysis	Further Analysis Required – Agree with Planning Department and Mayor's responses.	Requires Further Analysis	Agree with Planning Department and Mayor's responses.	Requires Further Analysis	Agree with Planning Department and Mayor's responses. In the meantime, the ERWG has identified a number of potential emergency helicopter landing sites throughout the city.
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-E1. The Mayor should recommend and the Board of Supervisors should approve the funding for a helipad on San Francisco General Hospital.	Mayor	Requires Further Analysis	The Mayor's Office supports additional research into the feasibility and effectiveness of having a helipad on top of San Francisco General Hospital. Currently, the Planning Department is undertaking an environmental impact report, as required under the California Environmental Quality Act. Until these findings are complete, the City and County of San Francisco cannot legally move forward on the project. <i>No change</i>	**		**	
	Preparing for a Disaster: Administrative Commitment and Appropriate Funding in the Department of Emergency Management and the Department of Public Health	VI-E1. The Mayor should recommend and the Board of Supervisors should approve the funding for a helipad on San Francisco General Hospital.	Public Health	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. No change	**		**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response () 2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
Mana	Management: Are the lagers Managing the Risks le City?	The City should create a risk management program that conforms to best business practices, is highly developed, embraces technology-based risk management tools, and is applicable to all City departments.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, and 10 of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Risk Management: Are Managers Managing the Risks of the City"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	f		**	
Mana	Management: Are the agers Managing the Risks te City?	The City should create a risk management program that conforms to best business practices, is highly developed, embraces technology-based risk management tools, and is applicable to all City departments.	Controller	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation is being addressed by the City Administrator. A new Risk Manager with citywide responsibilities was hired in FY07-8.	**		**	
Mana	Management: Are the lagers Managing the Risks le City?	The City should create a risk management program that conforms to best business practices, is highly developed, embraces technology-based risk management tools, and is applicable to all City departments.	General Services Agency - City Administrator	Will Be y Implemented in the Future	The City Administrator is working with the new Director of Risk Management to reassess the City's risk management program. We will look at how other jurisdictions have implemented these functions, in centralized and decentralized manners for best practices We will also review what technologybased risk management tools are available and will apply it to City departments. Since this is a citywide effort, we anticipate that this will be completed in FY08-09.	Recommendation Implemented	The City's Risk Management Program has been evaluated and enhanced to provide more tailored support to department and enterprise customers based on current industry best practices. Automated Risk Management solutions to the highest volume paper processes have been secured and are being rolled out on a department by department basis as funding is available.	**	
Mana	Management: Are the nagers Managing the Risks te City?	The City should create a risk management program that conforms to best business practices, is highly developed, embraces technology-based risk management tools, and is applicable to all City departments.	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Mayor's Office supports the City Administrator's response: Risk management concurs that the City should create a risk management program that conirms to the best business practices for public entities with similar assets, revenue. and risk exposures. Public entities are specifically mentioned because the requirements are oriented toward protection of the public and public monies and hence more stringent than those in the private sector. All risk management programs and requirements must be applicable to all city departments. Since this is a city wide effort, the City Administrator anticipates that this effort will take nine months to one year.			**	
Mana	Management: Are the lagers Managing the Risks le City?	10. The City should hold Heads of departments accountable for losses arising out of their respective departments.	Board of Supervisors	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, and 10 of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Risk Management: Are Managers Managing the Risks of the City"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	f		**	
Mana	Management: Are the lagers Managing the Risks are City?	10. The City should hold Heads of departments accountable for losses arising out of their respective departments.	General Services Agency - Public Works	Recommendation Implemented	We agree with the finding. The recommendation has been implemented as follows: The Department of Public Works and its Director are held accountable for losses arising out of claims against the department, as they are on a myriad of other performance criteria. The Department of Public Works tracks all claims against it, and senior executive and managers regularly review claims data at regularly scheduled senior staff meetings. The Management Audit of the Department of Public Works by the Board of Supervisor's Budget Analyst, released in January 2007, found that Claims against the City resulting from the Department of Public Works' responsibility for the public right-of-way were lower in FY 2005-06 than they were in FY 1996-97 in the areas of city vehicle accidents, roadway falls, damage from operations, flooding damages, and damages to tires by curbs. In two areas claims are higher now than they were ten years ago: Sidewalk damage and falls (often related to tree roots), and roadway falls. In both cases, DPW has been aware of the risks associated with deferred maintenance, and every year seeks to increase the funds available for sidewalk repair and street resurfacing. The Department sought General Obligation Bond funding for street improvements and pedestrian safety in 2002 and again in 2004. The motion to place the measure on the ballot in 2002 failed at the Board of Supervisors by a vote of 7 to 4 (eight votes were required). In 2004 a \$200 million bond measure received 57% of the vote by San Francisco voters, but a 2/3 vote was required for passage. Significant increases were not included in budgets approved by the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee and the Board of Supervisors for many years. At the beginning of FY 2006-07, DPW estimated that it had a backlog of City sidewalk repairs that would cost approximately \$10 million to repair. In addition there are many sidewalks that are the responsibility of private property owners to repair, but that are also hazardous to pedestrians. There are 5.298 squar	y		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation		2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
			Required		by providing the repairs on a large scale to realize efficiencies and cost savings for owners, waiving permit fees and providing payment options for fixed or low income property owners. The new program results in increased pedestrian safety, decreased claims and safe sidewalks throughout the City. Program areas are strategically selected based on zoning, MUNI routes, proximity to schools, senior centers, and hospitals; and residential population density. Damaged sidewalks that are DPW's responsibility are repaired under this program at City expense. The Board of Supervisors adopted this new program, and funding has been provided for it through the City's capital budget. We expect to see the City's payouts on sidewalk falls to go down as a result of this program. The City is also reducing the risk it faces from roadway falls. In part because of the analysis of street resurfacing needs, and advocacy by DPW, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors have been providing funding needed to improve the condition of the streets and sidewalks and reduce claims. For an estimated annual need of \$36 million, the paving program received only \$22 million in FY 2005-06, but a total of \$31 million in FY 2006-07 and a full \$36 million for FY 2007-08. In addition, DPW has initiated a pro-active pothole repair program, where pothole crews systematically sweep down streets with low Pavement Condition Index scores (those streets most likely to have potholes), and repair them on the spot. In recent years, potholes were repaired reactively based on reports to the Bureau of Street and Sewer Repair by inspectors or the public, but not in a pro-active manner. Finally, the Department has a fully staffed Health and Safety division that promotes safe practices by employees to help reduce work place injuries. Last fiscal year the Health and Safety division analyzed causes of increased severity of workplace injuries and illnesses. As a result, the Department implemented a pilot in the BUF cement shop as part of a larger plan to reduce incident				
	•	10. The City should hold Heads of departments accountable for losses arising out of their respective departments.	Mayor		Agree with future implementation. The Mayor's Office supports the development of an effective risk management strategy which addresses how departments should be held accountable.		Department elected not to respond.	Department elected not to	o respond.
	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	10. The City should hold Heads of departments accountable for losses arising out of their respective departments.	Transportation Agency	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	SFMTA willingly accepts this responsibility but defers to the Office of theMayor on this recommendation and its implementation.	**	*	*	
		10. The City should hold Heads of departments accountable for losses arising out of their respective departments.	Police	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Under the City Charter, heads of Departments, including the Chief of Police, are accountable for their official actions. Specifically, Charter Sec. 4.127 (under the heading BUDGET) contains specific language re: claims and suits. Additionally, Charter Section 9.115 contains language that further addresses the accountability of department heads.	**	*	*	
	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	11. The City Attorney should produce a standard loss run constituting the City's history of loss and should make it available to the Office of Risk Management.			The City Attorney's Office uses Citylaw, a calendaring and document management computer program, to administer claims and litigation filed against the City. The City Attorney's Office has and will provide data from Citylaw to assist City departments, including the Office of Risk Management, to identify trends in claims and litigation and to reduce risk. The City Attorney's Office will not be instituting a new program, but will provide any existing data to the City Administrator's Office to determine the City's needs.	**	*	*	
	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	11. The City Attorney should produce a standard loss run constituting the City's history of loss and should make it available to the Office of Risk Management.	Services Agency - City	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation refers to the City Attorney's Office. The City Administrator and Risk Management Office are available to assist.	**	*	*	
		12. The Risk Management Office should consult with Museum administration during the renewal process, provide the Museum with a copy of its policy, and explain key policy terms.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The responsibility to implement this recommendation lies with the CCSF Risk Management Office, not the Asian Art Museum. Risk Management (RM) will be undertaking the fine arts insurance renewal between now and the end of the fiscal year. Having met briefly with Matt Hansen, the City's new RM Director earlier this week I have every confidence that under the new RM administration this recommendation will be implemented.	**	*	*	
			General Services Agency - City Administrator	Recommendation Implemented	The Risk Management Office provided a copy of the Museum's policy to the Museum in February 2008. The new Director of Risk Management has directed Insurance carriers and/or brokers to provide policies to the Risk Management Office and the departments at the same time.	**	*	*	
	of the City?	2. The creation of a risk management program must include an assessment of current risk management staff and a determination of what else is needed for the successful launch of a citywide risk management program.	Services Agency - City Administrator	Implemented	An assessment of the current risk management staff and determination of what else is needed was completed in February 2008.	**	*	*	
	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	3. The Office of Risk Management must have the authority necessary to implement a citywide risk management program.		Warranted or Not	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, and 10 of the 2006- 2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Risk Management: Are Managers Managing the Risks of the City"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED		*	*	

(1) "--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Yea	Report Title	Recommendation	•	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)		2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	The Office of Risk Management must have the authority necessary to implement a citywide risk management program.	Required General Services Agency - City Administrator	Implemented	The Director of Risk Management has the authority to implement a citywide risk management program in the areas of insurance purchases and contract review for insurance and indemnification requirements.	**			**		
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	3. The Office of Risk Management must have the authority necessary to implement a citywide risk management program.	Mayor	Will Be Implemented in the Future	Agree with future implementation. The Mayor's Office will work with the City Attorney and the City Administrator to ensure reforms in the Citys risk management function provide appropriate authority to the entities involved.		Department elected not to res	spond.		Department elected not to respond.	
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	4. The Mayor and the City Attorney should exercise their authority and leadership to insure cooperation between the staff of the Office of the City Attorney and the Office of Risk Management.	y City Attorney	Recommendation Implemented	The City Administrator's Office, which oversees the Office of Risk Management, and the City Attorney's Office have been engaging in discussions to ensure cooperation between Office of Risk Management and the City Attorney's Office.				**		
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	4. The Mayor and the City Attorney should exercise their authority and leadership to insure cooperation between the staff of the Office of the City Attorney and the Office of Risk Management.	y General Services Agency - City Administrator	Recommendation Implemented	August 2007 – The City Administrator's Office and the City Attorney's Office have already begun discussions about improving our working relationships.	, **			**		
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	4. The Mayor and the City Attorney should exercise their authority and leadership to insure cooperation between the staff of the Office of the City Attorney and the Office of Risk Management.	y Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. The Mayor's Office will work with the City Attorney and the City Administrator to ensure reforms For the City's risk management function include ongoing coordination.	**			**		
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	5. The Office of the City Attorney should produce a standard loss run detailing the City's loss history which is acceptable to insurance company underwriters.		Warranted or Not	The City Attorney's Office uses Citylaw, a calendaring and document management computer program, to administer claims and litigation filed against the City. The City Attorney's Office has and will provide data from Citylaw to assist City departments, including the Office of Risk Management, to identify trends in claims and litigation and to reduce risk. The City Attorney's Office will not be instituting a new program, but will provide any existing data to the City Administrator's Office to determine the City's needs.	**			**		
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	5. The Office of the City Attorney should produce a standard loss run detailing the City's loss history which is acceptable to insurance company underwriters.	Services Agency - City	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation refers to the City Attorney's Office. The City Administrator and Risk Management Office are available to assist.	**			**		
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	6. The City should engage a consultant to review its current insurance program to determine whether the current plan of self insurance remains the most cost-effective plan of insurance, whether the City would benefit from the inclusion of other insurance such as excess insurance or insurance polices with a high self insured retention.		Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, and 10 of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Risk Management: Are Managers Managing the Risks of the City"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	f			**		
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	6. The City should engage a consultant to review its current insurance program to determine whether the current plan of self insurance remains the most cost-effective plan of insurance, whether the City would benefit from the inclusion of other insurance such as excess insurance or insurance polices with a high self insured retention.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation is being addressed by the City Administrator. A new Risk Manager with citywide responsibilities was hired in FY07-8.	**			**		
2006-07	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	6. The City should engage a consultant to review its current insurance program to determine whether the current plan of self insurance remains the most cost-effective plan of insurance, whether the City would benefit from the inclusion of other insurance such as excess insurance or insurance polices with a high self insured retention.	Services Agency - City Administrator	Future	The Risk Management Office and the City Administrator's Office are working with the City Attorney's Office, Office of Contract Administration, Controller's Office, Human Rights Commission and other City departments on a citywide Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for risk management services. We anticipate that consultants will be available in FY08-09 to implement this recommendation.	Implemented	list of compliant vendors for ris	completed the RFQ process and has seated a qualified sk management services. New contracts are in place for elationships including evaluation of insurance/self-			
2006-07		7. The Office of Risk Management should be directed to request insurance quotes for standard commercial coverage for the City.		Analysis	Depending on the results of the claims analysis from City Attorney's Citylaw system, the City Administrator may direct the Office of Risk Management to request insurance quotes but it is not clear at this time. If the results show that we will need to purchase insurance, city contracting practices typically take three to six months to procure the services. The RFQ will assist in this process in FY08-09.		insurance options for possible overall risk profile. For examp general and vehicle liability in meeting for their consideration study and a close partnership of Supervisors determine that citywide risk management sof management staff be added to Risk Management Office is re	is currently marketing several citywide and departmental e purchase if the pricing and terms show value to City's ple, Risk Management is providing quotes to SFMTA for surance will be presented at the April 21st MTA Board in. However, a true citywide analysis will require further with City Attorney's Office. Should the Mayor and Board additional financial resources be directed to purchase a fitware system (vs. Citylaw) and additional risk to work on citywide and department-specific reports, the eady to work collaboratively with the City Attorney's Office ement risk assessments and enterprise risk management	Implemented	coverage for the City as department of Francisco Municipal Transportation A vehicle liability insurance for MUNI in	needs have been identified. For example, the San gency Board approved the purchase of general and 2009 and a policy has been in place since July 1, 2009. and limited financial resources, we will continue to seek

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1) 2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	8. The October 15, 1999, "Judgment and Claims Report" from the Office of the Legislative Analyst, and the March 2001 "Review of Claims, Settlements, and Litigation Data for City Departments" from the Budget Analyst for the Board of Supervisors should be reviewed and the recommendations contained therein implemented.	Board of	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, and 10 of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Risk Management: Are Managers Managing the Risks of the City"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	f		**	
	of the City?	from the Budget Analyst for the Board of Supervisors should be reviewed and the recommendations contained therein implemented.	Services Agence - City Administrator	Future		Recommendation Implemented	The recommendations have been reviewed and implemented as appropriate in FY08-09 as anticipated.	**	
	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	8. The October 15, 1999, "Judgment and Claims Report" from the Office of the Legislative Analyst, and the March 2001 "Review of Claims, Settlements, and Litigation Data for City Departments" from the Budget Analyst for the Board of Supervisors should be reviewed and the recommendations contained therein implemented.	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Mayor's Office supports the City Administrator's response: 2. Agree with future implementation. As Risk Management analyzes how a citywide risk management program should be structured, it will review the recommendation made in the two reports and implement as appropriate. Since this is a citywide effort, Risk Management anticipates that this effort will take nine months to one year.	**		**	
	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	9. In insuring against the liability risks of City-owned vehicles, the City should explore and compare the financial advantages of an insurance program consisting of (1) a commercial insurance package (2) self-insurance, or (3) a combination of self and commercial insurance.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, and 10 of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "Risk Management: Are Managers Managing the Risks of the City"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. September 18, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	f		**	
	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	9. In insuring against the liability risks of City-owned vehicles, the City should explore and compare the financial advantages of an insurance program consisting of (1) a commercial insurance package (2) self-insurance, or (3) a combination of self and commercial insurance.	Controller	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation is being addressed by the City Administrator. A new Risk Manager with citywide responsibilities was hired in FY07-8.	r **		**	
	Risk Management: Are the Managers Managing the Risks of the City?	9. In insuring against the liability risks of City-owned vehicles, the City should explore and compare the financial advantages of an insurance program consisting of (1) a commercial insurance package (2) self-insurance, or (3) a combination of self and commercial insurance.		Will Be Implemented in the Future	The RFQ process will assist our efforts to analyze the Citylaw data and our ability to implement this recommendation. We anticipate this effort will be completed in FY08-09.	Recommendation Implemented	The Risk Management Office continues to work closely with the City Attorney's Office and with each affected customer department to determine the appropriate solution for the risk associated with that department and the City as a whole. See response to recommendation #7 above regarding MTA.	**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.1.a. The Administrative Code section cited should be changed to reflect the greatly reduced permit issuance responsibility that is the reality of the Entertainment Commission's work.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	We disagree with the initial assumption made by the Civil Grand Jury.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.1.b. Future Effectiveness Reports from the Entertainment Commission must make a convincing case of the Commission's effectiveness by citing specific examples of ways in which the Commission has served its purposes and should forthrightly acknowledge its shortcomings with specific remedies.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	The Entertainment Commission (EC) held a summit in January 2008 with approximately 250 club owners. The outreach is ongoing through large classes and community meetings, including discussions about Halloween.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.10. The Entertainment Commission should develop a one-stop shopping program and plan for entertainment venues.	Entertainment Commission	·	The one-stop program is being developed by the Small Business Center under Treasurer's Office for fixed place businesses such as night clubs and restaurants. 2. For one time events sponsors, the Entertainment Commission is developing a one stop process.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.2.b. The Entertainment Commission must try harder to communicate and coordinate its efforts with those of the Police Department in promoting responsible conduct at entertainment venues. To do so, the Commission staff and the police should have regular meetings.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	The Entertainment Commission held the joint Summit with the Police Department and the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice. We are also working with them on joint legislation.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.2.b. The Entertainment Commission must try harder to communicate and coordinate its efforts with those of the Police Department in promoting responsible conduct at entertainment venues. To do so, the Commission staff and the police should have regular meetings.	Fire Departmen	Implemented: Not	The SFFD responds to emergencies, regardless of venue. Item A.2.b. does not include or relate directly to SFFD. The Fire Department is always willing to participate in and contribute to discussions relating to public safety and wellbeing.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.2.b. The Entertainment Commission must try harder to communicate and coordinate its efforts with those of the Police Department in promoting responsible conduct at entertainment venues. To do so, the Commission staff and the police should have regular meetings.	Police	Recommendation Implemented	Implementation is ongoing. The SFPD Field Operations Bureau is responsible for having a liaison with the Entertainment Commission, generally through the district captains or the permit officers.			**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.3.a. The Entertainment Commission should continue such efforts as its recent involvement in the rezoning of parts of San Francisco for entertainment venues in order to meet this particular purpose.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	The Entertainment Commission has legislation pending before the Planning Commission since December 2007 on new zoning issues.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

					2006-07				
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.3.b. The Commission should develop a "Plan B" for continuing some involvement in this very useful project without additional staffing.		Recommendation Implemented	The Entertainment Commission is working with the Planning Commission on the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan without additional staffing.	**		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.4.a. The Civil Grand Jury strongly urges the Commission to address how it can better promote the use of City facilities to generate revenue. The Commission should formulate its goals for promotion of entertainment use of City facilities and state these goals in its next annual report. It should then follow through in the following years' and successive reports assessing the success or failure of such efforts.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	The Entertainment Commission (EC) has been working with the Convention Facilities, Port of San Francisco, and other City departments to better promote the City's resources such as the Bill Graham auditorium and Pier 48. We will continue such efforts.	**		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.4.a. The Civil Grand Jury strongly urges the Commission to address how it can better promote the use of City facilities to generate revenue. The Commission should formulate its goals for promotion of entertainment use of City facilities and state these goals in its next annual report. It should then follow through in the following years' and successive reports assessing the success or failure of such efforts.	General Services Agend - City Administrator	Recommendation lmplemented	The City Administrator's Office and the Entertainment Commission (EC) has been workin with the Convention Facilities, Port of San Francisco, and other City departments to bette promote the City's resources such as the Bill Graham auditorium and Pier 48. As we previously stated, while the Commission can play a role in promotion of many facilities under the City Administrator (City Hall, the Moscone Centers, Bill Graham Civic Auditorium, and Treasure Island), we believe that day to day promotion of these sites is best left in the existing, unique operating units with this focus for each facility.	U		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.4.b. The Entertainment Commission should undertake better communication and coordination with other City departments to promote the entertainment use of revenue-generating City facilities.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	EC has a Memorandum of Understanding with the Port to promote use of Port properties Four new events have been planned, of which one has been completed.	**		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.4.b. The Entertainment Commission should undertake better communication and coordination with other City departments to promote the entertainment use of revenue-generating City facilities.	General Services Agend - City Administrator		As noted by the Civil Grand Jury, many City-owned facilities are under the jurisdiction of many different agencies. The Entertainment Commission has started efforts to work more closely with other departments. For example, the Commission completed a Memorandum of Understanding with the Port to promote use of Port properties. Four new events have been planned, of which one has been completed.			**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.5. The Civil Grand Jury encourages the Commission to continue and expand its efforts in fostering harm reduction policies among entertainment venues.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	As a result of the Summit, the Entertainment Commission is proposing new legislation the Supervisor Sophie Maxwell is sponsoring to impose a 30 day suspension period.	**		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.6. Citation authority would help ensure observance of the good neighbor policy. The granting of such citation authority to members of the Entertainment Commission staff should be given serious consideration by the Board of Supervisors.	Supervisors	Warranted or Not	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. A.8 and D.5.a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. A.6, as follows: - Citation authority would be beneficial, but existing staffing of the organization does not include any position that would be appropriate for enacting citations; and,beit FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees wholly with Recommendation No. D.5.b; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.6. Citation authority would help ensure observance of the good neighbor policy. The granting of such citation authority to members of the Entertainment Commission staff should be given serious consideration by the Board of Supervisors.	Commission		Pending legislation allows the Director of the Entertainment Commission to close down clubs on an emergency basis for public safety reasons for up to 72 hours.	**		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.7.a. The Entertainment Commission and the San Francisco Police Department must develop more effective means of communication.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	The Entertainment Commission, Police Department and the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice has had 3 joint meetings and are proposing to have ongoing meetings, particularl as it relates to new legislation.	** y		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.7.a. The Entertainment Commission and the San Francisco Police Department must develop more effective means of communication.	Police	Recommendation Implemented	Implementation is ongoing. Communication must be two-way. See the above response.	**		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.7.b.1. So that a letter of such importance will never again be mislaid for a month, the Entertainment Commission must develop office procedures so that letters and faxes that have been sent are actually received, accounted for, read, acted upon, and filed.	Commission		The Entertainment Commission developed a log for letters and faxes that are transmitted to the Police Department.	**		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.7.b.1. So that a letter of such importance will never again be mislaid for a month, the Entertainment Commission must develop office procedures so that letters and faxes that have been sent are actually received, accounted for, read, acted upon, and filed.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation is more appropriately a matter for the Entertainment Commission.	**		**	
C	he Entertainment ommission: A Work in rogress	A.7.b.2. The staff of the Entertainment Commission must, as a matter of standard operating procedure, bring such important correspondence to the attention of the Entertainment Commissioners promptly without waiting for backup police reports.	Entertainment Commission		Twice a month, the Entertainment Commission meets and police reports are a regular item on the agenda.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2006-07 2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1) 2010 Response Text
	The Entertainment	A.7.b.2. The staff of the Entertainment Commission must, as a	Required	Will Not Be	This recommendation is more appropriately a matter for the Entertainment Commission.	**	Zoos Kesponse Text	**	2010 Response Text
	Commission: A Work in Progress	matter of standard operating procedure, bring such important correspondence to the attention of the Entertainment Commissioners promptly without waiting for backup police reports.		Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable					
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.7.b.3. The San Francisco Police Department must submit incident reports to the Entertainment Commission as a matter of course any time they request action by the Commission against venues with Place of Entertainment permits. The practice of automatic delivery of police incident reports related to permitted clubs to the Entertainment Commission is a practice that should be adopted widely in the San Francisco Police Department.		Recommendation Implemented	The Police Department submits 2 reports to the Entertainment Commission. The first report is a daily report that reports on acts of violence. The second report includes incidents that do not result in injuries and is submitted by permit officers from Police District Stations.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.7.b.3. The San Francisco Police Department must submit incident reports to the Entertainment Commission as a matter of course any time they request action by the Commission against venues with Place of Entertainment permits. The practice of automatic delivery of police incident reports related to permitted clubs to the Entertainment Commission is a practice that should be adopted widely in the San Francisco Police Department.		Requires Further Analysis	This will require further analysis. Incident reports are not generally authorized for release during the pendency of an investigation, therefore automatic delivery may not be possible Permit officers will work, however, with the Entertainment Commission to prepare cases with proper documentation. Will need to consult City Attorney to see if this recommendation is consistent with Admin Code 67and CA Public Records Act.	· ·	This will require further analysis. Incident reports are not generally authorized for release during the pendency of an investigation, therefore automatic delivery may not be possible. Permit officers will work, however, with the Entertainment Commission to prepare cases with proper documentation. Will need to consult City Attorney to see if this recommendation is consistent with Admin Code 67and CA Public Records Act.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.8. The Administrative Code section cited should be changed to reflect the greatly reduced permit issuance responsibility that is the reality of the Entertainment Commission's work.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superic Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. A.8 and D.5.a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. A.6, as follows: - Citation authority would be beneficial, but existing staffing of the organization does not include any position that would be appropriate for enacting citations; and,beit FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees wholly with Recommendation No. D.5.b; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED			**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.8. The Administrative Code section cited should be changed to reflect the greatly reduced permit issuance responsibility that is the reality of the Entertainment Commission's work.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	We disagree with the initial assumption made by the Civil Grand Jury.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.9. The Entertainment Commission should be involved in planning and coordinating the City's Halloween party, among other major non-sponsored entertainment events, as outlined in Administrative Code sec. 90.4(h).	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	The Entertainment Commission is responsible for holding meetings related to Halloween. Fifteen meetings have been scheduled. With Supervisor Dufty and the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, the City will have a calendar of events citywide.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	A.9. The Entertainment Commission should be involved in planning and coordinating the City's Halloween party, among other major non-sponsored entertainment events, as outlined in Administrative Code sec. 90.4(h).	Mayor		Agree, in part. This year, there is not a City-sponsored Halloween party in the Castro, or i other areas of San Francisco. However, the Entertainment Commission is involved in planning and coordinating the City's management of Halloween activities and other major non-sponsored entertainment events.			**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	B.1. The Entertainment Commission should submit to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors the annual report by March 1 each year, as required in Administrative Code, chapter 90.	Entertainment Commission	Will Be Implemented in the Future	The Entertainment Commission held a retreat in October 2007 to discuss goals and priorities for the upcoming year. EC expects to complete the annual report by the end of April.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	B.2. The Entertainment Commission should submit to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors its Effectiveness Report every five years at a minimum. The next Effectiveness Report is due on or before May 2012, as required in Administrative Code, chapter 90 sec. 90.8.	Commission	Will Be Implemented in the Future	The Entertainment Commission will submit its Effectiveness Report in 2012.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	B.3. In preparation for filing its next Effectiveness Report, the Entertainment Commission should immediately commence a program to identify its goals, the means by which it plans to achieve its goals, and a way to measure that achievement.	Entertainment Commission		The Entertainment Commission and staff participated in a retreat to identify goals, objectives, and developed a new tracking system to measure achievement.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	B.4.a. The Entertainment Commission should submit to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors annual reports which revisit its Annual Statement of Purpose, and which serve to honestly address the accomplishments of the Commission in the year pas and describe challenges and goals in the year ahead.		Implemented in the	Out of the retreat, the Entertainment Commission issued a report to establish the organization's goals and objectives. This document will be used for the Annual Statement of Purpose.		Department elected not to respond.		Department elected not to respond.
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	B.4.b. The Entertainment Commission commissioners should be given a draft of each year's annual report and be allowed sufficient time to provide input and editorial suggestions for the final draft so that it is a document reflecting the Commissioners' collective viewpoints about what the Commission should be.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	The Entertainment Commission commissioners were given the annual report in November 2007.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	B.5. The Entertainment Commission should produce a fee analysis report as required by law and in it should explain how it plans to "establish fees for entertainment-related permits at levels sufficient to cover the estimated annual operating costs of the commission."		Recommendation Implemented	Each year, the Entertainment Commission reviews its functions as part of the annual budget process. Since the fee increases three years ago, the Commission has not proposed any fee increases other than the cost of living adjustments. If there were fee increase proposals, the Entertainment Commission works closely with the Controller's Office and the Mayor's Office in its fee analysis reports as an overall citywideprocess.	**		**	

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
2006-07	The Entertainment	B.5. The Entertainment Commission should produce a fee	Required General	Recommendation	and 2. The Entertainment Commission, with the technical assistance of the City	**	*	**	
	Commission: A Work in	analysis report as required by law and in it should explain how it	Services Agenc		Administrator's Office, produced a fee analysis to support a major revision of fees for the				
	Progress	plans to "establish fees for entertainment-related permits at levels sufficient to cover the estimated annual operating costs of the	- City Administrator		FY05-06 budget. The Board of Supervisors approved the revised fee schedule in Ordinance file number 193-05, Amendment to the Police Code – Entertainment				
		commission."			Commission Permits, Licenses and Fees. While an additional fee analysis has been				
					performed each year since, it has not been provided in the form of an annual report. The City Administrator's Office will work with the Entertainment Commission to provide the				
					annual fee analysis report required of the Administrator Code.				
2006-07	The Entertainment	C.1.a. The Entertainment Commission should create full, reality-	Entertainment	Recommendation	Job descriptions were reviewed by the Entertainment Commission in February 2008. Bot	h **	*	*	
	Commission: A Work in	based job descriptions for each of its staff.	Commission	Implemented	the GSA Human Resources Department and the Department of Human Resources will				
	Progress				assist the Entertainment Commission to improve them. Please see their responses.				
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in		General	Will Be	The City Administrator's Office will provide technical assistance to enable the	Recommendation	In April 2008, the City Administrator's Office provided the Entertainment Commission with the Job Analysis Questionnaires (JAQ) and other technical assistance for each	*	
	Progress	based job descriptions for each of its staff.	- City	Future	Entertainment Commission to complete job descriptions for each staff member by June 2008. The Department of Human Resource has also offered to assist with review of	Implemented	staff member.		
			Administrator		documentation and, if needed, assist in the creation of formal position descriptions.				
	The Entertainment	,	Human	Will Not Be	The City Administrator's Office will provide technical assistance to enable the	**	*	*	
	Commission: A Work in Progress	based job descriptions for each of its staff.	Resources	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	Entertainment Commission to complete job descriptions for each staff member by June 2008. The Department of Human Resources has offered to assist as needed with review	v			
	-			Reasonable	of documentation and, if needed, assist in the creation of formal position descriptions if				
					requested.				
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in	C.1.b. The Entertainment Commission's Executive Director should provide annual written performance reviews for the three	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	The Director of the Entertainment Commission conducted annual written performance reviews for all three staffers in October 2007	**	*	*	
	Progress	staffers who report to him.	Commission	ппрынениеи	TOVIOWS FOR All LITTOC STATISTS IN COLUDET 2007				
2006-07	The Entertainment	C.1.b. The Entertainment Commission's Executive Director	General	Recommendation	EC's Director completed annual written performance reviews for the staff in October 2007	7. **	*	*	
	Commission: A Work in	should provide annual written performance reviews for the three	Services Agenc		200 Total Composition of the Com				
	Progress	staffers who report to him.	- City Administrator						
	The Entertainment	C.1.b. The Entertainment Commission's Executive Director	Human	Will Not Be	DHR has no authority to require the Entertainment Commission to complete a	**	*	*	
	Commission: A Work in Progress	should provide annual written performance reviews for the three staffers who report to him.	Resources	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	performance review for its staffers.				
	J			Reasonable					
	The Entertainment	C.2. It must be determined to whom the Executive Director of the		Recommendation	The Director reports to the Entertainment Commission for hiring and firing purposes, as		*	*	
	Commission: A Work in Progress	Entertainment Commission reports, and how and when such reporting will take place, and just what this position is to be held	Commission	Implemented	well as receives his annual performance review with the commissioners. On a day to day basis, the Director reports to the City Administrator for oversight and administrative				
	r rogress	accountable for doing.			assistance.				
2000 07	The Entertainment	C.O. It must be determined to others the Figure 1. Division 1.	Comerci	MACHINE D	We discoursed with the Chill Croud Item on this many that T. T. T. T.	**		*	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in	C.2. It must be determined to whom the Executive Director of the Entertainment Commission reports, and how and when such			We disagreed with the Civil Grand Jury on this recommendation. The Entertainment Commission Executive Director reports to the Entertainment Commission and is				
	Progress	reporting will take place, and just what this position is to be held accountable for doing.	- City Administrator	Warranted or Not Reasonable	appointed and removed by either the Mayor or the Commission. The City Administrator's Office provides technical assistance to the Director and the Commission, focusing on				
		accountable for doing.	Auministrator	Reasonable	budget, accounting, and human resources functions.				
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in	C.2. It must be determined to whom the Executive Director of the Entertainment Commission reports, and how and when such	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. The Executive Director of the Entertainment Commission currently reports to the Entertainment Commission. Reporting shall take place at every Commission meeting and		*	*	
	Progress	reporting will take place, and just what this position is to be held		ппрынениеи	in a closed session during the annual review of the Executive Director's performance. Th	е			
		accountable for doing.			Commission will annually review their goals and objectives and make sure that the goals of the Commission and the annual work plan of the Executive Director are aligned.				
					2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				
2006-07	The Entertainment	C.3. The Civil Grand Jury recommends that regular performance	Entertainment	Recommendation	The Director receives his annual performance reviews in closed session every year by the	e **	*	*	
	Commission: A Work in	reviews of the Commission's Executive Director take place. The		Implemented	Commission.				
	Progress	review should be conducted by whomever is determined to be the supervisor of the Executive Director.							
	The Entertainment	C.3. The Civil Grand Jury recommends that regular performance		Will Not Be	The Entertainment Commission completes the annual performance review of the	**	*	*	
	Commission: A Work in Progress	reviews of the Commission's Executive Director take place. The review should be conducted by whomever is determined to be	- City	Warranted or Not	Commission's executive Director, not the City Administrator.				
		the supervisor of the Executive Director.	Administrator	Reasonable					
2006-07	The Entertainment	C.3. The Civil Grand Jury recommends that regular performance	Mayor	Recommendation	Agree. Performance reviews should happen annually for all Department Heads and be	**	*	**	
	Commission: A Work in	reviews of the Commission's Executive Director take place. The	Mayor	Implemented	reviewed by the relevant commissions. The Department of Human Resources				
	Progress	review should be conducted by whomever is determined to be the supervisor of the Executive Director.			implemented the Citywide Mandatory Performance and Planning and Appraisal Program in 2006.				
		2.7							
	The Entertainment	C.4.a. Compensation should be tied to performance for all four		Will Not Be	Compensation for staff is determined by citywide Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)	**	*	*	
	Commission: A Work in Progress	staff members of the Entertainment Commission.	Commission		negotiated and implemented by the Department of Human Resources. Please refer to their response for more details.				
				Reasonable					
2006 07	The Entertainment	C.4.a. Componentian should be tied to performance for all faces	Human	Will Not Bo	Componentian for staff is determined by situatide Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)	**		*	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in	C.4.a. Compensation should be tied to performance for all four staff members of the Entertainment Commission.	Human Resources	Will Not Be Implemented: Not	Compensation for staff is determined by citywide Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) negotiated with the City's labor unions. While there is some flexibility in place for				
	Progress			Warranted or Not Reasonable	additional compensation above what is required depending on the bargaining unit, the discretion to award such additional compensation rests solely with the appointing officer				
				iveasolianie	Tuboretion to award such additional compensation resis solely with the appointing officer				
			1		1	1			

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	C.4.a. Compensation should be tied to performance for all four staff members of the Entertainment Commission.	Required Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The negotiation of salaries is a power that rests with the Mayor, acting through the Department of Human Resources, as per the San Francisco City and County Charter. Any compensation increases or decreases based on performance are set through the negotiation of a collective bargaining agreement with the respective union and the City. The Commission could be consulted when these salary negotiations take place	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	C.4.b. Commissioners' input should be sought on the performance and proposed compensation increases of Entertainment Commission staff.	Entertainment Commission	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Commissioners' input on staff performance is important, but compensation is determined by citywide MOUs that are negotiated and implemented by the Department of Human Resources. Please refer to their response for more details.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	·	Human Resources	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	While DHR agrees that Commissioners' input on staff performance is important, we note again that compensation is determined by citywide MOUs that are negotiated with the City's labor unions. During contract negotiations, DHF seeks input from all departments—including the Entertaining Commission— on union proposals, including those related to compensation. And as indicated above, there is some flexibility to recognize performance with additional compensation but that is within the discretion of the appointing officer.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	C.4.b. Commissioners' input should be sought on the performance and proposed compensation increases of Entertainment Commission staff.	Mayor	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	Performance evaluations and compensation increases are set through the negotiation of collective bargaining agreements with the respective union and the City.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	C.5. Task tracking for staff should be implemented and the commissioners should be advised of the results of such tracking on a regular basis.		Recommendation Implemented	As a result of the retreat, a form was created to track tasks and this information will be reviewed at Commission meetings. Form was completed in October 2007.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress		Commission	Implemented	Another outcome of the retreat was the upgrading of the mailing list for club owners. The Entertainment Commission has had regular meetings with the different segments of the club owners. There has been four meetings regarding the proposed legislation since February 2008.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.1.b. A current Memorandum of Understanding should exist with at least the Port of San Francisco. If an MOU with other City departments is indeed inappropriate, some formal mechanism should be created to enhance the communication between these City departments and the Entertainment Commission.	Commission	Recommendation Implemented	Yes, an MOU was completed in June 2007.				
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.2.a. Entertainment Commissioners should agree as to what their duties and responsibilities are.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	Duties and responsibilities were discussed and agreed upon at the retreat.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.2.b. Entertainment Commissioners should be aware of the basic required tasks of the Commission, should read basic required documents and offer input on their final drafting. They should be better trained from the beginning in the duties and responsibilities of an Entertainment Commissioner. They should receive more regular communication (perhaps via email) from Commission staff on Commission-related developments than they currently receive via the twice-monthly hearings.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	Again, as part of the retreat, commissioners received training and had their separate breakout session to discuss commissioners' issues. Commissioners are kept informed through the Commission meetings and as needed through the Commission secretary.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.3. The Entertainment Commission should have its assigned Deputy City Attorney present at all public hearings.	Entertainment Commission	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	The Deputy City Attorney reviews the agenda before every Commission meeting and as they determine the appropriateness of issues and the need for legal advice, they attend the Commission meetings. The Commission's budget is small and its expenses need to be managed appropriately.	**		**	
2006-07	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.4.a. The Civil Grand Jury recommends that the Entertainment Commission be given the authority to cite permitted nightclub owners who break the law. Granting the power to issue such citations to the Entertainment Commission however must not stop the San Francisco Police Department, with its greater manpower and resources, from also issuing such citations.	Entertainment Commission	Recommendation Implemented	Again, pending legislation with Supervisor Maxwell will allow the Director to close down clubs on public safety grounds for 72 hours.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.4.a. The Civil Grand Jury recommends that the Entertainment Commission be given the authority to cite permitted nightclub owners who break the law. Granting the power to issue such citations to the Entertainment Commission however must not stop the San Francisco Police Department, with its greater manpower and resources, from also issuing such citations.	Police	Requires Further Analysis	The Police Department will continue issuance of citations whenever appropriate. The issuance of citations by the Entertainment Commission will require the review of the City Attorney. The Police Department does not oppose this recommendation.	Requires Further Analysis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Implemented: Not	The Police Department will continue issuance of citations whenever appropriate. The issuance of citations by the Entertainment Commission will require the review of the City Attorney. The Police Department does not oppose this recommendation.
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.4.b. The use of suspension and revocation powers given to the Entertainment Commission in Administrative Code, chapter 90, section 90.4(c) must be used to punish club owners with multiple violations, as well as serve as a deterrent for other potentially problematical entertainment venues.	Commission	Recommendation Implemented	Same response as D.4.c.	**		**	
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.4.b. The use of suspension and revocation powers given to the Entertainment Commission in Administrative Code, chapter 90, section 90.4(c) must be used to punish club owners with multiple violations, as well as serve as a deterrent for other potentially problematical entertainment venues.		Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This recommendation is a matter to be responded to by the Entertainment Commission. This matter may be addressed by pending legislation.	**		**	

[&]quot;**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.

	2006-07										
CGJ Year	Report Title	Recommendation	Response Required	2008 Response (1)	2008 Response Text	2009 Response (1)	2009 Response Text	2010 Response (1)	2010 Response Text		
(The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.5.a. Someone at the Entertainment Commission has to take a leadership role. As the highest ranking, paid staff member of the Commission, this burden appropriately falls on the Executive Director	Board of		RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. A.8 and D.5.a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. A.6, as follows: - Citation authority would be beneficial, but existing staffing of the organization does not include any position that would be appropriate for enacting citations; and,beit FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees wholly with Recommendation No. D.5.b; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	**		**			
(The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.5.a. Someone at the Entertainment Commission has to take a leadership role. As the highest ranking, paid staff member of the Commission, this burden appropriately falls on the Executive Director		Recommendation Implemented	The Director has taken an increased leadership role. He has organized a retreat for Commissioners and staff. He has initiated a Summit to communicate with club owners. He has also worked with the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission to pass new legislation to improve services to the public. Finally, he is in the process of recruiting new staff to help implement changes to Halloween.	**		**			
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.5.a. Someone at the Entertainment Commission has to take a leadership role. As the highest ranking, paid staff member of the Commission, this burden appropriately falls on the Executive Director	Mayor	Recommendation Implemented	Agree. The President of the Entertainment Commission along with the Executive Director should provide leadership.	**		**			
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	, ,,	Board of Supervisors	Implemented: Not Warranted or Not	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Recommendation Nos. A.8 and D.5.a of the 2006-2007 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress"; and be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it partially agrees with Recommendation No. A.6, as follows: - Citation authority would be beneficial, but existing staffing of the organization does not include any position that would be appropriate for enacting citations; and,beit FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that it disagrees wholly with Recommendation No. D.5.b; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget; and, be it FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges City departments responsible for recommendations not necessitating a response from the Board of Supervisors to provide a status report to the Board of Supervisors on implementation of said recommendations within six months of the passage of this resolution. October 2, 2007 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED	**		**			
(The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	, 0,	Entertainment Commission	Will Not Be Implemented: Not Warranted or Not Reasonable	This requires changes to the City's Charter.	**		**			
	The Entertainment Commission: A Work in Progress	D.5.b. The Civil Grand Jury strongly recommends that the Entertainment Commission adopt term limits for its Commissioners. If each serves no more than two 4-year terms, incompatibility is less of a problem than in the current potentially endless situation.	Mayor	Warranted or Not	The establishment of term limits is not in the purview of the Entertainment Commission. The Entertainment Commission is established in the Charter, under • Section 4.117. If there were going to be term limits, they would need to be established in a Charter amendment. Furthermore, term limits for Commissioners are not a standard practice among the City's other commissions.	**		**			

^{(1) &}quot;--" Department did not respond with one of the four required actions.

"**" Response not required: Recommendation has been fully implemented or abandoned.