

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [for F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F1	The elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco are appropriately complying with the statutory requirement for response to Civil Grand Jury Findings and Recommendations within 60/90 days.	Mayor							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F2	There is significant lack of compliance by the elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco with the statutory requirements for designating timeframes for promised implementation, providing the details of further analysis, and completing that analysis within six months of the date of issuance of the Civil Grand Jury report. This is complicated by the lack of a statutory requirement to bring the response to "final status."	Mayor							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F3	Recommendation R.F. 2 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that an external review be performed by an outside expert agreed upon by all stakeholders of the Lab, has not, as far as the 2018-2019 Civil Grand Jury can determine, been implemented, despite the SFPD's assertion that it was implemented.	Mayor							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F4	Recommendation R.F. 3 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that "The external review should be conducted by experts who have been identified as trustworthy to all stakeholders rather than selected by a competitive bidding process based on cost," was contravened by SFPD's	Mayor							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F5	In their responses to Recommendation R.2.1 of the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury report The San Francisco Retirement System: Increasing Understanding and Adding Voter Oversight, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors did not take into account that the Retirement Board's fiduciary responsibility for investing the assets of the Retirement System and maximizing the returns for the beneficiaries supersedes any responsibility to the voters and citizens of San Francisco, nor acknowledge that it prevents the Board, and possibly themselves, from acting with an appropriate fiduciary responsibility to the voters and taxpayers of San Francisco.	Mayor							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F7	Lack of consistent, sustained follow-up on Civil Grand Jury reports undermines both the effectiveness and the value of the Civil Grand Jury process.	Mayor							

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [For F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F8	The current process of Continuity follow-up has a significant defect: the elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco do not provide the Superior Court and Civil Grand Jury timely information regarding the ongoing status of their responses across jury terms. To be effective, the Continuity process needs to be continued until the response has reached final status (either "implemented", with summary of actions taken, or "will not be implemented," with explanation).	Mayor							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R1	For purposes of Penal Code Section 933.05, the Superior Court and City Services Auditor should record this Recommendation as "Implemented."	Mayor		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R5	The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should reconsider and resubmit their responses by no later than December 31, 2019, to Recommendation R2.1 of the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury report The San Francisco Retirement System: Increasing Understanding and Adding Voter Oversight, remedying the deficiencies in the previous responses that are noted in this report.	Mayor		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F1	The elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco are appropriately complying with the statutory requirement for response to Civil Grand Jury Findings and Recommendations within 60/90 days.	Board of Supervisors							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F2	There is significant lack of compliance by the elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco with the statutory requirements for designating timeframes for promised implementation, providing the details of further analysis, and completing that analysis within six months of the date of issuance of the Civil Grand Jury report. This is complicated by the lack of a statutory requirement to bring the response to "final status."	Board of Supervisors							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F5	In their responses to Recommendation R.2.1 of the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury report The San Francisco Retirement System: Increasing Understanding and Adding Voter Oversight, the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors did not take into account that the Retirement Board's fiduciary responsibility for investing the assets of the Retirement System and maximizing the returns for the beneficiaries supersedes any responsibility to the voters and citizens of San Francisco, nor acknowledge that it prevents the Board, and possibly themselves, from acting with an appropriate fiduciary responsibility to the voters and taxpayers of San Francisco.	Board of Supervisors							

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [For F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F7	Lack of consistent, sustained follow-up on Civil Grand Jury reports undermines both the effectiveness and the value of the Civil Grand Jury process.	Board of Supervisors							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F8	The current process of Continuity follow-up has a significant defect: the elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco do not provide the Superior Court and Civil Grand Jury timely information regarding the ongoing status of their responses across jury terms. To be effective, the Continuity process needs to be continued until the response has reached final status (either "implemented", with summary of actions taken, or "will not be implemented," with explanation).	Board of Supervisors							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R1	For purposes of Penal Code Section 933.05, the Superior Court and City Services Auditor should record this Recommendation as "Implemented."	Board of Supervisors		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R2	The Board of Supervisors should adopt an ordinance by no later than June 30, 2020, providing that the elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco must continue providing the Civil Grand Jury, across CGJ terms, with timely follow-up information regarding the ongoing responses to the Recommendations in its reports, until the responses reach final status, and amend SF Administrative Code Section 2.10 to add Subsection (c), specifying: Within three years of the publication date of a Civil Grand Jury report, the designated respondents to the report's Recommendations shall bring their responses to final status, i.e., either: • Implemented, with a summary of the implementation action; or • Not implemented because it is not warranted or is not reasonable, with an explanation thereof.	Board of Supervisors		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R5	The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors should reconsider and resubmit their responses by no later than December 31, 2019, to Recommendation R2.1 of the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury report The San Francisco Retirement System: Increasing Understanding and Adding Voter Oversight, remedying the deficiencies in the previous responses that are noted in this report.	Board of Supervisors		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F1	The elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco are appropriately complying with the statutory requirement for response to Civil Grand Jury Findings and Recommendations within 60/90 days.	City Administrator							

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [for F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F2	There is significant lack of compliance by the elected officials, agency heads, and governing bodies of the City and County of San Francisco with the statutory requirements for designating timeframes for promised implementation, providing the details of further analysis, and completing that analysis within six months of the date of issuance of the Civil Grand Jury report. This is complicated by the lack of a statutory requirement to bring the response to "final status."	City Administrator							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F3	Recommendation R.F.2 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that an external review be performed by an outside expert agreed upon by all stakeholders of the Lab, has not, as far as the 2018-2019 Civil Grand Jury can determine, been implemented, despite the SFPD's assertion that it was implemented.	City Administrator							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F4	Recommendation R.F.3 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that "The external review should be conducted by experts who have been identified as trustworthy to all stakeholders rather than selected by a competitive bidding process based on cost," was contravened by SFPD's action in issuing an RFP for competitive bidding.	City Administrator							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R1	For purposes of Penal Code Section 933.05, the Superior Court and City Services Auditor should record this Recommendation as "Implemented."	City Administrator		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F3	Recommendation R.F.2 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that an external review be performed by an outside expert agreed upon by all stakeholders of the Lab, has not, as far as the 2018-2019 Civil Grand Jury can determine, been implemented, despite the SFPD's assertion that it was implemented.	Chief, San Francisco Police Department							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F4	Recommendation R.F.3 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that "The external review should be conducted by experts who have been identified as trustworthy to all stakeholders rather than selected by a competitive bidding process based on cost," was contravened by SFPD's action in issuing an RFP for competitive bidding.	Chief, San Francisco Police Department							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R3	No later than March 31, 2020, the SFPD should fully and completely respond to Recommendation R.F.2 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, remedying the contradictory responses submitted previously (elaborated in Discussion Section B above).	Chief, San Francisco Police Department		

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [For F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R4	No later than March 31, 2020, the SFPD should resubmit its response to Recommendation R.F.3 of the abovementioned report, providing insight into the processes surrounding the issuance of the RFP for consulting services by outside experts agreed upon by all stakeholders for a review of the policies and procedures of the Crime Lab. This should specifically address two issues: the possibilities for exemption from requirements for competitive bidding, and whether all stakeholders were consulted in reaching the decision to abandon implementation of the Recommendation.	Chief, San Francisco Police Department		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F3	Recommendation R.F.2 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that an external review be performed by an outside expert agreed upon by all stakeholders of the Lab, has not, as far as the 2018-2019 Civil Grand Jury can determine, been implemented, despite the SFPD's assertion that it was implemented.	Deputy Chief of Administration, San Francisco Police Department							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F4	Recommendation R.F.3 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that "The external review should be conducted by experts who have been identified as trustworthy to all stakeholders rather than selected by a competitive bidding process based on cost," was contravened by SFPD's action in issuing an RFP for competitive bidding.	Deputy Chief of Administration, San Francisco Police Department							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R3	No later than March 31, 2020, the SFPD should fully and completely respond to Recommendation R.F.2 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, remedying the contradictory responses submitted previously (elaborated in Discussion Section B above).	Deputy Chief of Administration, San Francisco Police Department		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R4	No later than March 31, 2020, the SFPD should resubmit its response to Recommendation R.F.3 of the abovementioned report, providing insight into the processes surrounding the issuance of the RFP for consulting services by outside experts agreed upon by all stakeholders for a review of the policies and procedures of the Crime Lab. This should specifically address two issues: the possibilities for exemption from requirements for competitive bidding, and whether all stakeholders were consulted in reaching the decision to abandon implementation of the Recommendation.	Deputy Chief of Administration, San Francisco Police Department		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F3	Recommendation R.F.2 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that an external review be performed by an outside expert agreed upon by all stakeholders of the Lab, has not, as far as the 2018-2019 Civil Grand Jury can determine, been implemented, despite the SFPD's assertion that it was implemented.	Director of Forensic Services, San Francisco Police Department							

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [For F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F4	Recommendation R.F.3 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, that "The external review should be conducted by experts who have been identified as trustworthy to all stakeholders rather than selected by a competitive bidding process based on cost," was contravened by SFPD's action in issuing an RFP for competitive bidding.	Director of Forensic Services, San Francisco Police Department							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R3	No later than March 31, 2020, the SFPD should fully and completely respond to Recommendation R.F.2 of the 2015-2016 Civil Grand Jury report San Francisco's Crime Lab: Promoting Confidence and Building Credibility, remedying the contradictory responses submitted previously (elaborated in Discussion Section B above).	Director of Forensic Services, San Francisco Police Department		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R4	No later than March 31, 2020, the SFPD should resubmit its response to Recommendation R.F.3 of the abovementioned report, providing insight into the processes surrounding the issuance of the RFP for consulting services by outside experts agreed upon by all stakeholders for a review of the policies and procedures of the Crime Lab. This should specifically address two issues: the possibilities for exemption from requirements for competitive bidding, and whether all stakeholders were consulted in reaching the decision to abandon implementation of the Recommendation.	Director of Forensic Services, San Francisco Police Department		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F6	In the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury report Educational Parity in Custody (EPIC): Ensuring the Quality of Women's Education in the SF Jail System, an apparent transcription error citing the "Five Keys" program instead of the "Sister" program led to an inaccurate Recommendation and resultant erroneous response.	Sheriff	Agree with the finding	Agree- We have recently changed providers for the SISTER program. Measuring recidivism continues to be a challenge as there is no universal agreement on what constitutes a lack of recidivism. Instead we can measure whether or not someone who completes the SISTER program returns to custody in San Francisco within one year, two or three.					
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R6	By no later than December 31, 2019, the Sheriff should respond to recommendation R10 as it appears in the body of the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury report Educational Parity in Custody (EPIC): Ensuring the Quality of Women's Education in the SF Jail System.	Sheriff		Agree- with caveats expressed in the finding column. We are able to determine if someone returns to our custody within certain time parameters from any of our programs however this is not automated at this time. We are currently testing a new jail management system that we hope will produce regular reports on this item and many others. Expect it to be fully operationation by the end of 2021.
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F9	Creating tabulated summaries without having a repository for storing the response data is extremely labor-intensive and inefficient, and makes the follow-up process far more difficult than need be.	San Francisco Civil Grand Jury							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F10	Definition of the roles and responsibilities of all the stakeholders in the Civil Grand Jury process would improve functionality, efficiency, and output.	San Francisco Civil Grand Jury							

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [For F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R7	By no later than December 31, 2019, the City Services Auditor and the Superior Court should create an application using a database, to support core functions of the Civil Grand Jury. The City Services Auditor, the Superior Court, and the Civil Grand Jury would be the key stakeholders giving input for system development. System features should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A database containing CGJ report data, Findings and Recommendations, respondent data, response tracking data, and up-to-date tracking status information. The database's reporting function should have the capacity to create all reports and summaries needed by the Superior Court, City Services Auditor, and the impaneled Civil Grand Jury. • The capability to automatically notify all stakeholders and respondents when responses are due. • Conversion, within approximately two years, to a "cloud application," to allow stakeholders and respondents to directly access and update the data in the database. This in turn would improve the timeliness of responses and drastically reduce the typing and cut-and-paste errors that result from multi-party handling of the same data. 	San Francisco Civil Grand Jury		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R9	By no later than September 30, 2020, the City Services Auditor and Superior Court should adopt the RACI (Responsible-Accountable-Consulted-Informed) chart as presented in this report, or agree to appropriate changes in the chart, and execute a memorandum of understanding documenting their agreed-upon roles.	San Francisco Civil Grand Jury		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R10	Since application development will span multiple years, a Continuity System Consultant should be engaged to support the development efforts. The Civil Grand Jury should select the individual to fill the position, to be funded from the Civil Grand Jury budget, for the first two to three years. Afterwards, the position could be renewed each year as needed. To ensure the necessary understanding of CGJ operations, the Continuity System Consultant should be a current or former CGJ member.	San Francisco Civil Grand Jury		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R8	Starting in 2019, the Superior Court should advise incoming Civil Grand Juries that their Continuity Committee is a Standing Committee, charged with reviewing responses to the Recommendations of prior Civil Grand Juries for compliance with both the law and the intent of the Recommendations, and with maintaining complete and up-to-date records of all pertinent CGJ activities in the database recommended above, and the CGJ should establish such committee.	Foreperson, San Francisco Civil Grand Jury		

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [For F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F9	Creating tabulated summaries without having a repository for storing the response data is extremely labor-intensive and inefficient, and makes the follow-up process far more difficult than need be.	Chair, Civil Grand Jury Committee, San Francisco Superior Court							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F10	Definition of the roles and responsibilities of all the stakeholders in the Civil Grand Jury process would improve functionality, efficiency, and output.	Chair, Civil Grand Jury Committee, San Francisco Superior Court							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R7	By no later than December 31, 2019, the City Services Auditor and the Superior Court should create an application using a database, to support core functions of the Civil Grand Jury. The City Services Auditor, the Superior Court, and the Civil Grand Jury would be the key stakeholders giving input for system development. System features should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A database containing CGJ report data, Findings and Recommendations, respondent data, response tracking data, and up-to-date tracking status information. The database's reporting function should have the capacity to create all reports and summaries needed by the Superior Court, City Services Auditor, and the impaneled Civil Grand Jury. • The capability to automatically notify all stakeholders and respondents when responses are due. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conversion, within approximately two years, to a "cloud application," to allow stakeholders and respondents to directly access and update the data in the database. This in turn would improve the timeliness of responses and drastically reduce the typing and cut-and-paste errors that result from multi-party handling of the same data. 	San Francisco Civil Grand Jury		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R8	Starting in 2019, the Superior Court should advise incoming Civil Grand Juries that their Continuity Committee is a Standing Committee, charged with reviewing responses to the Recommendations of prior Civil Grand Juries for compliance with both the law and the intent of the Recommendations, and with maintaining complete and up-to-date records of all pertinent CGJ activities in the database recommended above, and the CGJ should establish such committee.	Chair, Civil Grand Jury Committee, San Francisco Superior Court		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R9	By no later than September 30, 2020, the City Services Auditor and Superior Court should adopt the RACI (Responsible-Accountable-Consulted-Informed) chart as presented in this report, or agree to appropriate changes in the chart, and execute a memorandum of understanding documenting their agreed-upon roles.	Chair, Civil Grand Jury Committee, San Francisco Superior Court		

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [For F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F9	Creating tabulated summaries without having a repository for storing the response data is extremely labor-intensive and inefficient, and makes the follow-up process far more difficult than need be.	City Services Auditor, Office of the Controller							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F10	Definition of the roles and responsibilities of all the stakeholders in the Civil Grand Jury process would improve functionality, efficiency, and output.	City Services Auditor, Office of the Controller							
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R7	By no later than December 31, 2019, the City Services Auditor and the Superior Court should create an application using a database, to support core functions of the Civil Grand Jury. The City Services Auditor, the Superior Court, and the Civil Grand Jury would be the key stakeholders giving input for system development. System features should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A database containing CGJ report data, Findings and Recommendations, respondent data, response tracking data, and up-to-date tracking status information. The database's reporting function should have the capacity to create all reports and summaries needed by the Superior Court, City Services Auditor, and the impaneled Civil Grand Jury. • The capability to automatically notify all stakeholders and respondents when responses are due. • Conversion, within approximately two years, to a "cloud application," to allow stakeholders and respondents to directly access and update the data in the database. This in turn would improve the timeliness of responses and drastically reduce the typing and cut-and-paste errors that result from multi-party handling of the same data. 	San Francisco Civil Grand Jury		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R9	By no later than September 30, 2020, the City Services Auditor and Superior Court should adopt the RACI (Responsible-Accountable-Consulted-Informed) chart as presented in this report, or agree to appropriate changes in the chart, and execute a memorandum of understanding documenting their agreed-upon roles.	City Services Auditor, Office of the Controller		
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]	F10	Definition of the roles and responsibilities of all the stakeholders in the Civil Grand Jury process would improve functionality, efficiency, and output.	Controller							

2018-2019 CIVIL GRAND JURY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES TO FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Report Title [Publication Date]	F#	Finding (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Finding Response (Agree/ Disagree)	Finding Response Text	R# [for F#]	Recommendation (text may be duplicated due to spanning and multiple respondent effects)	Respondent Assigned by CGJ [Response Due Date]	Recommendation Response (Implementation)	Recommendation Response Text
Improving Continuity Review for Increased Public Accountability: The 2018-2019 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury Continuity Report [July 17, 2019]						R9	By no later than September 30, 2020, the City Services Auditor and Superior Court should adopt the RACI (Responsible-Accountable-Consulted-Informed) chart as presented in this report, or agree to appropriate changes in the chart, and execute a memorandum of understanding documenting their agreed-upon roles.	Controller		